

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(11): 336-338(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Evaluation of F7 Generation Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) Crosses for Uniformity and Stability

Anupriya Chowdary M.^{1*}, Usha Kumari K.², Paratpara Rao M.³ and Gangadhara Rao P.²

¹PG Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr YSRHU, Venkataramannagudem (Andhra Pradesh), India. ²Assistant Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr YSRHU, Venkataramannagudem (Andhra Pradesh), India. ³Associate Professor, Department of Plant Breeding, Dr YSRHU, Venkataramannagudem, (Andhra Pradesh), India.

(Corresponding author: Anupriya Chowdary M.*)

(Received: 11 September 2023; Revised: 12 October 2023; Accepted: 20 October 2023; Published: 15 November 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: The present investigation was carried out to identify uniform and stable populations in 17 F₇ plant progenies selected from F₆ generation and to isolate the segregants. The experiment was taken up in a Randomised Block Design with two replications at College of Horticulture, Dr. YSRHU, Venkataramannagudem during *Rabi*, 2022-23. 17 F₇ progeny populations were evaluated for homogenous and homozygous nature for all the fifteen qualitative characters. Analysis of variance was done for overall population of each progeny. Out of seventeen F₇ progenies, 10 progenies were found to behomozygous and homogenous for all the quantitative characters.

Keywords: Brinjal, F₇ generation, uniformity, stability.

INTRODUCTION

Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.) is a perennial vegetable with chromosome number 2n = 2x = 24 and belonging to family Solanaceae. India is the primary center of brinjal (Vavilov, 1951). It is often referred to as the poor man's vegetable due to its high production potential and consumer availability. It is widely grown in our country, which covers an area of 744 thousand hectares and has an annual production of 12.76 MT and a productivity of 19 tonnes per hectare (NHB, 2021-22). When compared to tomatoes, brinjal has a higher nutritional value (Choudhary 1976). It has 122 IU of vitamin A, 12mg of vitamin C, 18mg of calcium, 47mg of phosphorous, 0.9mg of iron, 1.4mg of protein and 4g of carbohydrates per 100g of weight (Thambhuraj and Singh 2003). Consumer demand for uniform, stable, and high-quality produce is increasing all the time, so farmers must produce market-demanded produce while also cultivating genotypes that are high yielding and resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses. Pure line selection, hybridization followed by pedigree/bulk selection, and heterosis breeding (F_1 hybrids) are the best methods for achieving uniformity. However, in often cross-pollinated crops such as brinjal, pure lines are generally preferred by farmers due to the ease of seed production. For developing high-yielding genotypes in solanaceous vegetables, hybridization followed by selection is a widely used method. There are many high-yielding brinjal varieties, such as Pusa Purple Long, Pusa Purple Cluster, PusaKranti, Pusa

Bhairav, PusaShymala, Pusa Anmol, ArkaShirish, And ArkaSheel, ArkaKeshav, ArkaNidhi, ArkaNeelkanth, ArkaKusumkar and other varieties were developed through hybridization and pedigree selection.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Among the vegetables, brinjal is having a high potential for exploitation. The main thrust of most of the plant breeding programmes is to increase the yielding ability of crop plants. In a view of very high local preferences for shape, taste, there are specific genotypes suited for specific locality. It is not possible to have one common cultivar to suit different localities and local preferences. It is therefore required to improve the yield potential of available land races through hybridization, may yield good hybrids or varieties (Kumar et al., 2013). Hybridization creates new recombinants by combining the traits from genetically diverse parents. Parental lines having high general combining ability (GCA) for economically important characters i.e., the traits controlled by additive gene action are crossed and F1 generation was raised. Here, Seven top performing F₁s whose parents are having high GCA values were selfed with the objective of isolating of new high yielding recombinants through selection and F3generation was raised from top ten per cent of F₂ plants and again F₄ plants were raised from selected F₃ plants and the selection procedure continued upto F₆ generation to assess variability (Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation and Genotypic Coefficient of Variation) genetic

Chowdary et al.,

advance, genetic gain, character association, direct and indirect effect of various traits on yield and selection indices were constructed in each generation to reveal the effectiveness and direction of selection. Across the seven crosses of F₆generation, superior plants were selected and selfed to get the F seed. Selection of the desirable plants was done from F₂ generation onwards and the selected plants were selfed in consecutive generations until homozygosity is reached. Final selection of homozygous lines ends with isolation of new superior pure lines with novel character combinations. This procedure has a good chance of isolating transgressive segregants as well (Briggs and Allard 1953; Singh, 2002).

In the present experiment, it was studied to identify uniform and stable populations in a Randomised Block Design with seventeen F₇ plant progenies. Here, each plant progeny was taken as single treatment hence we have 17 treatments and two replications conducted at of Horticulture, Dr. College YSRHU, Venkataramannagudem during Rabi, 2022-23. Seventeen F₇ plant progenies were evaluated for all the parameters viz., plant height (cm), number of primary branches, number of flowers per inflorescence, days to 50 per cent flowering, fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), fruit length to fruit girth ratio, number of fruits per cluster, average fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant, days to first harvest, fruit yield per plant (kg), days to final harvest, number of seeds per fruit, test

weight of seed (g). Populations with non significant values (for the said characters) were considered as homozygous and homogenous populations whereas, populations with significant values were considered as segregating populations and were not promoted for further studies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance (Table 1) was done for all fifteen quantitative characters (above mentioned characters). Out of seventeen F₇ progenies, 10 progenies like T₁, T₂, T_3 , T_6 , T_7 , T_{10} , T_{11} , T_{13} , T_{16} and T_{17} showed the homozygous and homogenous nature for all the above mentioned quantitative characters. Variation was observed in four progenies for two characters they include T_{5} , T_{9} , T_{12} , and T_{14} . T_{5} showed variation for characters like number of flowers per inflorescence, fruit yield per plant whereas, T_9 and T_{12} showed variation for the characters plant height (cm), number of primary branches. Variation for these vegetative parameters in these populations might be due to management practices and environment. Variation for the characters, fruit yield per plant, number of fruits per plant was observed in T₁₄. T₈ and T₁₅ showed variation for characters like number of primary branches, number of fruits per plant, Fruit yield per plant (kg). Variation was observed for four characters like plant height, number of primary branches, number of fruits per plant, Fruit yield per plant (kg) in T_4 .

Table 1: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and mean sum of squares for various quantitative characters in seventeen F7 plant progenies of brinjal.

Treatments	Plant height	No. of primary branches	No. of flowers per inflorescence	Days to 50 per cent flowering	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Fruit length to fruit girth ratio	Number of fruits per cluster	Average fruit weight (g)	No. of fruits per plant	Days to first harvest	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Days to final harvest	No.of seeds per fruit	Test weight of seed (g)
T ₁	NS 2,204	NS 0.024	NS 0.043	NS 1.712	NS 0.002	NS 0.118	NS 0.003	NS 0.013	NS 4.889	NS 7.590	NS 6.512	NS 0.006	NS 14.602	NS 17.623	NS 0.003
T2	2.204 NS	0.024 NS	0.045 NS	NS	0.002 NS	NS	0.003 NS	0.015 NS	4.889 NS	7.390 NS	0.312 NS	0.006 NS	14.602 NS	NS	0.005 NS
12	0.056	0.017	0.150	2.740	0.080	3.039	0.000	0.010	3.089	1.663	0.920	0.007	19.943	56.120	0.016
T3	NS 1.750	NS 0.024	NS 0.008	NS 1.776	NS 0.007	NS 0.209	NS 0.000	NS 0.004	NS 3.536	NS 1.297	NS 7.490	NS 0.043	NS 4.126	NS 22.286	NS 0.016
T_4	* 4.874	* 0.034	NS 0.006	NS 1.099	NS 0.037	NS 0.100	NS 0.000	NS 0.040	NS 0.523	* 1.179	NS 2.033	* 0.006	NS 5.429	NS 21.303	NS 0.005
T5	NS 3.772	NS 0.027	* 0.021	NS 0.410	NS 0.022	NS 0.408	NS 0.000	NS 0.011	NS 12.573	NS 2.135	NS 1.285	* 0.002	NS 6.202	NS 42.970	NS 0.005
T ₆	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
-	3.052 NS	0.029 NS	0.009 NS	1.749 NS	0.018 NS	0.189 NS	0.000 NS	0.036 NS	3.426 NS	1.265 NS	0.636 NS	0.632 NS	4.616 NS	21.454 NS	0.017 NS
T ₇	NS 4.848	NS 0.024	NS 0.007	NS 0.201	NS 0.979	NS 0.148	0.000	NS 0.043	NS 6.912	NS 1.300	NS 1.920	NS 0.598	NS 4.046	NS 22.258	NS 0.003
T ₈	NS 2.031	* 0.122	NS 0.013	NS 1.593	NS 0.067	NS 0.005	NS 0.000	NS 0.009	NS 6.456	* 4.098	NS 3.314	* 0.003	NS 5.04	NS 45.886	NS 0.003
T9	* 3.261	* 0.090	NS 0.002	NS 0.239	NS 0.983	NS 0.141	NS 0.000	NS 0.010	NS 3.339	NS 1.554	NS 1.599	NS 0.003	NS 2,446	NS 17.192	NS 0.007
T ₁₀	NS 3.875	NS 0.057	NS 0.004	NS 1.677	NS 0.007	NS 0.408	NS 0.000	NS 0.009	NS 3.989	NS 1.091	NS 1.020	NS 0.002	NS 4,430	NS 30.676	NS 0.011
T ₁₁	NS 5,796	NS 0.056	NS 0.009	NS 0.323	NS 0.033	NS 0.773	NS 0.000	NS 0.009	NS 2.826	NS 1.507	NS 0.115	NS 0.002	NS 4,232	NS 26.718	NS 0.039
T ₁₂	* 2.477	* 0.034	NS 0.032	NS 1.852	NS 0.0085	NS 0.431	NS 0.001	NS 0.004	NS 2,189	NS 1.894	NS 0.367	NS 0.007	NS 6.707	NS 73.333	NS 0.006
T ₁₃	NS 1.653	* 0.033	NS 0.030	NS 2.075	NS 0.0586	NS 0.004	NS 0.000	NS 0.001	NS 2.414	NS 3.164	NS 0.702	NS 0.005	NS 7.151	NS 48,800	NS 0.004
T ₁₄	NS 1.145	NS 0.179	NS 0.009	NS 2.002	NS 0.035	NS 0.014	NS 0.000	NS 0.065	NS 2.140	* 7.590	NS 0.301	* 0.001	NS 11.330	NS 22.765	NS 0.007
T ₁₅	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
T ₁₆	0.487 NS	0.065 NS	0.059 NS	1.670 NS	0.032 NS	1.784 NS	0.000 NS	0.046 NS	1.884 NS	3.701 NS	1.782 NS	0.043 NS 0.082	7.230 NS	21.300 NS	0.005 NS
T ₁₇	2.368 NS	0.054	0.009 NS	2.070 NS	0.060 NS	0.430 NS	0.000 NS	0.010 NS	6.062 NS	3.152 NS	0.823 NS	NS	5.202 NS	16.582 NS	0.005 NS
• 17	0.999	0.034	0.132	1.799	0.016	1.456	0.000	0.001	2.281	3.012	1.601	0.067	19.102	49.499	0.019

CONCLUSIONS

From the present study, it could be concluded that the superior seventeen F7 plant progenies selected from F6 generation of brinjal were evaluated for uniformity, Chowdary et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(11): 336-338(2023)

stability. The populations showing homozygous and homogenous nature were promoted for F₈generation for further studies. Populations that were showing variation in yield contributing characters were isolated and were

discarded. Treatments of totally 12 populations viz, T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_6 , T_7 , T_9 , T_{10} , T_{11} , T_{12} , T_{13} , T_{16} and T_{17} were selected for further studies as they were showing non significant values for all the fifteen quantitative characters (above mentioned characters) whereas, T_9 and T_{12} showed variation for the characters, plant height (cm) and number of primary branches. The variation for these vegetative parameters in these population might be due to management practices and environment. Hence these plant populations were considered.

FUTURE SCOPE

Uniform and stable lines are preferred by the farmers and consumers. Therefore, these promising $12F_8$ populations showing homozygous and homogenous nature were selected and can be promoted for further multi locational trails to study their performances at different locations.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Dr. YSRHU for their constant support and guidance for conduct of the experiment. I hereby take the opportunity to tell my heartfelt thanks to my family who stood by me through my research.

REFERENCES

- Briggs, F. N. and Allard, R. W. (1953). The current status of the backcross method of vine breeding. *Agronomy Journal*, 45, 131-138.
- Chaudhary, B. (1976). Vegetables (4th edition). National Book Trust, New Delhi. 42-49.
- Kumar, S. R, Arumugam, T, Anandakumar, C. R. and Premalakshmi, V. (2013). Genetic variability for quantitative qualitative characters in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(39), 4956-4959.
- NHB Data Base, 2021-22.1st Advance estimates published by National Horticultural Board. Department of Agriculture and Co-operation, Government of India.
- Singh, B. D. (2002). Gene interaction and Inheritance of quantitative characters in Plant Breeding. *Kalyani Publishers*, Ludhiana, pp. 236-252.
- Thambhuraj and Singh, N. (2003). *Vegetables, tuber crops* and spices. Directorate of Information and Publication of Agriculture, ICAR, New Delhi. 3-5.
- Vavilov, N. I. (1951). The role of central Asia in the origin of cultivated plants. *Bulletin of Applied Botany-Genetics* and Plant Breeding, 263-264.

How to cite this article: Anupriya Chowdary M., Usha Kumari K., Paratpara Rao M. and Gangadhara Rao P. (2023). Evaluation of F₇ generation Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) crosses for uniformity and stability. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(11): 336-338.