

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(9): 149-152(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Folic Acid Supplementation on Piglets Immunity

Suresh R.<sup>1\*</sup> and A.K. Verma<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Nutrition, TANUVAS-VCRI, Salem (Tamil Nadu), India. <sup>2</sup> Principal Scientist, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar (Uttar Pradesh), India.

(Corresponding author: Suresh R.\*)

(Received: 16 June 2023; Revised: 24 July 2023; Accepted: 21 August 2023; Published: 15 September 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: This experiment was conducted to study the effect of dietary folic acid supplementation on cell mediated (CM) and humoral immune (HI) response in growing piglets born to sow fed diet with or without supplemental folic acid during gestation and lactation. After weaning, eighteen piglets (Landlly) from each group (T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>) were selected and randomly sub-divided into 3 sub-groups of 6 each in an experiment based on  $3\times3$  factorial design. The treatments were; D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, where, D<sub>0</sub>: Dam with no supplementation of folic acid (FA); D<sub>1</sub>: Dam with FA supplementation during gestation (@15 mg/kg of feed); D<sub>2</sub>: Dam with FA supplementation during gestation @ 2.5 mg/kg of feed and O<sub>2</sub>: Offspring with FA supplementation @ 5.0 mg/kg of feed. The CMI and HI response was assessed 150 days of post-weaning. The cell-mediated and humoral immunity were significantly higher (P<0.01) in folic acid supplemented groups in comparison to control (D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>0</sub>) group. The humoral immunity (HI) response, was better (P<0.01) in D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> groups when compared to D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>0</sub>. Thus, based on the results concerning to CMI and HI response it is evident that postnatal folic acid supplementation at 2.5 or 5.0 mg/kg feed is beneficial in terms of better immunity.

Keywords: Cell mediated, Humoral, Immune, Piglets.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Folic acid and vitamin  $B_{12}$  play a vital role in the healthy balance of the immune system (Mikkelsen and Apostolopoulos 2019). Deficiency or inadequate level of these vitamins can drastically alter immune responses by affecting the production of DNA, RNA, protein synthesis, inhibiting the activity of immune cells and interfering with metabolic processes (serine, glycine and purine cycles) and methylation. Low serine can also interfere with the immune system function by preventing proper antibody formation and interfering with the proper functioning of effect or T cells (Ma et al., 2017; Mahmood, 2014). In swine production, supranutritional folic acid supplementation is essential to overcome the higher HCY levels and IUGR. Although pigs cannot synthesize folic acid, bacteria in their lower gut can synthesize and make the faeces as another source of the vitamin (Abad and Gregory 1987). Green leafy plants are a promising and feasible source of folic acid (Gorelova et al., 2017). Change in rearing and waste removal systems have reduced the sow's access to leafy plants and faecal material. These modifications in rearing system lead to inaccessibility of two cheaper sources of folic acid for pigs, ushering researchers to reevaluate the management systems to balance folic acid in swine diets.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

After weaning, eighteen piglets (Landlly) from each group (T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>) born to sow fed diet with or without supplemental folic acid during gestation and lactation were selected from the Piggery farm, LPM section of the institute and ear-tagged and randomly sub-divided into 3 sub-groups of 6 each in an experiment based on  $3\times3$  factorial design. The duration of the study was from the day of weaning to 150 days of post-weaning. The treatments were D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>0</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, where, **D**<sub>0</sub> : Dam with no super- supplementation of folic acid (FA)

 $D_1$ : Dam with FA supplementation during gestation (@15 mg/kg of feed)

 $D_2$ : Dam with FA supplementation during gestation and also during lactation (@15 mg/kg of feed)

O<sub>0</sub>: Offspring with no super- supplementation of FA

 $O_1$ : Offspring with FA supplementation @ 2.5 mg/kg of feed

 $O_2$ : Offspring with FA supplementation @ 5.0 mg/kg of feed

The basal diet (mash feed) was prepared as per NRC (1998). The post weaned animals were offered weighed quantity of a mash as a single meal at 09:30 AM to meet their requirements (NRC, 1998) along with free  $a_{\rm MRC}$ , 1998)

Suresh & Verma

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(9): 149-152(2023)

access to clean drinking water. Piglets in the  $O_0$  group were fed with only basal diet. Whereas, piglets in  $O_1$ and  $O_2$  groups were fed 2.5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg folic acid (MB Vet Chem, Navi Mumbai, India) supplemented diet, respectively. The physical composition of the experimental ration is presented in below

In gradiants (9/)	Body weights (kg)						
Ingredients (%)	5-10	10-20	20-50	50-80			
Crushed maize	54.6	61.3	66.5	75.0			
De-oiled soybean meal	41.6	33.9	27.4	19.3			
Wheat bran	0.8	2.0	4.0	3.80			
Calcite	0.2	0.1	0.2	0			
Dicalcium Phosphate	0.6	0.5	0	0			
Mineral and vitamin mixture*	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5			
L-Lysine	0.2	0.1	0	0			
DL-Methionine	0.1	0.2	0	0			
Sodium chloride	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4			
Folic acid (mg/kg diet)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			
Crude protein (%) **	23.6	20.8	18.4	15.5			
Digestible energy (Kcal/Kg) **	3396	3392	3397	3409			
Lysine (%) **	1.27	1.02	0.80	0.62			
Methionine (%) **	0.40	0.47	0.25	0.22			
Calcium (%) **	0.78	0.70	0.60	0.50			
Total phosphorus (%) **	0.64	0.61	0.52	0.49			

Physical composition of basal diets (as-fed basis) for piglets.

\*Each 1kg contains: vitamin A 20, 00,000 IU; vitamin D<sub>3</sub> 4, 00,000 IU; vitamin B<sub>2</sub> 0.8 g; vitamin E 0.3 g; vitamin K 0.4 g; vitamin B<sub>12</sub> 2.4 mg; calcium pantothenate 0.1 mg; niacin 4 g; choline chloride 60 g; calcium 0.28 g; manganese 11 g; iodine 0.4 g; iron 3 g; zinc 6 g; copper 0.8 g; cobalt 0.18 g; phosphorus 80 g.

\*\*Calculated values as fed basis.

#### A. Immune response study

The effect of dietary folic acid supplementation on humoral immune response was studied as per the micro-haemagglutination assay described by Wagmann and Smithies (1966). The cell-mediated immune response was assessed as per the method described by Kim et al. (2000) through in vivo sub-cutaneous delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response by phytohaemagglutinin-P injecting (PHA-P) intradermally in the flank region. After intradermal injection, the thickness of the skin was measured at 6, 12, 24 and 36 hours. Finally, the difference in skin thickness of PHA-P and normal saline injection site in millimeter was calculated and expressed as CMI response. CMI and HI response study was performed on six pigs from each dietary group on 150 days of post-weaning.

#### B. Blood collection and analyses

The serum samples for antibody determination were collected at 0, 14 and 21-day post administration of 20 percent SRBC's and stored at -20°C. Before performing the assay sera samples were thawed and kept at 56°C for 30 min for inactivation. The antibody titre against SRBC was measured by micro-titre haemagglutination assay (Wagmann and Smithies 1966).

#### C. Statistical analysis

Each piglet was considered as an experimental unit for data analysis. All the data obtained from the study were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The difference among the treatment groups was compared by Tukey's test and probability values P<0.05 were considered significant. All the data were analyzed using Statistical Package SPSS (version 20.0).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The data of CMI in terms of DTH response to PHA-p are presented in Table 1 and depicted in Fig. 1. The findings concerning to cell-mediated immunity (CMI) in terms of DTH response to PHA-p showed a significant (P<0.01) improvement in the skin indurations in terms of skin thickness (mm) among the dietary treatments. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the skin thickness (mm) increased and was at peak at 24h, afterwards there was a decline up to 36 h of postinoculation in all the dietary groups. There was a significant (P<0.01) TxP interaction as the response was more pronounced at 24 h post-injection. All the treated groups exhibited significantly higher CMI response in comparison to control  $(D_0O_0)$  except  $D_1O_0$ group, however, CMI response was highest in  $D_2O_1$  and D<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> groups indicating that supplementation of sows both during gestation and lactation along with post weaned piglets more effective. is Gross et al. (1975) reported that depressed CMI response in megaloblastic anemia (folic acid deficiency) was reversed by folate supplementation. Further, a severe defect in the antibody response was observed in folate deficient white rats (Axelrod, 1971). The data pertaining to humoral immunity (log2 titre) of pigs fed various dietary treatments are presented in Table 2 and an illustration (Fig. 2). The humoral immunity was expressed as the antibody response to sheep erythrocytes (SRBC) deploying HA test. The humoral immunity (HI) as assessed by antibody response to sheep erythrocytes (SRBC) showed significantly (P<0.01) higher antibody titre in  $D_0O_2$ ,  $D_1O_2$ ,  $D_2O_1$  and  $D_2O_2$  groups when compared to  $D_0O_0$ 

Suresh & Verma

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(9): 149-152(2023)

where there was no supplementation at any stage. In all the groups the peak of antibody titre was at 14 d postinjection thereafter came down at 21 day, which is a normal pattern of antibody titre. This corroborates well with the findings of Grieshop *et al.* (1999) which indicates that piglets supplemented with folic acid and born from sows supplemented with folic acid during gestation or gestation plus lactation periods exhibited a greater (P<0.05) secondary antibody response to SRBC's. A similar finding was observed by Ezzat *et al.* (2011) in Matrouh poultry strain supplemented with folic acid. Li *et al.* (2016) conducted an experiment in broilers to investigate the effect of *in ovo* injection of folic acid on folate metabolism, immune function and the involved epigenetic modification. The study revealed that increasing level of folic acid supplementation improved the IgG, IgM and plasma lysozyme activity in broilers. Further, the spleenic expression level of immune-related IL-2 and IL-4 genes were up-regulated, whereas, IL-6 was down-regulated, in the folic acid (100 and 150 µg) supplemented groups.

 Table 1: Effect of folic acid (FA) supplementation on cell-mediated immunity of pigs measured as DTH response (skin thickness in mm) against PHA-p

Treatment†	Period (hrs)				<b>Т 4</b> М	SEM	Significance		
	0 h	12 h	24 h	36 h	TreatmentMean	SEM	Т	Р	T*P
$D_0O_0$	2.09 <sup>n</sup> ±0.04	5.29 <sup>kl</sup> ±0.19	8.13 <sup>f</sup> ±0.08	4.02 <sup>m</sup> ±0.15	4.88 <sup>F</sup> ±0.46	0.028	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
$D_0O_1$	2.11 <sup>n</sup> ±0.07	5.71 <sup>jk</sup> ±0.13	8.37 <sup>f</sup> ±0.10	5.90 <sup>jk</sup> ±0.12	$5.52^{DE} \pm 0.47$				
$D_0O_2$	2.12 <sup>n</sup> ±0.05	6.03 <sup>jk</sup> ±0.18	8.58 <sup>def</sup> ±0.13	6.16 <sup>hijk</sup> ±0.05	5.72 <sup>D</sup> ±0.49				
$D_1O_0$	2.10 <sup>n</sup> ±0.05	5.74 <sup>jk</sup> ±0.06	8.44 <sup>ef</sup> ±0.19	4.44 <sup>lm</sup> ±0.13	5.18 <sup>EF</sup> ±0.48				
$D_1O_1$	2.15 <sup>n</sup> ±0.09	6.12 <sup>ijk</sup> ±0.16	9.30 <sup>bcde</sup> ±0.33	7.97 <sup>fg</sup> ±0.17	6.38 <sup>c</sup> ±0.57				
$D_1O_2$	2.17 <sup>n</sup> ±0.13	6.98 <sup>hi</sup> ±0.26	10.17 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.28	8.72 <sup>cdef</sup> ±0.17	7.01 <sup>B</sup> ±0.64				
$D_2O_0$	2.13 <sup>n</sup> ±0.08	6.03 <sup>jk</sup> ±0.06	8.54 <sup>def</sup> ±0.12	5.71 <sup>jk</sup> ±0.20	$5.60^{D} \pm 0.48$				
$D_2O_1$	2.16 <sup>n</sup> ±0.07	6.49 <sup>hij</sup> ±0.10	10.16 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.31	9.38 <sup>bcd</sup> ±0.34	7.05 <sup>B</sup> ±0.66				
$D_2O_2$	2.17 <sup>n</sup> ±0.06	7.06 <sup>gh</sup> ±0.04	11.05 <sup>a</sup> ±0.23	9.53 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.21	7.45 <sup>A</sup> ±0.71				
Period mean	2.13 <sup>z</sup> ±0.02	6.16 <sup>Y</sup> ±0.09	9.19 <sup>w</sup> ±0.15	6.87 <sup>x</sup> ±0.28					

†D<sub>0</sub>O<sub>0</sub>: No supplementary folic acid (FA) to the offspring born to dam receiving no supplement during gestation

 $D_0O_1$ : FA was supplemented at 2.5 mg/kg to the offspring born to dam receiving no supplement during gestation

 $D_0O_2$ : FA was supplemented at 5 mg/kg to the offspring born to dam receiving no supplement during gestation

D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>0</sub>: No supplementary FA to offspring born to dam receiving supplementary FA during gestation

 $D_1O_1$ : FA was supplemented at 2.5 mg/kg to the offspring born to dam receiving supplementary FA during gestation

D<sub>1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: FA was supplemented at 5.0 mg/kg to the offspring born to dam receiving supplementary FA during gestation

 $D_2O_0$ : No supplementary FA to offspring born to dam receiving supplementary FA during gestation and lactation

 $D_2O_1$ : FA was supplemented at 2.5 mg/kg to the offspring born to dam receiving supplementary FA during gestation and lactation

 $D_2O_2$ : FA was supplemented at 5.0 mg/kg to the offspring born to dam receiving supplementary FA during gestation and lactation abcdefghijklmn Means bearing different superscripts differs significantly (P $\leq$ 0.05) and (P $\leq$ 0.01)

ABCDEF/WXYZ Means bearing different superscripts within a column (ABCDEF) or row (WXYZ) differs significantly

# Table 2 Effect of folic acid (FA) supplementation on humoral immunity (log2titre) in pigs measured as antibody response to sheep RBC.

Treatment†	Period (days)			Treatment Mean	SEM	Significance		
	0-d	14-d	21-d	I reatment Mean	SEM	Т	Р	T*P
$D_0O_0$	1.25±0.13	1.58±0.19	1.42±0.15	1.42 <sup>E</sup> ±0.09	0.032	< 0.001	0.001	0.999
$D_0O_1$	1.58±0.15	1.75±0.25	1.67±0.14	$1.67^{\text{CDE}} \pm 0.11$				
$D_0O_2$	1.83±0.11	$2.08 \pm 0.08$	1.83±0.11	1.92 <sup>BCD</sup> ±0.06				
$D_1O_0$	1.33±0.14	1.58±0.15	1.42±0.15	$1.44^{E}\pm0.08$				
$D_1O_1$	1.67±0.19	1.83±0.24	1.75±0.18	$1.75^{\text{BCDE}} \pm 0.12$				
$D_1O_2$	1.92±0.15	2.17±0.24	1.83±0.21	1.97 <sup>ABC</sup> ±0.12				
$D_2O_0$	1.42±0.15	1.67±0.19	1.42±0.15	$1.50^{\text{DE}} \pm 0.09$				
$D_2O_1$	1.83±0.17	2.42±0.19	2.17±0.17	2.14 <sup>AB</sup> ±0.11				
$D_2O_2$	2.25±0.13	2.58±0.15	2.25±0.13	2.36 <sup>A</sup> ±0.08				
Period mean	$1.68^{Y} \pm 0.06$	1.96 <sup>x</sup> ±0.07	1.75 <sup>Y</sup> ±0.06					

<sup>abcde</sup> Means bearing different superscripts differs significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) and ( $P \le 0.01$ )

ABCDEXYMeans bearing different superscripts within a column (ABCDEF) or row (XY) differs significantly



Fig. 1. Effect of folic acid supplementation on DTH response (skin thickness in mm) against PHA-p.Suresh & VermaBiological Forum – An International Journal15(9): 149-152(2023)



**Fig. 2.** Effect of folic acid supplementation on humoral immunity (log<sub>2</sub> titre) in pigs measured as antibody response to sheep RBC.

# CONCLUSIONS

Based on the study results, it could be concluded that post-natal folic acid supplementation @ 2.5 and 5.0 mg/kg diet improved the immunity of piglets born from dam which had dietary supplementation of folic acid (@ 15 mg/kg feed) both during gestation and lactation. Hence, based on the results evinced in this study, for an improved and economical productivity in piggery, folic acid supplementation both at gestational and lactational stage for sows (@ 15 mg/kg feed) and for progeny folic acid supplementation (@ 2.5 mg/kg diet) may be used as potential feed additive for grower-finisher pigs.

#### **FUTURE SCOPE**

Folic acid and cyanocobalamin combination can be tried.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank ICAR – IVRI for providing necessary facilities for carrying out the research.

Conflict of Interest. None.

# REFERENCES

- Abad, A. R. and Gregory. J. F. (1987). Determination of folate bioavailability with rat bioassay. *Journal of Nutrition*, 117, 866.
- Axelrod, A. E. (1971). Immune processes in vitamin deficiency states. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 24, 265.
- Ezzat, W., Shoeib, M. S., Mousa, S. M. M., Bealish, A. M. A. and Ibrahiem, Z. A. (2011). Impact of betaine, vitamin C and folic acid supplementations to the diet on productive and reproductive performance of Matrouh poultry strain under Egyptian summer condition. *Egyptian Poultry Science*, 31, 521–537.
- Gorelova, V., Ambach, L., Rebeille, F., Stove, C. and Straeten, D. V. D. (2017). Folates in Plants: Research

Advances and Progress in Crop Biofortification. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, *5*, 21.

- Grieshop, C. M., Stahly, T. S., Ewan, R.C., Nonnecke, B. J. and Cunnick, J. E. (1999). Effect of gestational folic acid supplementation on offspring immune organ development and postnatal immune response. *Swine Research* <u>https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/swinereports</u> 1998/9
- Gross, R. L., Reid, J. V., Newberne, P. M., Burgess, B., Marston, R. and Hift, W. (1975). Depressed cellmediated immunity in megaloblastic anemia due to folic acid deficiency. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 28(3), 225–232.
- Kim, H. W., Chew, B. P., Wong, T. S., Park, J. S., Weng, B. B., Byrne, K. M., Hayek, M. G. and Reinhart, G. A. (2000). Modulation of humoral and cell-mediated immune responses by dietary lutein in cats. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 73, 331–341.
- Li, S., Zhi, L., Liu, Y., Shen, J., Liu, L., Yao, J. and Yang, X. (2016). Effect of *in ovo* feeding of folic acid on the folate metabolism, immune function and epigenetic modification of immune effector molecules of broiler. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 115(3), 411–421.
- Ma, E. H., Bantug, G., Griss, T., Condotta, S., Johnson, R. M., Samborska, B., Mainolfi, N., Suri, V., Guak, H., Balmer, M. L., Verway, M. J., Raissi, T. C., Tsui, H., Boukhaled, G., Costa, S. H. D., Frezza, C., Krawczyk, C. M., Friedman, A., Manfredi, M., Richer, M. J., Hess, C. and Jones, R. G. (2017). Serine is an essential metabolite for effector T cell expansion. *Cell Metabolism*, 25(2):482.
- Mahmood L. (2014). The metabolic processes of folic acid and Vitamin B12 deficiency. *Journal of Health Research and Reviews, 1*(1):5.
- Mikkelsen, K. and Apostolopoulos, V. (2019). Vitamin B12, Folic Acid, and the Immune System. *Nutrition and Immunity*, 103–114.
- Wagmann, T. G. and Smithies, O. (1966). A simple hemagglutination system requiring small amounts of red cells and antibodies. *Transfusion*, 6, 67–73.

How to cite this article: Suresh R. and A.K. Verma (2023). Folic Acid Supplementation on Piglets Immunity. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(9): 149-152.