

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(10): 1167-1169(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Per se Performance of Hybrids and Parents for various Growth and Yield characteristics in Tomato (Solanum lycopersicon L.)

 Durga Hemanth Kumar Ch^{1*}, Narm Naidu L.², Ravindra Babu M.³, Rajani A.⁴, Gopal K.⁵ and Paratpara Rao M.⁶
¹Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. Y.S.R Horticultural University (Andhra Pradesh), India.
²Director of Research, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University (Andhra Pradesh), India.
³Senior Scientist, HRS, Department of Vegetables, Venkataramannagudem, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University (Andhra Pradesh), India.
⁴Senior Scientist HRS, LAMFARM, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University (Andhra Pradesh), India.
⁵Associate Dean, COH, Arpet, Department of Plant Pathology, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University (Andhra Pradesh), India.
⁶Associate Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University (Andhra Pradesh), India.

(Received: 20 August 2023; Revised: 19 September 2023; Accepted: 05 October 2023; Published: 15 October 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: An experiment was conducted in Kharif 2021 at P.G. Research Farm, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, to examine the yield characteristics of parents and hybrids as a whole. When it came to yield and yield-contributing traits, such as fruit yield/plant (5.59) and number of fruits/plant (67.45), VRSL 24 × VRSL 87 was the best genotype. The genotype VRSL 66 × VRSL 104 exhibited a significant advantage in plant height (111.89) and the highest number of primary branches per plant (11.96) compared to VRSL 18 × VRSL 104, which had a higher average fruit weight (88.71). In terms of fruit diameter and length, the genotype VRSL 18 × VRSL 44 was found to be superior (5.67 and 5.70). The high yielding hybrids be utilized as commercial varieties after necessary multilocation trials.

Keywords: Tomato, mean, hybrids, per se performance.

INTRODUCTION

Tomato scientifically known as Lycopersicon esculentum Mill., are a popular vegetable that are valued globally. Due to its adaptability to a wide range of growing conditions, the wild tomato first emerged in the Peru-Ecuador-Bolvia region of the Andes (South America) (Vavilov, 1951). Since then, it has become one of the most popular vegetables worldwide. The tomato crop is used in the fresh and processed food industries, is very adaptable, and produces copious amounts of food. It is one of the healthiest vegetables, rich in essential minerals and other food ingredients as well as protein, fat, carbohydrates, and vitamins A and C. It is used in both the processed and fresh food sectors. Evaluation of performance followed by release of varieties is scientifically valid (Pidigam et al., 2019; Saidaiah et al., 2021; Rajasekhar Reddy et al., 2017). Keeping the above in view, the present investigation was carried out to assess the per se performance of the parents and hybrids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation consists of three experiments. All the experimental material were evaluated during the

period from June 2022 to January 2023 at College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh. The location falls under Agro-climatic Zone-10, humid, East Coast Plain and Hills with an average rainfall of 900 mm and is geographically situated at 16° 63' 120" N latitude and 81° 27' 568" E longitude at an altitude of 34 m (112 feet) above mean sea level. The site experiences short humid summers and mild winters. The soil of the experimental site is sandy loam with better drainage and moderate water holding capacity. At all the stages of crop growth, the weather was congenial for growth and development of tomato. Sixty diverse genotypes of tomato were evaluated for various yield and yield attributing traits. The experiment was conducted during the period from July 2021 to February 2021 and was in RBD and replicated thrice, no. of genotypes 7, 21F₁s and 2 checks with Spacing $60 \text{ cm} \times 60 \text{ cm}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fruit length maximum recorded in VRSL $18 \times VRSL$ 44 (5.67), the lower fruit length was observed in VRSL $8 \times VRSL$ 66 (3.89 cm). Plant height varied from 89.33 to 111.89 cm with general mean of 97.10cm. Among the genotypes, higher plant height VRSL 66 $\times VRSL$ rnal 15(10): 1167-1169(2023) 1167

Kumar et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(10): 1167-1169(2023) 1

104 cm was recorded 111.89 which was VRSL 24 \times VRSL 87 (89.33cm), while the no of primary branches varied from 9.21 to 11.90 with a general mean of 10.91. Among, higher number of primary branches of 11.90 was recorded in VRSL 8 \times VRSL 18, while the lower no of primary branches was observed in VRSL 44 \times VRSL 66 (9.21). Among the genotypes, higher average fruit weight of 88.71 was recorded in VRSL 24 \times VRSL 66, while the lower fruit weight was observed in

VRSL 8 × VRSL 104 (68.21g). Among, higher fruit yield of 5.59 was recorded in VRSL 24 × VRSL 87, which was followed by VRSL 8 × VRSL 87 (5.30), while the lower fruit weight was observed in VRSL 8 × VRSL 104 (2.03). Similar results were earlier reported by Singh *et al.* (2015); Kumar and Gowda (2016); Maurya *et al.* (2020); Anuradha *et al.* (2020); Kumari *et al.* (2020) for this trait in tomato.

Table 1: Mean values of	f parents, hybrids and	l two commercial checks.
-------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

Sr. No.	Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches/plant	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits/ plant	Fruit yield/plant (kg)
T1	$VRSL8 \times VRSL18$	94.11	11.90	5.45	5.32	87.23	52.65	4.59
T2	$VRSL8 \times VRSL24$	91.78	10.34	5.19	4.90	70.49	47.47	3.34
T3	$VRSL8 \times VRSL44$	94.56	11.45	4.91	4.92	69.22	31.45	2.17
T4	$VRSL8 \times VRSL66$	106.45	11.23	3.89	4.92	76.10	49.24	3.74
T5	$VRSL8 \times VRSL87$	91.34	10.56	5.12	5.32	86.77	61.66	5.30
T6	$VRSL8 \times VRSL104$	100.56	11.32	4.21	4.71	68.21	29.86	2.03
T7	$VRSL18 \times VRSL24$	91.89	10.78	4.96	4.91	69.31	37.87	2.62
T8	$VRSL18 \times VRSL44$	97.23	10.37	5.67	5.70	79.75	54.33	4.33
T9	$VRSL18 \times VRSL66$	96.23	11.67	5.32	5.32	80.17	54.85	4.39
T10	VRSL18 \times VRSL 87	91.67	11.53	5.28	5.67	86.01	61.11	4.91
T11	$VRSL18 \times VRSL104$	104.34	11.96	3.90	4.89	76.10	48.78	3.71
T12	$VRSL24 \times VRSL44$	94.33	10.67	5.47	5.48	83.10	50.99	4.23
T13	$VRSL24 \times VRSL66$	94.34	10.78	5.19	5.32	88.71	52.13	4.62
T14	VRSL24 \times VRSL 87	89.33	11.58	4.89	5.10	82.98	67.45	5.59
T15	$VRSL24 \times VRSL104$	110.35	11.01	3.92	4.78	78.04	43.25	3.37
T16	$VRSL44 \times VRSL66$	98.50	9.21	5.66	5.12	78.78	52.43	4.13
T17	$VRSL44 \times VRSL87$	98.43	9.86	4.98	5.03	72.44	32.87	2.38
T18	$VRSL44 \times VRSL104$	107.37	9.89	3.98	4.91	72.99	45.37	3.31
T19	$VRSL66 \times VRSL87$	97.11	10.31	4.94	5.21	72.09	30.65	2.26
T20	$VRSL66 \times VRSL104$	111.89	10.65	3.90	4.98	76.11	46.78	3.56
T21	VRSL87 \times VRSL 104	92.19	10.78	5.26	5.43	80.63	61.01	4.88
T22	VRSL8	92.78	11.82	5.23	5.20	93.76	50.50	4.73
T23	VRSL18	99.16	9.32	5.80	5.70	78.89	51.66	4.07
T24	VRSL24	94.42	12.85	5.60	5.60	81.95	55.33	4.53
T25	VRSL44	96.50	10.50	4.73	4.80	65.63	18.00	1.18
T26	VRSL66	114.20	11.88	3.50	4.56	71.52	43.92	3.14
T27	VRSL87	85.99	11.30	5.38	5.93	81.29	57.66	4.68
T28	VRSL104	97.47	10.19	5.43	5.43	81.80	55.00	4.49
T29	Arka Samrat (Resistant)	91.99	13.30	4.89	4.93	76.44	61.66	4.71
T30	Arka Vikas (susceptible)	86.56	8.32	4.31	4.78	69.18	28.86	1.99
T31	Mean	97.10	10.91	4.89	5.16	77.85	47.67	3.88
T32	StdError	0.14	0.01	0.14	0.01	0.03	0.19	0.08
T33	CD@5%	0.42	0.03	0.42	0.03	0.10	0.53	0.24

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Considering the mean performance, four superior hybrids for fruit yield viz., The identified promising crosses (VRSL $24 \times$ VRSL 87, VRSL 87, VRSL 87, VRSL 18 \times VRSL 87 and VRSL 87 \times VRSL 104) could be further evaluated in comparison with commercial hybrids in multilocational trails to confirm their potentiality and to know their stability over different agroclimatic situations. Therefore, using the identified superior cross in additional improvement studies using different breeding strategies is recommended.

Acknowledgement. Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem's, College of Horticulture provided the funding and labour for this study (A.P.) Development of resistant hybrids against tomato leaf curl virus in tomato (*Solanum esculentum* L.). The author is very thankful to Dr. L. Naram Naidu, Director of Research, from Dr. YSRHU for his support throughout the study. **Conflict of Interest.** None.

REFERENCES

- Anuradha, B., Saidaiah, P, Ravinder, R. K., Harikishan, Sudini and Geetha, A. (2020). Genetic divergence for yield and yield attributes in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), *Green Farming*, 11(4&5), 293-298.
- Anuradha, B., Saidaiah, P., Reddy, K.R., Harikishan, S. and Geetha, A. (2020). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield attributes in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). International Journal Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 9(11), 2385-391
- Kumar, S. and Gowda, P. H. R. (2016). Estimation of heterosis and combining ability in tomato for fruit

Kumar et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(10): 1167-1169(2023)

1168

shelf life and yield related traits using the line× tester crossing method. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 50(2), 400-404.

- Maurya, N., Kumari, M., Ram, C.N., Nath, S. and Kumar, S. (2020). Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cucumber (*Cucumis* sativus). Journal of pharmacognosy and phytochemistry, 9(5), 481-84.
- National Horticulture Data Base (2021-22). National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Pidigam, S., Suchandranath, Babu, M., Srinivas, N., Narshimulu, G., Srivani, S. and Adimulam (2019). Assessment of genetic diversity in yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.). Walp subsp. Sesquipedalis Verdc.) Germplasm from India using RAPD markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 66, 1231-1242. 25.
- Rajashekar Reddy, D., Saidaiah, P., Ravinder Reddy, K. and Pandravada, S. R. (2017). Mean performance of cluster bean genotypes for yield, yield parameters and

quality traits. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Science, 6(9), 3685-3693

- Saidaiah, P., Harikishan Sudini, Geetha, A. and Ravinder Reddy, K. (2018). Study of qualitative traits of germplasm of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(6), 539-543.
- Saidaiah, P., Ravinder Reddy, K., Harikishan Sudini. and Geetha, A. (2021). Mean performance of 40 genotypes in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *International journal of chemical studies*, 9(1), 279-283.
- Singh, N., Ram, C. N., Deo, C, Yadav, G. C. and Singh, D. P. (2015). Genetic variability, Heritability and Genetic advance in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum L.*). *Plant Archives*, 15(2), 705-709.
- Vavilov, N. I. (1951). The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronica Bot.*, 13, 1-366.

How to cite this article: Durga Hemanth Kumar Ch, Narm Naidu L., Ravindra Babu M., Rajani A., Gopal K. and Paratpara Rao M. (2023). Per se Performance of Hybrids and Parents for various Growth and Yield characteristics in Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(10): 1167-1169.