

## Studies on effect of integrated nutrient management and Spacing on yield of ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi* L. Sprague) in Southern zone of Telangana

T. Srikanth<sup>1\*</sup>, Veena Joshi<sup>2</sup> and D. Lakshminarayana<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic crops, College of Horticulture, SKLTSU, Hyderabad (Telangana), India.

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, SKLTSU, Mojerla (Telangana), India.

<sup>3</sup> Principal Scientist (Hort.) & Head, Floricultural Research Station, Rajendranagar, SKLTSU (Telangana), India.

(Corresponding author: T. Srikanth\*)

(Received: 12 October 2023; Revised: 28 October 2023; Accepted: 16 November 2023; Published: 15 December 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

**ABSTRACT:** A field experiment on effect of integrated nutrient management and Spacing on yield attributes of ajowan was conducted at College of Horticulture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during late kharif season of 2019-20. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with 12 treatments, replicated thrice. The treatments include four Integrated nutrient management levels (INM<sub>1</sub>, INM<sub>2</sub>, INM<sub>3</sub> and INM<sub>4</sub>) and three spacings (S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>). The results of present study demonstrated that significantly maximum number of days to 50% flowering (49.79), days to harvest from transplanting (109.56), more number of umbels per plant (226.24), umbellate per umbel (16.70), number of seeds per umbel (159.97) and seeds per umbellate (18.24) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub>(INM<sub>1</sub>+ S<sub>3</sub>). However, the test weight (1.66 g) and seed yield per plant (8.58 g) were found significant and also maximum with the application of T<sub>2</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>2</sub>) while, minimum was noticed in T<sub>7</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>). Maximum seed yield per plot (1543.73 g/plot) and seed yield per hectare (2575.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub>+ S<sub>1</sub>) where as, T<sub>9</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub>+ S<sub>3</sub>) recorded the minimum.

**Keywords:** Umbel, Hectare, Umbellate, seed, spacing, test weight.

### INTRODUCTION

Ajowan is an annual, aromatic and herbaceous plant. It is profusely branched with a height of 60–90 cm small, erect with soft fine hair. It has many branched leafy stems, feather like leaves 2–3 pinnately divided segments linear with flowers terminal and compound. The fruits are small, ovoid, muricate, around cremocarps, 2–3 mm long, with greyish-brown compressed mericarps with distinct five ridges and tubercular surface. The fruits have a very pungent aromatic taste and when rubbed, they evolve a strong aromatic odour resembling that of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*). Ajowan seeds are consumed as a spice because of its characteristic aromatic smell and pungent taste. It is used as a household remedy for indigestion. Ajowan is much valued for its antispasmodic, stimulant, tonic and carminative properties. It is administered in flatulence, dyspepsia, diarrhoea and often recommended for cholera. Spacing is an important factor for better growth and yield of the plant. Optimum number of plants are required per unit area to utilize efficiently the available production factors such as water, nutrient, light and CO<sub>2</sub>. Maximum exploitation of these factors is achieved when the plant population put forth maximum pressure on all the factors of production. As a result, individual plants are put under severe stress because of inter-plant competition. Normally maximum yields are obtained

from plant populations which do not allow plants to achieve their individual maximum potential. Thus, the entire community of plants considered for higher production rather than individual plant performance (Balasubramaniam and Palaniappan 2005). Organic manures produce food of high quality, encourage and enhance biological cycles within the farming system involving micro-organisms, soil flora and fauna, plants and animals and maintain and increase the long term fertility of soils (Mahapatra and Thirumalaiandi 2009).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during 2019-20 at College of Horticulture, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with 12 treatments, replicated thrice. The treatments include four INM levels [INM<sub>1</sub>] 100% NPK (20:40:20 kg/ha) + FYM (12 t/ha) + VC (6 t/ha) + NC (3 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 litres/ha), [INM<sub>2</sub>] 75% NPK (15:30:15 kg/ha) + FYM (12 t/ha) + VC (6 t/ha) + NC (3 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 litres/ha), [INM<sub>3</sub>] 50% NPK (10:20:10 kg/ha) + FYM (12 t/ha) + VC (6 t/ha) + NC (3 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 litres/ha), [INM<sub>4</sub>] 100% NPK + FYM (12 t/ha) (Control) and three spacings (S<sub>1</sub>) 30 cm x 10 cm, (S<sub>2</sub>) 30 cm x 30 cm and (S<sub>3</sub>) 45 cm x 30 cm. One fourth of N, K<sub>2</sub>O and entire dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> were applied as basal application. Remaining dose of 3/4<sup>th</sup> nitrogen and potassium was applied in three equal splits at 30,

60 and 90 days after transplanting. Organic manures *viz.*, well decomposed farm yard manure (12 t/ha), vermicompost (6 t/ha) and neem cake (3 t/ha) were incorporated in to respective plots one week before sowing of seed and thoroughly mixed with soil. The biofertilizer *viz.*, Arka Microbial Consortium (7.5 lit/ha) was mixed with 500 kg FYM and applied during land preparation. Observation on days to 50 % flowering, number of umbels per plant, number of umbellate per umbel, number of seeds per umbel, number of seeds per umbellate, seed yield per plant, seed yield per plot, seed yield per hectare and weight of 1000 seeds were taken. To ascertain the economic feasibility of different treatments, economics of treatments was worked out in terms of net profit per hectare so that most remunerative treatment could be recommended. The net return was calculated by subtracting cost of cultivation of each treatment from gross return. The B:C ratio was computed by dividing gross return with cost of cultivation of each treatment.

The data was analysed as per ANOVA outlined by Panse and Sukhatme<sup>3</sup>, 1985. Statistical significance was tested by 'F' value at 5 per cent level of significance. The critical difference at 0.05 levels was worked out for the effects which were significant.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data enunciated on days taken to 50% flowering as affected by the integrated nutrient management, plant geometry and their interaction is tabulated in the Table 1. The plants grown with INM<sub>1</sub> (47.88) needed significantly more number of days for 50% flowering, followed by INM<sub>2</sub> (46.74), which were on par with INM<sub>4</sub> (Control) (46.69), where as INM<sub>3</sub> recorded less number of days taken to 50% flowering (43.84). Similarly, the plant geometry of (S<sub>3</sub>) 45 cm × 30cm required significantly more number of days for 50% flowering (48.28) compared to the other two arrangements. Among the interactions, maximum number of days required for 50% flowering (49.79) was recorded with the application of T<sub>3</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>), followed by T<sub>6</sub>(INM<sub>2</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) (48.72), T<sub>12</sub>(INM<sub>4</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) (48.08) and T<sub>11</sub> (INM<sub>4</sub> + S<sub>2</sub>)(47.45). Minimum number of days taken for 50% flowering is (41.14 days) was observed in the T<sub>7</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>1</sub> ) treatment. Similar results were also reported by Kalidasu *et al.* (2008) in coriander and Sunanda<sup>5</sup> *et al.* (2014) in kasuri methi.

**Table 1: Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) and plant geometry(S) on yield attributes of ajowan.**

Treatments	Days to 50 % flowering	Days to harvest	Number of umbels per plant	Number of umbellates per umbel	Number of seeds per umbel
<b>INM</b>					
INM <sub>1</sub>	47.88 <sup>a</sup>	107.69 <sup>a</sup>	218.88 <sup>a</sup>	14.95 <sup>a</sup>	152.31 <sup>a</sup>
INM <sub>2</sub>	46.74 <sup>b</sup>	106.38 <sup>b</sup>	208.54 <sup>b</sup>	14.11 <sup>b</sup>	144.28 <sup>b</sup>
INM <sub>3</sub>	43.84 <sup>c</sup>	105.20 <sup>d</sup>	175.66 <sup>d</sup>	11.35 <sup>d</sup>	136.07 <sup>d</sup>
INM <sub>4</sub>	46.69 <sup>b</sup>	105.68 <sup>c</sup>	180.62 <sup>c</sup>	13.12 <sup>c</sup>	142.11 <sup>c</sup>
S Em ±	0.019	0.032	0.35	0.02	0.28
CD at 5%	0.058	0.095	1.05	0.06	0.84
<b>Spacings</b>					
S <sub>1</sub>	44.42 <sup>c</sup>	104.89 <sup>c</sup>	188.57 <sup>c</sup>	12.37 <sup>c</sup>	139.83 <sup>c</sup>
S <sub>2</sub>	46.16 <sup>b</sup>	105.90 <sup>b</sup>	195.59 <sup>b</sup>	13.20 <sup>b</sup>	143.78 <sup>b</sup>
S <sub>3</sub>	48.28 <sup>a</sup>	107.92 <sup>a</sup>	203.60 <sup>a</sup>	14.57 <sup>a</sup>	147.46 <sup>a</sup>
S Em ±	0.017	0.028	0.31	0.01	0.24
CD at 5%	0.050	0.082	0.90	0.05	0.73
<b>INM X S Interaction</b>					
T <sub>1</sub>	46.60	106.35	209.49	13.60	146.14
T <sub>2</sub>	47.27	107.16	220.91	14.56	150.82
T <sub>3</sub>	49.79	109.56	226.24	16.70	159.97
T <sub>4</sub>	45.40	105.12	198.31	13.18	142.25
T <sub>5</sub>	46.08	106.09	209.78	13.87	144.89
T <sub>6</sub>	48.72	107.93	217.53	15.28	145.71
T <sub>7</sub>	41.14	103.69	171.53	10.15	132.13
T <sub>8</sub>	43.85	105.29	174.55	11.63	136.75
T <sub>9</sub>	46.54	106.63	180.91	12.29	139.33
T <sub>10</sub>	44.56	104.41	174.96	12.58	138.82
T <sub>11</sub>	47.45	105.07	177.15	12.77	142.69
T <sub>12</sub>	48.08	107.57	189.75	14.02	144.83
S Em ±	0.034	0.056	0.62	0.03	0.49
CD at 5%	0.10	0.165	1.81	0.11	1.46

Among the integrated nutrient management evaluated (Table 1), significantly more number of days taken to harvest was observed in INM<sub>1</sub> (107.69), followed by INM<sub>2</sub>(106.38), however minimum number of days

(105.20) required for harvesting was recorded with INM<sub>3</sub>. Plant grown with plant geometry (S<sub>3</sub>) required significantly more number of days (107.92) for harvesting. The minimum (104.89) was recorded with

plant geometry (S<sub>1</sub>). Regarding the interaction effect, plants grown with T<sub>3</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) required more number of days (109.56) for harvesting, followed by T<sub>6</sub> (INM<sub>2</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) (107.93) and T<sub>12</sub> (INM<sub>4</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) (107.57). The minimum number of days for harvesting was observed with T<sub>7</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>) (103.69). These findings are in close conformity of Yadav and Khurana (2000), Yadav *et al.* (2002) in fennel.

Regarding the number of umbels per plant and umbellates per umbel was significantly influenced by the integrated nutrient management, plant geometry and their interactions is presented in table 1. Significantly maximum number of umbels per plant (218.88) and umbellate per umbel (14.95) was noticed with the application of INM<sub>1</sub> followed by (208.54) and (14.11) respectively at INM<sub>2</sub>. Among the different plant geometries evaluated, significantly more number of umbels per plant and umbellates per umbel was observed with 45 cm × 30 cm spacing (203.60) and (14.57) respectively, where as, (S<sub>1</sub>) 30 cm × 10 cm recorded minimum number of umbels per plant (188.57) and umbellates per umbel (12.37). Regarding the interaction effect, significantly more number of

umbels per plant (226.24) and umbellates per umbel (16.70) was found at T<sub>3</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>), While the minimum number of umbels per plant (171.53) and umbellates per umbel (10.15) was observed with T<sub>7</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>). The results are in accordance with Yadav *et al.* (2000) in fennel.

Significantly maximum number of seeds per umbel (Table 1) (152.31) and seeds per umbellate (Table 2) (16.14) was noticed with the application of INM<sub>1</sub> followed by (144.28) and (15.30) respectively at INM<sub>2</sub>. Among the different plant geometries evaluated, significantly more number of seeds per umbel and seeds per umbellate was observed with 45 cm x 30 cm spacing (147.46) and (15.67) respectively, where as, (S<sub>1</sub>) 30 cm x 10 cm recorded minimum number of seeds per umbel (139.83) and seeds per umbellate (13.59). Regarding the interaction effect, significantly more number of seeds per umbel (159.97) and seeds per umbellate (18.24) was found at T<sub>3</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>), While the minimum number of seeds per umbel (132.13) and seeds per umbellate (12.71) was observed with T<sub>7</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>). Similar results was noticed by Yadav *et al.* (2000) in fennel and Naruka *et al.* (2012) in ajowan.

**Table 2: Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) and plant geometry(S) on yield attributes of ajowan.**

Treatments	Number of seeds per umbellates	Test weight (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Seed yield per plant (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Seed yield per plot (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Seed yield per hectare (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>INM</b>					
INM <sub>1</sub>	16.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.46 <sup>a</sup>	8.37 <sup>a</sup>	805.84 <sup>a</sup>	1345.24 <sup>a</sup>
INM <sub>2</sub>	15.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.28 <sup>b</sup>	6.76 <sup>b</sup>	614.45 <sup>b</sup>	1024.56 <sup>b</sup>
INM <sub>3</sub>	12.84 <sup>d</sup>	0.89 <sup>d</sup>	4.34 <sup>d</sup>	410.68 <sup>d</sup>	687.49 <sup>d</sup>
INM <sub>4</sub>	13.97 <sup>c</sup>	1.11 <sup>c</sup>	5.44 <sup>c</sup>	504.81 <sup>c</sup>	840.39 <sup>c</sup>
S Em ±	0.06	0.008	0.036	3.52	3.36
CD at 5%	0.20	0.024	0.10	10.34	9.86
<b>Spacings</b>					
S <sub>1</sub>	13.59 <sup>c</sup>	1.05 <sup>c</sup>	5.85 <sup>c</sup>	1098.09 <sup>a</sup>	1832.94 <sup>a</sup>
S <sub>2</sub>	14.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.29 <sup>a</sup>	6.68 <sup>a</sup>	398.60 <sup>b</sup>	665.04 <sup>b</sup>
S <sub>3</sub>	15.67 <sup>a</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	6.15 <sup>b</sup>	255.14 <sup>c</sup>	425.28 <sup>c</sup>
S Em ±	0.05	0.007	0.031	3.05	2.91
CD at 5%	0.17	0.021	0.09	8.96	8.54
<b>INM X S Interaction</b>					
T <sub>1</sub>	14.76	1.24	8.17	1543.73	2575.03
T <sub>2</sub>	15.43	1.66	8.58	535.99	895.47
T <sub>3</sub>	18.24	1.48	8.38	337.81	565.23
T <sub>4</sub>	13.81	1.14	6.13	1162.97	1938.45
T <sub>5</sub>	15.34	1.38	7.72	424.05	706.78
T <sub>6</sub>	16.76	1.33	6.43	256.34	428.47
T <sub>7</sub>	12.71	0.85	4.16	743.10	1247.49
T <sub>8</sub>	12.88	0.93	4.48	281.32	468.97
T <sub>9</sub>	12.91	0.91	4.40	207.62	346.03
T <sub>10</sub>	13.10	1.00	4.96	942.59	1570.82
T <sub>11</sub>	14.02	1.20	5.94	353.06	588.97
T <sub>12</sub>	14.79	1.14	5.42	218.8	361.40
S Em ±	0.11	0.014	0.063	6.12	5.82
CD at 5%	0.35	0.043	0.18	17.92	17.09

The data on test weight, and Seed yield per plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) which is furnished in the table 2. Regarding the integrated nutrient management evaluated, it was observed that highest test weight (1.46) and Seed yield per plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) (8.37) was recorded with INM<sub>1</sub>, followed by INM<sub>2</sub>(1.28 and 6.76 respectively), where as INM<sub>3</sub> (0.89 and 4.34 respectively) recorded the lowest test weight and Seed yield per plant. Among the different plant geometries evaluated, significantly higher test weight (1.29) and Seed yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) (6.68) was observed with plant geometry of (S<sub>2</sub>) 30 cm × 30 cm. With respect to the interaction effect, maximum test weight (1.66) and Seed yield per plant (8.58) was observed with T<sub>2</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>2</sub>), followed by T<sub>3</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) (1.48 and 8.38 respectively). However, minimum test weight (0.85) and Seed yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) (4.16) was found at T<sub>7</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>). The overall improvement in plant growth by cell division, cell enlargement and production of sufficient photosynthates through increased chlorophyll content of leaves on one hand and efficient utilization/mobilization of photosynthates towards development of flowers and fruits on the other hand, might have been responsible for increased yield attributes like test weight and Seed yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>). Similar results was noticed by Valadabadi and Farahani (2011) on *Nigella sativa* L.

Seed yield per plot and per hectare was significantly influenced by the integrated nutrient management, plant geometry and their interactions were presented in Table 2. Regarding integrated nutrient management, significantly higher seed yield per plot (805.84 g plot<sup>-1</sup>) and per hectare (1345.24 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the application of INM<sub>1</sub>, over all other combinations. The lowest seed yield per plot (410.68 g plot<sup>-1</sup>) and per hectare (687.49 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), was observed at INM<sub>3</sub>, which

was significantly inferior to all other combinations. Among the different plant geometries evaluated, significantly higher seed yield per plot (1098.09 g plot<sup>-1</sup>) and per hectare (1832.94 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the plant geometry 30 cm × 10 cm. The significantly lowest seed yield per plot and per hectare was observed with the plant geometry (S<sub>3</sub>) 45 cm × 30 cm (255.14 g plot<sup>-1</sup> and 425.28 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively). Among the interactions, significantly higher seed yield per plot (1543.73 g plot<sup>-1</sup>) and per hectare (2575.03 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the application of T<sub>1</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (INM<sub>2</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>) (1162.97 g plot<sup>-1</sup> and 1938.45 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively), which were significantly superior to all other treatments. The lowest seed yield per plot (207.62 g plot<sup>-1</sup>) and per hectare (346.03 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed at T<sub>9</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>). The above results indicated that plant with closer spacing with integrated nutrient management leads to more seed yield per plot compared to wider spacing that might be due to more plant population per unit area resulted in higher yield per plot. Similar results were reported by Muvel *et al.* (2015), Tripathi and Diwedi (2009) and Premnath *et al.* (2008) in ajowan.

#### Economics

Net returns and benefit cost ratio worked out for the entire integrated nutrient management practices taking into consideration of the inputs used for crop production, and the crop produce (economic yield). The data regard to the net returns and benefit cost ratio of integrated nutrient management with plant geometries are furnished in Table 3. The maximum net returns (Rs. 2,32,305) with BC ratio of 3.02 was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (INM<sub>1</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (INM<sub>2</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>) and plant with T<sub>9</sub> (INM<sub>3</sub> + S<sub>3</sub>) incurred the lower net returns (Rs. 638) and BC ratio (0.01).

**Table 3: Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) and plant geometry(S) on cost of cultivation in different treatments (₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>).**

Treatment combination	Cost of seedling and fertilizers (NPK) (₹)	Total cost of cultivation (₹)	Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Benefit cost ratio (B:C ratio)
T <sub>1</sub> (INM <sub>1</sub> + S <sub>1</sub> )	36823	76695	25.75	309000	232305	3.02
T <sub>2</sub> (INM <sub>1</sub> + S <sub>2</sub> )	14583	54455	8.95	107400	52945	0.97
T <sub>3</sub> (INM <sub>1</sub> + S <sub>3</sub> )	10990	50862	5.65	67800	16938	0.34
T <sub>4</sub> (INM <sub>2</sub> + S <sub>1</sub> )	35949	75821	19.38	232560	156739	2.06
T <sub>5</sub> (INM <sub>2</sub> + S <sub>2</sub> )	13709	53581	7.06	84720	31139	0.58
T <sub>6</sub> (INM <sub>2</sub> + S <sub>3</sub> )	10116	49988	4.28	51360	1372	0.02
T <sub>7</sub> (INM <sub>3</sub> + S <sub>1</sub> )	35077	74946	12.47	149640	74694	0.99
T <sub>8</sub> (INM <sub>3</sub> + S <sub>2</sub> )	12837	52709	4.68	56160	3451	0.06
T <sub>9</sub> (INM <sub>3</sub> + S <sub>3</sub> )	9244	40882	3.46	41520	638	0.01
T <sub>10</sub> (INM <sub>4</sub> + S <sub>1</sub> )	36823	65723	15.70	188400	122677	1.86
T <sub>11</sub> (INM <sub>4</sub> + S <sub>2</sub> )	14583	43483	5.88	70560	27077	0.63
T <sub>12</sub> (INM <sub>4</sub> + S <sub>3</sub> )	10990	39890	3.61	43320	3430	0.08



Plants at 30 DAT



Plants at 60 DAT



Plants at 90 DAT

**Plate 1:** General view of the experiment at 30, 60 and 90 DAT.

## CONCLUSION

It is clearly concluded that there is a good scope of increasing crop yields through the use of organic manures, bio-fertilizers with different NPK levels. It is extremely important for sustaining production and improving the fertility of soils. The present study indicated that crop grown with  $T_3$  ( $INM_1 + S_3$ ) recorded more number of umbels per plant, number of umbellate per umbel, number of seeds per umbel, number of seeds per umbellate due to profuse branching and more number of secondary and primary branches. However,

the highest seed yield per plot and per hectare was recorded in  $T_1$  ( $INM_1 + S_1$ ) due to more population per unit area.

## REFERENCES

- Balasubramaniyan, P. and Palaniappan, S. P. (2005). *Principle and Practices of Agronomy*. Publisher Agrobios, (India) Jodhpur. 158-85.
- Kalidasu, G., Sarada, C. and Reddy, T. Y. (2008). Efficacy of biofertilizers on the performance of rainfed coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*). *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops*, 17(2), 98-102.
- Mahapatra, B. S. and Thirumalaiandi Ramasubramanian (2009). Organic farming for sustainable agriculture: Global and Indian perspective. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 54(2), 178-185.
- Muvel, R., Naruka, I. S., Chundawat, R.S., Shaktawat, R. P. S., Rathore, S. S. and Verma, K. S. (2015). Production, productivity and quality of ajwain (*Trachyspermum ammi* L. Sprague) as affected by plant geometry and fertilizer levels. *International J. Seed Spices*, 5(2), 32-37.
- Naruka, I. S., Singh, P. P., Megha Barde. and Rathore, S. S. (2012). Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of Ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi* L. Sprague). *International Journal Seed Spices*, 2(1), 12-17.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhtame, P.V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Premnath, J. R. C., Verma, R. B. and Yadav, G. C. (2008). Effect of date of sowing, nitrogen levels and spacing on growth and yield of Ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi* L. Sprague). *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops*, 17(1), 1-4.
- Sunanda, B. B., Shetty, G. R. and Venkatesh, J. (2014). Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of kasuri methi (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) under hill zone of Karnataka. *International Journal of Seed Spices*, 4(2), 62-67.
- Tripathi, S. M. and Dwivedi, A. K. (2009). Effect of plant geometry on growth and yield of ajowain (*Trachyspermum ammi*) cultivar 'Azad Ajowain-1'. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences*. 1(1), 52-63.
- Valadabadi, S. A. and Farahani, H. A. (2011). Investigation of biofertilizers influence on quantity and quality characteristics in *Nigella sativa* L. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*, 3(3), pp. 88-92.
- Yadav, B. D. and Khurana, S. C. (2000). Effect of plant population and row spacing on quality of seed produced by different order umbels in transplanted fennel. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 2(3), 233-35.
- Yadav, A. C., Yadav, J. S., Dhankhar, O. P., Avtar Singh. and Singh, A. (2002). Yield and yield attributes of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) as influenced by various row and plant spacings. *Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research*, 32(2), 81-83.
- Yadav, A. C., Yadav, J. S. and Dhankhar, O. P. (2000). Effect of row and plant spacing on growth and yield of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) cv. PF-35. *Centennial Conference on Spices and Aromatic Plants*. 199-01.

**How to cite this article:** T. Srikanth, Veena Joshi and D. Lakshminarayana (2023). Studies on effect of integrated nutrient management and Spacing on yield of ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi* L. Sprague) in Southern zone of Telangana. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(12): 64-68.