

BioControl Agents - Antagonistic Magicians against Soil Borne Pathogens: A Review

Ankit Kumar Upadhyay*, Sai Ram Bandi and Peddagoud Devi Maruthi

Department of Plant Pathology, School of Agriculture,
Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar-Delhi, G.T. Road, Phagwara, (Punjab), India.

(Corresponding author: Ankit Kumar Upadhyay *)

(Received 01 January 2021, Accepted 19 March, 2021)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Biological control agents are the core to Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IPDM) strategy with a defined objective of sustainable agriculture. With the current food production strategy involving lots of chemical input raised the cost of produce and threat to the environment leading to the foundation of biological management practices. Various groups of bioControl agents viz. *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., *Streptomyces* spp., *Trichoderma* spp. etc has been shown to have profound effect against soil-borne pathogen being highly race specific. Their efficacy can be explored in one or the other way like showing Hyperparasitism, Antibiotics (Plipastatins), Induced Systemic Resistance (ISR) like -1,3 glucanase by *Bacillus mycoides*. Several known secondary metabolites like Gliotoxin in case of *Trichoderma* spp., 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) in case of *Pseudomonas* spp. are found to be antagonistic against a wide range of soil borne pathogen viz. *Rhizoctonia* spp., *Verticillium* spp. in addition to the plant's Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR) mechanism to tackle diseases which can be enhanced with these microbes. The major challenge in writing this paper lies insorting out the information from the redundant one to avoid mess in our works cited pages meanwhile properly balancing the most actual studies as well as prominent researchers of the decade who contributed in the development of particular topic which was mitigated with distribution and compilation of literature in a master file dealing from general to specific and tried to describe the topic in modern as well as fundamental work in 1:2 ratio. This review paper will examine various bioControl agents, their mode of action, secondary metabolite production and their effectiveness in managing soil borne diseases.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas* spp., *Trichoderma* spp., ISR, SAR, Secondary metabolite, Soil Borne Pathogen

I. INTRODUCTION

Since time immemorial, agriculture and its associated industry have made an important contribution to the growing GDP of the country. Indian agriculture saw various revolutions ranging from the green revolution to the pink revolution. However the aim of maximum output, also resulted in a significant land decline, costing sustainability. But people and government agencies in the evolving era started to reflect on organic production that aims at maximum and sustainable production simultaneously. This paper discusses the bioControl agents mainly focusing on *Trichoderma* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. as well as their potentiality against soil borne pathogen.

Biological control agent refers to the use of any natural or modified organism; gene products which antagonists the effects of other undesirable organism and at the same time favoring desirable organisms viz. crops, beneficial insects, or microorganisms. In their mechanism of action these agents induce various factors in the plants such as enhanced resistance (SAR) against a pathogen or competition pressure is exerted on

them for food and space; interacting via antibiosis mechanism or secreting antimicrobial compounds that are unfavorable to the pathogen; kill and invade pathogen spores as well as mycelium, cell, and endospores of pathogen. Thus, these agents become suitable alternatives for plant disease management as well as to chemical fertilizer.

Agustino Bassi was the first person to demonstrate microorganism has some antagonistic effect on other with *Beuveria bassiana* which causes muscardian disease in silkworm in 1834 (Anonymous, 2021).

However the term was 1st coined by H.S. Smith in 1919 (Doutt, 1964). Later with advancement of golden era of microbiology the use of biotechnology such as genetic engineering has allowed us to enhance the properties of these agents in terms of their compatibility and effectiveness (production of toxic compounds), their suitability to adapt and survive in various abiotic and biotic stress condition. This increases the potential for improving the properties of these bioControl agents in par with molecular approaches (Singh *et al.*, 2020).

The biggest question is why to use bioControl agents before using chemicals. So, understanding its perspective:

- Bio control agents reduce acute and long-term impacts of chemicals on humans, animals, non-target organisms as well as environment.
- It also reduces the specific risk to water and the environment in ecological term.
- It behaves like homoeopathic medicines, i.e. has the capacity for permanent reduction of soil and foliage borne pathogen.
- No need of safeners thus cutting its costs and danger to the farm workers.
- Also surging organic food demand by consumer is pulling their use till now.
- Biological management techniques are also being used in domestic applications as mosquito abstract. Example: Citronellol oil. Example:
- The risk of pest resurgence is lower (Moazami, 2019, Cumagun, 2012).

A. Importance of Plant Diseases

It has been estimated that diseases, insects, and weeds together annually destroys around 31 percent and 42 percent of crop production of all crops produced worldwide. However, these losses are usually lower in the more developed countries than in developing countries. Of the total estimated 36.5% of global crop losses 14.1% caused by diseases, 10.2% by insects,

and weeds accounts 12.2% loss (Agrios, (2005), Alexopoulos *et al.*, (1996).

Anonymous, (1994), Hillocks *et al.*, (1998), Cobb, (1914).

B. Management of plant diseases

Plant diseases so far managed with the advent in technology and experience from previous agricultural champions, but at what cost?

People have relied on the use of many poisonous chemicals to combat plant diseases and other pests for centuries. Long and short-term use of such chemicals necessitated their use not only for plants, but also for soil and soil-borne microorganisms, which profited from their use. Their effects are antagonistic to non-target microbes as well as humans, in addition to killing of harmful microorganisms. List of Potential bioControl agents against soil borne pathogen are mentioned in Table 1.

These effects restrict the volume of land available per year for agriculture, the varieties of plants which can be planted on fields and entail their use annually as of millions of kilogrammes of pesticides to process crops, fumigate soils, spray plants and fruit postharvests ascending the prices of crop production via such activities. On the other side, some examples of the pest resurgence were also found where the minor pests or diseases occupied the region (ex: Kernal bunt of wheat in Punjab).

Table 1: List of Potential bioControl agents against soil borne pathogen.

S. No.	BioControl agent	Pathogen	Disease	Reference
1.	<i>Coniothyrium minitans</i>	<i>Sclerotinia sclerotium</i>	Root rot	Pertot <i>et al.</i> , 2015.
		<i>Sclerotinia trifoliorum</i>	Root rot	
2.	<i>Gliocladium catenulatum</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia, Pythium, Phytophthora, Didymella, Botrytis, Cladosporium, Penicillium, and Plicaria</i>	Rotting	
		<i>Verticillium, Fusarium</i>	Wilting	
		<i>Alternaria, Helminthosporium</i>	Blight/leaf spot	
3.	<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i>	<i>Meloidogyne spp.</i>	Root knot nematodes	
		<i>Radopholus similis</i>	Burrowing nematode	
		<i>Heterodera spp. and Globodera spp.</i>	Cyst nematodes	
		<i>Pratylenchus spp.</i>	Root lesion nematodes	
4.	<i>Pythium oligandrum</i>	<i>Botrytis, Gaeumannomyces, Ophiostoma, Phoma, Pythium, Sclerotinia and Sclerotium</i>	Root Rot	
		<i>Alternaria, Pseudocercospora</i>	Leaf Spot	
		<i>Fusarium</i>	Wilting	

5.	<i>Streptomyces lydicus</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia</i> , <i>Pythium</i> , <i>Phytophthora</i> , <i>Phytophthora</i> , <i>Aphanomyces</i> , <i>Monosporascus</i> , <i>Armillaria</i> , <i>Sclerotinia</i> , <i>Postia</i> , <i>Geotrichum</i>	Root Rot	Himmelstein <i>et al.</i> , 2010.
		<i>Fusarium Verticillium</i> ,	Wilting	
6.	<i>S. tsusimaensis</i>	<i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>ciceris</i>	Wilting	Gopalakrishnan <i>et al.</i> , 2011.
7.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>Plasmidiophora brassicae</i>	Root rot	Ganeshan & Kumar September 2005.
8	<i>P. fluorescens</i> <i>P. putida</i>	<i>Pythium ultimum</i>	Root rot	Cassinelli <i>et al.</i> , 1993.
9.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>X. oryzaepvoryzae</i>	BLB	Meena <i>et al.</i> , 2001.
10.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>Magnaporthe</i> <i>grisea</i>	Blast	Karpagavalli <i>et al.</i> , 2002.
11.	<i>P. fluorescens</i> biovar I and III	<i>Helminthosporium sativum</i>	Brown spot	Wang-Ping <i>et al.</i> , 1999.
12.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>R. bataticola</i>	Root rot	Shaid Ahamad <i>et al.</i> , 2000.
13.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>Heterodera cajani</i>	Cyst nematode	Latha <i>et al.</i> , 2000.
14.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>P. solanacearum</i>	Wilt	Thara & Gnanamanickam 1990).
15.	<i>P. fluorescens</i>	<i>Phytophthora cinnamomi</i>	Root rot	Sorokina <i>et al.</i> , 1999).
16.	<i>Trichoderma lingorum</i>	<i>Polyporus sanguineus</i>	White rot	Kundu and Chaterjee, 2003
17.	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	Dry root rot	Raghuchander <i>et al.</i> , 1997.
18.	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>Fusarium oxysporium</i>	Wilting	Dubey <i>et al.</i> , 2001.
19.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	Dry root rot	Raghuchander <i>et al.</i> , 1997, Mishra <i>et al.</i> , 2011.
		<i>Fusarium oxysporium</i>	Wilting	Dubey <i>et al.</i> , 2001.
20.	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>Fusarium solani</i> <i>F. oxysporum</i> f sp. <i>Lycopersici</i> <i>Sclerotinia sclerotium</i>	Wilting Root rot	Balaji and Ahir, 2011 Jadon, 2009.
21.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Fusarium solani</i> <i>F. oxysporum</i> f sp. <i>Lycopersici</i> <i>Sclerotinia sclerotium</i>	Wilting Root rot	Balaji and Ahir, 2011 Jadon, 2009.
22.	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	Root rot	Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 2003.
23.	<i>T. harzianum</i> <i>T. viride</i>	<i>Alternaria alternate</i>	Leaf spot	Kapoor. 2008.
24.	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>Pythium vexans</i>	Damping off	Bhai and Thomas. 2010.
25.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>F. oxysporium</i> f.sp. <i>dianthi</i>	Wilt	Shanmugam <i>et al.</i> , 2008.
26.	<i>T. pseudokoningii</i>	<i>Chaetomium globosum</i>	Minor root rot	Johnson and Palaniswami, 1999
28.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i>	Damping off	Johnson and Palaniswami, 1999
29.	<i>T. resse</i>	<i>Penicillium spp.</i>	Rot	Mukherjee <i>et al.</i> , 1997.
30.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>		Haware <i>et al.</i> , 1999.
31.	<i>T. viride</i>	<i>Sclerotinia rolfsii</i>		Pandey, 2003. Poddar <i>et al.</i> , 2004.
32.	<i>T. harzianum</i> <i>T. viride</i>	<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	Wilt	Karthikeyan <i>et al.</i> , 2006.
33.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Phomopsis thaeae</i>	Blight	Deb and Dutta, 1999
34.	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Glomerellacingulata</i>	Anthrachnose	
35.	<i>T. harzianum</i> <i>T. viride</i>	<i>Fusarium udum</i>	Wilt	Ram <i>et al.</i> , 2011.

Plant pathology has a key challenge to minimise loss in food grain production and at the same time increased food quality with an aim of safeguarding the ecosystem which can be achieved by modern day technologies such as genetic engineering, bioformulation, RNA silencing, and so on can be used in combination with traditional activities or ITK to feed the vast increasing 8 billion people economy (Agrios, 2005).

C. Mode of action of bioControl agents

There are different mode of actions used by bioControl agents against phytopathogens viz., Induced resistance, Competition, Hyperparasitism, Antimicrobial metabolite productions. The first two have indirect interactions with pathogens and the latter two consists of direct interaction with the pathogen. Induced resistance are screened by complex bioassays on plants, it has broad pathogen specificity and lower dependency on environment and risk of resistance but the dependency on plant physiology is high (Kohl *et al.*, 2019). The second mode of action is competition for food and nutrients, in this mode of action the bioControl agents are screened by simplified bioassays and it has broad pathogen specificity. It is totally dependent on environmental conditions and consists low risk of pathogen resistance. Hyperparasitism consists of aspects same as the Competition but it has specific pathogen interactions. Production of antimicrobial metabolites has broad pathogen specificity and moderate dependency on environmental conditions and low risk of pathogen resistance. They are screened by simple bioassays (Kohl *et al.*, 2019).

Induced resistance and Priming: BioControl agents produce chemical stimuli which triggers the host defense mechanism of host plant through biochemical changes that expresses resistance to the subsequent infection of pathogens (Nega, 2014). The stimuli which produced by plants are known as “PAMP’S (pathogen associated molecular pattern). The stimuli which is produced by microorganisms for induction of resistance are known as “MAMP’s (microbe associated molecular pattern) (Kohl *et al.*, 2019). In plants resistance against pathogens can be two types 1. Systemic acquired resistance (SAR), where resistance is induced directed at infected tissue or spread through plant (Kohl *et al.*, 2019). This type of resistance is mediated by salicylic acid which help in production pathogenesis related enzymes and proteins (Nega, 2014). SAR type of resistance is mainly shown in necrotic pathogens (Conrath *et al.*, 2015). Another type of resistance is 2. Induced systemic resistance (ISR) where a nonpathogenic bacteria is used for acquiring resistance (conrath *et al.*, 2015). ISR is mediated by ethylene or jasmonic acid (Nega, 2014). The examples of ISR is production of peroxidase, chitinase and -1,3-glucanase in sugar beet by a *Bacillus mycoides* (Bargabus *et al.*, 2003), production of 2, 3-butanediol in Arabidopsis by

B. subtilis GB03 and IN937 (Ryu *et al.*, 2004), production of lipopolysaccharide in Arabidopsis by *Pseudomonas putida* (Meziane *et al.*, 2005) and production of siderophore in cucumber by *Serratia marcescens* 90-166 (Press *et al.*, 2001). The above two resistance mechanisms are decreased in the absence of stimuli. To enhance the defense mechanism not only in the presence of stimulus but also for future and long lasting system for faster defense mechanism is carried out by “priming of plant with stimuli” (Mauch-Mani *et al.*, 2017).



Fig. 1. Shows effect of bioControl agent as a growth promoter.

Competition. This type of mode of action is most suitable for disease control of necrotic pathogens. Generally necrotic pathogens invade the host tissue, colonize in that tissue and absorb the nutrients present in it. Some of the necrotic pathogens won't able to complete their lifecycle on host tissue therefore they live as saprophytes on necrotic lesions of plant tissues in soil, crop residues and other nonhost residues. During this time the pathogens survive independently of hosts depending upon the species. It is known fact that microbes depend upon the exogenous nutrients during their saprophytic stages. The dependence of nonbiotrophic pathogens on the exogenous nutrients make these pathogens vulnerable to the nutrient competition (Kohl and Fokkema, 1998). So the bioControl agents which are highly competitive and absorb the nutrients faster can be targeted at the necrotic pathogens. These bioControl agents reduce the production of primary inoculum of necrotic pathogens on which the infection from diseased tissues to healthy tissues are blocked (Kohl and Fokkema, 1998). Examples, in apple *Venturia inaequalis* is controlled by *Microsphaeropsis ochracea* (Carisse *et al.*, 2000). In Rose *Botrytis cineria* is controlled by *Clonostachys rosea* (Morandi *et al.*, 2003). Several species of soil borne pathogens such as *Fusarium* and *Pythium* which are infecting through mycelial contact are susceptible to other soil born microbes than that directly infect by germination on plant tissues (Nega, 2014). The soil microbes colonize in areas where there are high abundance of water and

carbohydrates which are readily available and utilizes root mucilage. The microbes produce iron binding ligands known as siderophores that sequester the iron from environment, microbes that produces large amounts of siderophores can be used as bioControl agents against pathogens that produce less siderophores (Bakker *et al.*, 1993. Van Loon, 2000. Whipps, 2001. Lutenberg and Kamilova, 2009).

Hyperparasitism: The direct interaction between two organisms in which other organism gains the nutrients from its host is parasitism, the organism which gains the nutrients is known as parasite. The interaction of plant pathogen is known as hyperparasitism. This kind of interactions are generally observed in fungi which are known as “mycoparasities” and rarely observed in bacteria. In bacteria, hyperparasite *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* uses cytoplasm as its host of gram negative bacteria for nutrients (McNeely *et al.*, 2017). In biotrophic mycoparasitism, the parasite gain nutrient from host fungus via haustoria without killing the host (Kohl *et al.*, 2019). In necrotrophic hyperparasites they invade host cell after killing the host cell. The main mechanism involved in this kind of parasitism is that they produce cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDE’s) sometimes in combination with the other metabolites which lead to opening of cell wall and disorientation of cytoplasm. Since fungi cell wall are made up of chitin and glucans the enzymes produced are chitinases, -1,3-glucanases and proteases and in case of oomycote fungi cellulases enzyme is produced. CWDE’s are generally produced in environment during the decomposition of organic matter from dead plants and fungal hyphae which plays a major role in nutrient recycling in ecosystem (Kohl *et al.*, 2019). Hyperparasites produce CWDE’s in low amounts in micro-niches where they intact with their hosts (kohl *et al.*, 2019). Generally, there are four classes of hyperparasites, obligate bacterial pathogen, hypoviruses, facultative parasites and predators (Nega, 2014). The example of obligate bacterial pathogen is *Pasteuria penetrans* which is used against root knot nematode (Nega, 2014). The example of hypovirusesis *Cryphonectria parasitica* which is used against chestnut blight (Nega, 2014). A part from hyperparasitism microbial predation is also present which is non pathogen specific and the control of

diseases are less predicted. Example of predation is *Trichoderma* which produces chitinase in the decomposing bark that parasites *Rhizoctonia solani* (Sharma and Bhat, 2001).

The development of resistance by plant pathogens against hyperparasitism by biological control agent is not yet reported (Kohl *et al.*, 2019). However, they produce resting spores such as endospores, chlamyospores and sclerotia against the naturally occurring bioControl agents hyperparasitism (Kohl *et al.*, 2019).



Fig. 2. Antagonistic activity of fungal bioControl agent *Trichoderma spp.* against pathogen.

Antimicrobial metabolites: Antimicrobial metabolites are secondary metabolites produced by microorganisms that is of heterogenous nature and organic, consists low molecular weight which suppresses the growth and metabolic activities of other microorganisms (Thomashow *et al.*, 1997). Many microorganisms produce metabolites in small quantity in nature. The metabolites produced by actinomycetes are 8700, bacteria (2900) and fungi (4900) (Berdy, 2005). Antimicrobial metabolite production is often considered as most desired mode of action against other microorganisms as it suppresses the growth and activity in resource limited conditions (Raaijmakers and Mazola, 2012). The broad spectrum metabolite production is reported from the microbes such as *Agrobacterium*, *Bacillus*, *Pantoea*, *Pseudomonas*, *Serratia*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Streptomyces*. List of secondary metabolites produced by potential bioControl agents are mentioned in Table 2 and Table 3.

List of several secondary metabolites produced by bioControl agents:

Table 2: Secondary metabolites produced by potential bioControl agents (*Trichoderma spp.*).

S. No.	Name of the metabolite	Produced by bio control agent	Phytopathogens/ Target pathogens	References
1.	Epipolythiodioxopiperazines:			
	Gliotoxin	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	<i>R. bataticola</i> , <i>P. aphanidermatum</i> , <i>R. solani</i> , <i>M. phaseolina</i> , <i>S. rolfsii</i>	Brain (1944).
	Gliovirin	<i>Trichoderma longibrachiatum</i> , <i>Trichoderma virens</i>	<i>R. solani</i> , <i>Pythium ultimum</i>	Stipanovic <i>et al.</i> , (1982)

2	Peptaibols			
	Alamethicin -F30	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	<i>P. aphanidermatum, R. solani</i>	Brewer <i>et al.</i> , (1987).
	Trichokonins 6, 7 and 8	<i>Trichoderma koningii</i>	<i>R. solani, Fusarium oxysporum, Verticillium dahliae, and Botrytis cinerea.</i>	Yan <i>et al.</i> , (2006).
	Trichorzianine A1 and B1	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	<i>P. ultimum, Botrytis cinerea, Sclerotium rolfsii, Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Goulard <i>et al.</i> , (1995).
3	Pyrones			
	Pyrone 6-PP	<i>T. viride, T. koningii</i> and <i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>F. oxysporum, R. solani, Candida albicans, Penicillium spp., Cryptococcus neoformans, and A. fumigatus</i>	Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2008). Collins <i>et al.</i> , (1972). Claydon <i>et al.</i> , (1987). Simon <i>et al.</i> , (1988).
4	Butenolides:			
	Harzianolide and T39 Butenolide	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici, P. ultimum, R. solani, and B. cinerea</i>	Almassi <i>et al.</i> , (1991). Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2006). Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2009).
	5-Hydroxyvertinolide	<i>T. longibrachiatum</i>	<i>Mycena citricolor</i>	Andrade <i>et al.</i> , (1992).
5	Pyridones			
	Harzianopyridone	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Pythium ultimum, Rhizoctonia solani, Botrytis cinerea and Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici,</i>	Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2006). Dickinson <i>et al.</i> , (1989).
6	Azaphilones			
	Harziphilone and Fleephilone	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>P. ultimum, G. graminis var. tritici, and R. solani</i>	Nakano <i>et al.</i> , (1990). Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2006).
	T22azaphilone	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>B. cinerea, P. cinnamomi, and L. maculans</i>	Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2009).
7	Koninginins			
	Koninginins A, B, C, D, E and G	<i>Trichoderma koningii, T. harzianum</i>	<i>G. graminis var. tritici, F. oxysporum, Bipolaris sorokiniana, P. cinnamomi, and Pythium middletonii</i>	Cutler <i>et al.</i> , (1989). Almassi <i>et al.</i> , (1991). Ghisalberti <i>et al.</i> , (1993). Dunlop <i>et al.</i> , (1989).
8	Steroids			
	Stigmasterol	<i>T. koningii</i> and <i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotiumrolfsii, Macrophomina phaseolina, and Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Ahluwalia <i>et al.</i> , (2015). Ahluwalia <i>et al.</i> , (2013).
	3,5,9-trihydroxyergosta-7,22-dien-6-one and ergosterol	<i>Trichoderma spp</i>	<i>Pyricularia oryzae (grisea), Candida albicans, A. niger, and Alternaria alternata.</i>	Xuan <i>et al.</i> , (2014).
9	Anthraquinones			

	6-methyl-1,3,8-trihydroxyanthraquinone, 1-hydroxy-3-methylanthraquinone, and 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methylanthraquinone	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Sclerotium rolfisii</i> , <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> , and <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Ahluwalia <i>et al.</i> , (2015).
10	Lactones			
	Cremonolide	<i>T. cremeum</i>	<i>R. solani</i> , <i>B. cinerea</i> , and <i>F. oxysporum</i>	Vinale <i>et al.</i> , (2016).
	aspinolide C	<i>T. arundinaceum</i>	<i>B. cinerea</i> and <i>Fusarium sporotrichioides</i>	Malmierca <i>et al.</i> , (2014).
	Cerinolactone	<i>T. cerinum</i>	<i>Rosellianecatrix</i>	Arjona-Girona I <i>et al.</i> , (2014).
11	Trichothecenes			
	Trichodermin	<i>T. brevicompactum</i>	<i>R. solani</i> , <i>B. cinerea</i> , and <i>Colletotrichum lindemuthianum</i>	Shentu <i>et al.</i> , (2014).
		<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>Cochliobolus miyabeanus</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Colletotrichum lindemuthianum</i> , <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> , <i>T. cucumeris</i> , <i>C. gloeosporioides</i> , and <i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Shi <i>et al.</i> , (2009). Sha <i>et al.</i> , (2013).

Table 3: Secondary metabolites produced by potential bioControl agents (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*).

***Pseudomonas fluorescens*:**

S. No.	Bio control agent	Secondary metabolite produced	Phytopathogen	reference
1	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG)	<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i> , <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>lycopersici</i> , <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>lini</i> , <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var. <i>tritici</i> , <i>Pythium debaryanum</i> , <i>Pythium ultimum</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> .	Keel, Christoph <i>et al.</i> , (1992).
		Extracellular protease – AprA	Nematodes	Siddiqui <i>et al.</i> , (2005).

Antibiotics produced by *Bacillus spp*: The production of plipastatins A and B by *Bacillus subtilis* (strain NCIB 8872) will show effect on the *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Aspergillus flavus* by inhibiting the phospholipase A2 enzyme (Volpon and Besson, 2000). *Bacillus subtilis* (SSE4, RB14) produce Subtulene A, iturin A which show effect on *colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *sclerotium rolfisii* by disruption and solubilization of lipid bilayer (Thasana *et al.*, 2010 and Ohno *et al.*, 1995). *Bacillus subtilis* (B29) produces protein-B29 inhibits the growth of phytopathogens such as *F. oxysporum*, *R. solani*, *F. moniliforme*, and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by inhibiting the growth of mycelium (Li *et al.*, 2009). Another species of bacillus genes, *B. amyloliquefaciens* (AS 43.3) produces active compounds such as Surfactin, iturin, fengycin, a bacillibactin, bacilysin, bacillaene, difficidin, and macrolactin which affects the phytopathogens *Fusarium* head blight in wheat by Pores formation in

cell wall and cell membrane by disrupting the lipid bilayer (Dunlap *et al.*, 2013). *B. amyloliquefaciens* (WH1) produces secondary metabolite WH1fungin which targets the phytopathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* by Case reduction in callose production by inhibition of glucans synthase (Qi *et al.*, 2010). *B. polymyxa* (VLB16) produces antifungal protein which affects phytopathogens such as *R. solani* and *Pyricularia grisea* by Causing malformation of fungal hyphae due to severe alteration of cell morphology (Kavitha *et al.*, 2005).

CONCLUSION

BioControl agents are the most important and efficient source to tackle the diseases caused by soil borne pathogens. Since control of soil borne pathogens is not possible by traditional chemical methods, using bioControl agents are only hope rather than going for other management practices such as soil solarization.

BioControl agents use various mechanisms to suppress the growth of bioControl agents which include, induction of CAMP'S AND MAMP'S which stimulate the resistance against pathogens, competing for food and nutrients against pathogens, parasitic nature upon the host pathogen and obtain nutrients from it, production of various secondary metabolites which affect the growth and development of pathogens. Since the resistance of soil borne pathogens against various mechanisms performed by bioControl agents are less reported, use of bioControl agents can be a potential tool to control soil borne pathogens for long term. In agro-ecology point of view, use of chemical methods affect the survival of soil micro-biota the supplementation of bio control agents with various other management practices not only help the soil micro-biota but also residual effect of various chemicals in food can also be minimized. In the economics point of view, the cost required for application of bioControl agents is comparatively less than the chemical methods. From the above discussions it is clearly evident that the use of bioControl agents in future will be increased and with the integration of molecular approaches new strains of bioControl agents and their mechanisms against soil borne pathogens will be developed.

The current review paper have attracted attention of plant pathologist towards biological control of plant diseases. Many review literature indicated that bioControl agents could be attractive and an effective strategy with minimal environmental concern.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Department of Plant Pathology, School of Agriculture, LPU, Punjab. Authors are thankful to Dr. Vipul Kumar and Dr. Meenakshi Rana Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, LPU, Punjab for their assistance in completion of this review paper.

Conflict of interest: Authors indicates agreement that this article contains all the information true and correct.

REFERENCES

Agrios, G.N. (2005). Plant Pathology, 5th Edn. Amsterdam: Elsevier Academic Press.

Ahluwalia V., Jitendra K., Virendra S.R., Om P.S., and Walia S. (2015). Comparative evaluation of two *Trichoderma harzianum* strains for major secondary metabolite production and antifungal activity. *Natural Production Research*, **29**: 914–920.

Ahluwalia V., Walia S., Sati O.P., Kumar J., Kundu A., Shankar J., Paul Y.S. (2013). Isolation, characterisation of major secondary metabolites of the Himalayan *Trichoderma koningii* and their antifungal activity. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **47**: 1063–1071.

Alexopoulos, C.J., Mims, C.W., and Blackwell, M. (1996). "Introductory Mycology," 4th Ed. Wiley, New York.

Almassi F., Ghisalberti E.L., Narbey M.J., and Sivasithamparam K. (1991). New antibiotics from strains of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Journal of Natural Products*, **54**: 396–402.

Andrade R., Ayer W.A., and Mebe P.P. The metabolites of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum*. (1992). Part 1. Isolation of the metabolites and the structure of trichodimerol. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, **70**: 2526–2535.

Anonymous (1994). "Common Names of Plant Diseases." APS Press, St. Paul, MN.

Anonymous (2021). History of BioControl pest. University of California. <https://faculty.ucr.edu/~legnerref/biotact/bc-2.html>.

Arjona-Girona, I., Vinale, F., Ruano-Rosa, D., Lorito, M., and López-Herrera, C.J. (2014). Effect of metabolites from different *Trichoderma* strains on the growth of *Rosellinia necatrix*, the causal agent of avocado white root rot. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, **140**: 385–397.

Bakker, R.A.H.M., Raaijmakers, J.M., and Schippers, B. (1993). "Role of iron in the suppression of bacterial plant pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads," in Iron Chelation in Plants and Soil Microorganisms, eds L. L. Barton and B. C. Hemming (San Diego: Academic Press), 269–278.

Balaji, L.P. and Ahir, R.R. (2011). Evaluation of plant extracts and bioControl agents against leaf spot disease of brinjal. *Indian Phytopathology*, **64**(4): 378-380.

Bargabus R.L., Zidack N.K., Sherwood J.E. and Jacobsen B.J. (2003). Oxidative burst elicited by *Bacillus mycoides* isolate a biological control agent, occurs independently of hypersensitive cell death in sugar beet. *Molecular Plant Microbe Interacion*, **16**: 1145-1153.

Bérdy, J. (2005). Bioactive microbial metabolites. *Journal of Antibiotics*, **58**, 1–26.

Bhai, S.R. and Thomas, Joseph (2010). Compatibility of *Trichoderma harzianum* (Rifai.) with fungicides, insecticides and fertilizers. *Indian Phytopathology*, **63**(2): 145-148.

Brain P.W. (1994). Production of Gliotoxin by *Trichoderma viride*. *Nature*, **154**: 667–668.

Brewer D., Mason F.G., and Taylor A. (1987). The production of alamethicins by *Trichoderma* spp. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **33**: 619–625.

Carisse, O., Phillion, V., Rolland, D., and Bernier, J. (2000). Effect of fall application of fungal antagonist on spring ascospore production of the apple scab pathogen. *Venturia inaequalis*. *Phytopathology*, **90**: 31–37.

Cassinelli C, Noris E, and Tolentino D. (1993). In vitro inhibition of *Pythium ultimum* by *Pseudomonas* spp. Strains. *Mededelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen, Universiteit, Gent.*, **58**: 1287-1297.

Chen, K., Fessehaie, A., and Arora, R. (2012). Dehydrin metabolism is altered during seed osmopriming and subsequent germination under chilling and desiccation in *Spinacia oleracea* L. cv. Bloomsdale: possible role in stress tolerance. *Plant Science*, **183**: 27-36.

Claydon, N., Allan, M., Hanson, J.R., and Avent, A.G. (1987). Antifungal alkyl pyrones of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Transactions of British Mycological Society*, **88**: 503–513.

Cobb, N. A. (1914). Contributions to a science of nematology. Pt. **1**: 1–33.

- Collins, R.P. and Halim, A.F. (1972). Characterization of the major aroma constituent of the fungus *Trichoderma viride*. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **20**: 437–438.
- Conrath, U., Beckers, G. J. M., Langenbach, C. J. G., and Jaskiewicz, M.R. (2015). Priming for enhanced defense. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **53**: 97–119.
- Cumagun (2012). Managing Plant Diseases and Promoting Sustainability and Productivity with *Trichoderma*: The Philippine Experience C. J. R. Cuma. *Journal of Agriculture Science and Technology*, **14**: 699-714.
- Cutler, H.G., Himmelsbach, D.S., Arrendale, R.F., Cole, P.D., and Cox, R.H. (1989). Koninginin A: A novel plant growth regulator from *Trichoderma koningii*. *Agriculture and Biological Chemistry*, **53**: 2605–2611.
- DARE/ICAR ANNUAL REPORT 2019–2020.
- Deb, P.R., Deb, M. and Dutta, B.K. (1999). A preliminary report on the phyllospheremycoflora of tea and the soil mycoflora of an experimental tea plantation area of Cachar. *Indian Phytopathology*, **52**(2): 193-195.
- Dickinson, J.M., Hanson, J.R., Hitchcock, P.B., and Claydon, N. (1989). Structure and biosynthesis of harzianopyridone, an antifungal metabolite of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Journal of Chemical Society Perkin Transactions*, **1**: 1885–1887.
- Doutt, R.L. (1964). The historical development of biological control. p. 21-42. In *Biological Control of Insect Pests and Weeds* (P. DeBach, editor). Chapman and Hall Ltd., London. 844 pp.
- Dubey, S.C. and Patel, B. (2001). Evaluation of fungal antagonists against *Thanatephorus cucumeris* causing web blight of urd and mung bean. *Indian Phytopathology*, **54**(2): 206-209.
- Dunlap, C.A., Bowman, M.J., and Schisler, D.A. (2013). Genomic analysis and secondary metabolite production in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* AS 43.3: a bioControl antagonist of *Fusarium* head blight. *Biological Control*, **64**(2): 166–175.
- Dunlop, R.W., Simon A., Sivasithamparam K., and Ghisalberti E.L. (1989). An antibiotic from *Trichoderma koningii* active against soilborne plant pathogens. *Journal of Natural Products*, **52**: 67–74.
- Ganeshan, G and Kumar, M.A. (2005). *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, a potential bacterial antagonist to control plant diseases. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, **1**:3: 123-134.
- Ghisalberti, E.L., and Rowland, C.Y. (1993). Antifungal metabolites from *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Journal of Natural Products*, **56**: 1799–1804.
- Gopalakrishnan S, Pande S, Sharma M, Humayun P, Kiran BK, and Sandeep D.(2011). Evaluation of actinomycete isolates obtained from herbal vermicompost for the biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of chickpea. *Crop Protection*, **30**(8): 1070–8.
- Goulard C., Hlimi S., Rebuffat S., and Bodo B. (1995). Trichorzins, HA and MA, antibiotic peptides from *Trichoderma harzianum*. I. Fermentation, isolation and biological properties. *Journal of Antibiotics*, **48**: 1248–1253.
- Haware, M.P., Mukherjee, P.K., Lenne, I.M., Jayanthi, S., Tripathi, H.S. and Rathi, Y.P.S. (1999). Integrated biological-chemical control of Botrytis gray mould of chickpea. *Indian Phytopathology*, **52**(2): 174-176.
- Hillocks, R. J., and Waller, J. M., eds. (1998). “Soil borne Diseases of Tropical Crops.” CABI, Wallingford, UK.
- Himmelstein, J., Everts, K., and Maul, J. (2010). Efficacy of *Streptomyces lydicus* and cover crops for management of *Fusarium* wilt of watermelon. *Phytopathology*, **100**(6): S51.
- Ilaria Pertot, Claude Alabouvette, Estefanía, Hinarejos Esteve; and Soraya Franca. (2015). Mini-paper – The use of microbial bioControl agents against soil-borne diseases.
- Jadon, K.S. (2009). Eco-friendly management of brinjal collar rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. *Indian Phytopathology*, **62**(3): 345-347.
- Johnson, I. and Palaniswami, A. (1999). Phytophthora tuber rots of cassava-a new record in India. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*, **29**(3): 323-332.
- Kapoor, A.S. (2008). BioControl potential of *Trichoderma* spp. against important soilborne diseases of vegetable crops. *Indian Phytopathology*, **61**(4): 492-498.
- Karpagavalli, S., Marimuthu, T., Jayaraj, J., and Ramabadrhan, R. (2002). An integrated approach to control rice blast through nutrients and bioControl agent. *Research on Crops*, **2**(2): 197-202.
- Karthikeyan, M., Radhika, K., Bhaskaran, R., Mathiyazhagan, S., Samiyappan, R. and Velazhahan, R. (2006). Rapid detection of Ganoderma disease of coconut and assessment of inhibition effect of various control measures by immunoassay and PCR. *Plant Protection Science*, **42**: 49-57.
- Kavitha, S., Senthilkumar, S., Gnanamanickam, S., Sivanesan, S., and Inayathullah, M. (2005). Isolation and partial characterization of antifungal protein from *Bacillus polymyxa* strain VLB16. *Process Biochemistry*, **40**(10): 3236–3243.
- Keel, C., U. Schnider, M. Maurhofer, C. Voisard, J. Laville, U. Burger, P. Wirthner, D. Haas, and G. Défago. (1992). Suppression of root diseases by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0: importance of the bacterial secondary metabolite 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **5**: 4-13.
- Kohl, J., Kolnaar, J., and Ravensberg, W.J. (2019). Mode of action of microbial bioControl agents against plant diseases: Relevance beyond efficacy. *Frontiers of plant science*, **10**(845): 1-19.
- Köhl, J., and Fokkema, N. J. (1998). “Biological control of necrotrophic foliar fungal pathogens,” in *Plant-Microbe Interactions and Biological Control*, eds G. J. Boland and L. V. Kuykendall (New York, NY: Marcel Dekker Inc), 49–88.
- Kundu, A. and Chatterjee, N.C. (2003). Antagonism of *Trichoderma* species to *Polyporus sanguineus* - an Incitant of bamboo decay. *The Indian Forester*, **129**(10): 1281-1288.
- Latha, T.K.S., Rajeswari, E., and Narasimhan, V. (2000). Management of root-rot disease complex through antagonists and chemicals. *Indian Phytopathology*, **53**: 216-218.
- Li, J., Yang Q., Zhao, L.H., Zhang, S.H., Wang, Y.X., and Zhao, X.Y. (2009). Purification and characterization of a novel antifungal protein from *Bacillus subtilis* strain B29. *Journal of Zhejiang University-Science B*, **10**(4): 264–272.
- Lugtenberg, B., and Kamilova, F. (2009). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **63**: 541–556.

- Malmierca, M.G., Barua, J., McCormick, S.P., Izquierdo-Bueno, I., Cardoza, R.E., Alexander, N.J., and Gutiérrez, S. (2014). Novel aspinolide production by *Trichoderma arundinaceum* with a potential role in *Botrytis cinerea* antagonistic activity and plant defense priming. *Environmental Microbiology*, **17**: 1103–1118.
- Mauch-Mani, B., Baccelli, I., Luna, E., and Flors, V. (2017). Defense priming: an adaptive part of induced resistance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, **68**: 485–512.
- McNeely, D., Chanyi, R. M., Dooley, J.S., Moore, J. E., and Koval, S. F. (2017). BioControl of Burkholderiaceae complex bacteria and bacterial phytopathogens by *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **63**: 350–358.
- Meena, B., Radhajayalakshmi, R., Vidhyasekaran, P, and Velazhahan, R. (1999). Effect of foliar application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on activities of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, chitinase and beta- 1,3-glucanase and accumulation of phenolics in rice. *Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica*, **34**: 307-315.
- Meziane, H., Vander Sluis, I., Van Loon, L.C., Hofte, M. and Bakker, P.A. (2005). Determinants of *Pseudomonas putida* WCS358 involved in inducing systemic resistance in plants. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, **6**: 177-185.
- Mishra, D.S., Gupta, A.K., Prajapati, C.R. and Singh, U.S. (2011). Combination of fungal and bacterial antagonists for management of root and stem rot disease of soybean. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, **43**(5): 2569-2574.
- Morandi, M. A. B., Maffia, L. A., Mizubuti, E. S. G., Alfenas, A. C., and Barbosa, J.G. (2003). Suppression of *Botrytis cinerea* sporulation by *Clonostachys rosea* on rose debris: a valuable component in Botrytis blight management in commercial greenhouses. *Biological Control*, **26**: 311–317.
- Mukherjee, P.K. (1997). *Trichoderma* sp. as a microbial suppressive agent of *Sclerotium rolfsii* on vegetables. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **13**: 497-499.
- Moazami, N. (2019). Industrial Biotechnology and Commodity Products. Comprehensive Biotechnology (Third Edition), 2019.
- Nakano, H., Hara, M., Mejiro, T., Ando, K., Saito, Y., and Morimoto, M. DC1149B, DC1149R, and Their Manufacture with *Trichoderma*. 02218686. *JP Patent*. 1990 Aug 31.
- Nega, A. (2014). Review on Concepts in Biological Control of Plant Pathogens. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, **4**(10): 2224-3208.
- Ohno, A., Ano, T., and Shoda, M. (1995). Effect of temperature on production of lipopeptide antibiotics, iturin A and surfactin by a dual producer, *Bacillus subtilis* RB14, in solid-state fermentation. *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, **80**(5): 517–519.
- Pandey, G., Pandey, R.K. and Pant, H. (2003). Efficacy of different levels of *Trichoderma viride* against root-knot nematode in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, **11**: 101-103.
- Poddar, R.K., Singh, D.V and Dubey, S.C. (2004). Integrated application of *Trichoderma harzianum* mutants and carbendazim to manage chickpea wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. ciceris). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **74**(6): 346- 348.
- Press, C.M., Loper, J.E. and Kloepper, J.W. (2001). Role of iron in rhizobacteria-mediated induced systemic resistance of cucumber. *Phytopathology*, **91**: 593-598.
- Qi G, Zhu F, Du P, Yang, X., Qiu, D., Yu, Z., Chen, J., and Zhao, X. (2010). Lipopeptide induces apoptosis in fungal cells by a mitochondria-dependent pathway. *Peptides*, **31**(11): 1978–1986.
- Raaijmakers, J. M., and Mazzola, M. (2012). Diversity and natural functions of antibiotics produced by beneficial and plant pathogenic bacteria. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **50**: 403–424.
- Raguchander, T., Rajappan, K. and Samiappan, R. (1997). Evaluating methods of application of bioControl agent in the control of mungbean root rot. *Indian Phytopathology*, **50**(2): 229-234.
- Ram, Hukma and Pandey, R.N. (2011). Efficacy of bioControl agents and fungicides in the management of wilt of pigeon pea. *Indian Phytopathology*, **64**(3): 269-271.
- Ryu C.M., Farag M.A., Hu C.H., Reddy M.S. and Kloepper J.W. (2004). Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, **134**: 1017-1026.
- Sha S., Liu L., Pan S., and Wang W.M. (2013). Isolation and purification of antifungal components from *Trichoderma harzianum* ferment broth by high-speed counter-current chromatography. *China Journal of Biological Control*, **29**: 83–88.
- Shaid Ahamad, Mukesh Srivastava, Ahamad, S., and Srivastana, M. (2000). Biological control of dry root rot of chickpea with plant products and antagonistic microorganisms. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, **21**: 450-451.
- Shanmugam, V., Sharma, V. and Ananthapadmanaban. (2008). Genetic relatedness of *Trichoderma* isolates antagonistic against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. dianthi inflicting carnation wilt. *Folia Microbiologica*, **53**(2): 130-138.
- Sharma, R. and Bhat, S. (2011). Molecular cloning of endochitinase 33 (ECH33) gene from *Trichoderma harzianum*. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10**: 12156-12163.
- Sharma, P. and Sain, S.K. (2003). Development of suitable techniques for evaluating virulence and bioControl activity of *Trichoderma* isolates. *Indian Journal of Plant Pathology*, **21**: 16-21.
- Shentu, X.P., Zhan, X.H., Ma, Z., Yu, X.P., and Zhang, C.X. (2014). Antifungal activity of metabolites of the endophytic fungus *Trichoderma brevicompactum* from garlic. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, **45**: 248–254.
- Shi, Y.J., Shentu, X.P. and Yu, X.P. (2009). Identification of an endophytic fungus isolated from *Llexcornuta* and the bioControl effects of its secondary metabolite. *Acta Phytopathologica Sinica*, **39**: 362–367.
- Siddiqui, I. A., D. Haas, and S. Heeb. (2005). Extracellular protease of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0, a bioControl factor with activity against the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Appl. Environmental Microbiology*, **71**: 5646-5649.
- Simon, A., Dunlop, R.W., Ghisalberti, E.L., and Sivasithamparam, K. (1988). *Trichoderma koningii* produces a pyrone compound with antibiotic properties. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **20**: 263–264.
- Singh, S., Kumar, K., and Dhanjal, D.S. (2020). Biological Control Agents: Diversity, Ecological Significances, and Biotechnological Applications. 978-981-15-3024-1.
- Sorokina, T.A., Lipasova. V.A., Andreeva. N.B., and Khmel, I.A. (1999). The use of bacterial antagonists for the biological

- control of *Fusarium* infection on the carnation plants growing in hydroponic solution. *Biotechnologia*, **15**: 78-82.
- Stipanovic R.D., and Howell C.R. (1982). The structure of gliovirin, a new antibiotic from *Gliocladium virens*. *Journal of Antibiotics*, **35**: 1326–1330.
- Thara, K.V., and Gnanamanickam, S.S. (1994). Biological control of rice sheath blight in India: Lack of correlation between chitinase production by bacterial antagonists and sheath blight suppression. *Plant and Soil*, **160**: 277-280.
- Thasana, N., Prapagdee, B., Rangkadilok, N., Sallabhan, R., Aye, S.L., Ruchirwat, S., and Loprasert, S. (2010). *Bacillus subtilis* SSE4 produces subtilene A, a new lipopeptide antibiotic possessing an unusual C15 unsaturated β -amino acid. *FEBS Letters*, **584**(14): 3209–3214.
- Thomashow, L.S., Bonsall, R.E., and Weller, D.M. (1997). “Antibiotic production by soil and rhizosphere microbes in situ,” in *Manual of Environmental Microbiology*, eds C. J. Hurst, G. R. Knudsen, M. J. McInerney, L. D. Stetzenbach, and M. V. Walter (Washington, DC: ASM Press), 493–499.
- van Loon, L.C. (2000). “Helping plants to defend themselves: bioControl by disease-suppressing rhizobacteria,” in *Developments in Plant Genetics and Breeding*, eds G. E. de Vries and K. Metzlaflf (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 203–213.
- Vinale, F., Ghisalberti, E.L., Sivasithamparam, K., Marral, R., Ritieni, A., Ferracane, R., Woo, S., and Lorito, M. (2009). Factors affecting the production of *Trichoderma harzianum* secondary metabolites during the interaction with different plant pathogens. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **48**: 705–711.
- Vinale, F., Marra, R., Scala, F., Ghisalberti, E.L., Lorito, M., and Sivasithamparam, K. (2006). Major secondary metabolites produced by two commercial *Trichoderma* strains active against different phytopathogens. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **43**: 143–148.
- Vinale, F., Sivasithamparam, K., Ghisalberti, E.L., Marra, R., Barbetti, M.J., Li, H., Woo, S.L., and Lorito, M. (2008). A novel role for *Trichoderma* secondary metabolites in the interactions with plants. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, **72**: 80–86.
- Vinale, F., Strakowska, J., Mazzei P., Piccolo, A., Marra, R., Lombardi, N., Manganiello, G., Pascale, A., Woo, S.L., and Lorito, M. (2016). Cremenolide, a new antifungal, 10-member lactone from *Trichoderma cremeum* with plant growth promotion activity. *Natural Product Research*, **30**: 2575–2581.
- Volpon, L, and Besson, F., Lancelin, J.M. (2000). NMR structure of antibiotics plipastatins A and B from *Bacillus subtilis* inhibitors of phospholipase A 2. *FEBS Letters*, **485**(1): 76–80.
- Wang-Ping, Feng-Xin, Mei, Wang-Guo, Xin, Dong-Biao, Wang P., Feng X.M., Wang G.X., Dong B., Li, and F.L. (1999). Screening and identification of PGPR strains isolated from rhizosphere of winter wheat. *Journal of Huazhong Agricultural University*, **18**: 352-356.
- Whipps, J. M. (2001). Microbial interactions and bioControl in the rhizosphere. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **52**: 487–511.
- Xuan, Q.C., Huang, R., Miao, C.P., Chen, Y.W., Zhai, Y.Z., Song, F., Wang, T., and Wu, S.H. (2014). Secondary metabolites of endophytic fungus *Trichoderma* sp. YM 311505 of *Azadirachta indica*. *Chemistry of Natural Compounds*, **50**: 139–141.
- Yan, S.X., Shen, Q.T., Xie, S.T., Chen, X.L., Sun, C.Y., and Zhang, Y.Z. (2006). Broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and high stability of Trichokonins from *Trichoderma koningii* SMF2 against plant pathogens. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **260**: 119–125.

How to cite this article: Upadhyay, A.K., Bandi, S.R. and Maruthi, P.D. (2021). BioControl Agents - Antagonistic Magicians against soil borne pathogens: A Review. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, **13**(1): 232-242.