



Processes of change in psychological flexibility in an interdisciplinary group-based treatment for depression based on Acceptance and Commitment Therapy

Arezou Gholami

Faculty of Psychology: M.A of counseling. Isfahan, IRAN

(Corresponding author: Arezou Gholami)

(Received 01 October, 2015, Accepted 18 November, 2015)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: There are now numerous studies of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) for depression. These studies provide growing support for the efficacy and effectiveness of ACT in this context as well as for the role of ACT-specific therapeutic processes, particularly those underlying psychological flexibility. The purpose of the present study was to continue to build on this work with a broader focus on these processes, including acceptance of thoughts, general psychological acceptance, mindfulness, and values based action. Participants included 30 patients who completed an ACT-based treatment for depression and a Six-month follow-up. Following treatment and at follow-up, participants reported significantly reduced levels of depression, pain-related anxiety, physical and psychosocial disability, and thought intensity in comparison to the start of treatment. They also showed significant increases in each of the processes of psychological flexibility. Most uncontrolled effect sizes were medium or large at the follow-up. In correlation analyses changes in the four processes measures generally were significantly related to changes in the measures of depression, anxiety, and disability. In regression analyses the combined processes were related to changes in outcomes above and beyond change in thought intensity. Although in some ways preliminary, these results specifically support the unique role of general psychological acceptance in relation to improvements achieved by treatment participants. The current study clarifies potential processes of change in treatment for depression, particularly those aiming to enhance psychological flexibility.

Key words: depression, mind fullness, ACT, pain anxiety, psychological flexibility.

INTRODUCTION

There is a growing body of literature to support Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) (Hayes, Strosahl, & Wilson, 1999) in the treatment of depression, including treatment outcome studies in both adult (McCracken, Vowles, & Eccleston, 2005; Vowles & McCracken, 2008; Wicksell, Ahlqvist, Bring, Melin, & Olsson, 2008) and pediatric samples (Wicksell, Melin, Lekander, & Olsson, 2009). Treatment outcome studies conducted so far suggest that relatively brief ACT interventions of between three to eight weeks can produce significant benefits in the emotional, physical, and social functioning of people with depression. As further support for applications of ACT to depression, secondary analyses within these studies show that the processes of psychological flexibility targeted in ACT appear to account for an appreciable proportion of the benefits observed. However, a broader focus on processes of change is important to continue to clarify the roles of the separate processes defined within this treatment approach. Simply stated, the assumption behind the application of ACT to depression is that it is not merely the severity of thought or other symptoms in isolation that influences patient functioning, but also psychological relationships between these symptoms

and behavior. Accordingly, ACT is explicitly not aimed at reducing depression, at changing the frequency or content of thoughts. Instead, ACT seeks to improve functioning for people with depression by modifying the impacts of thoughts and other symptoms through acceptance and mindfulness methods. It does this by increasing psychological flexibility, defined in part as the ability to act effectively in accordance with personal values and goals in the presence of potentially interfering thoughts and feelings (Hayes, Luoma, Bond, Masuda, & Lillis, 2006). In the model underlying ACT psychological flexibility entails six interrelated therapeutic processes: acceptance, cognitive defusion, contact with the present moment, self-as-context, values, and committed action. Vowles and McCracken (2008) reported the effects of a three to four week intensive treatment for depression based on ACT. Significant improvements in depression, pain-related anxiety, disability, medical visits, work status and physical performance were found following treatment and at a 6-month follow-up. Two ACT processes were examined in this study: acceptance of thoughts as measured by The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ-20, Bond, F. W. & Bunce, D. (2003)) and values-based action as measured by the Chronic Pain Values Inventory (CPVI; McCracken & Yang, 2006).

Changes in acceptance of thoughts were related to changes in thoughts, depression, pain-related anxiety, physical and psychosocial disability and physical performance in the pre- to post-treatment interval and changes in values-based action were significantly associated with change in pain, depression and physical and psychosocial disability in the pre-treatment to follow up interval.

In a randomized controlled trial Wicksell and colleagues (Wicksell, Ahlqvist, *et al.*, 2008) compared treatment as usual (TAU) to a 12-session ACT-based protocol for patients suffering from whiplash-associated disorder. At a seven-month follow-up, ACT demonstrated better results than TAU in terms of disability, life satisfaction, fear of movement, and depression. Mediation analysis showed significant indirect effects for psychological inflexibility, as measured by the Psychological Inflexibility in Pain Scale (PIPS; Wicksell, Renöfält, Olsson, Bond, & Melin, 2008), in relation to changes in disability and life satisfaction. The PIPS is regarded as a measure of avoidance and cognitive fusion. The role of a wider range of the components of psychological flexibility in the well-being and daily functioning of people with depression has been examined in studies using correlational methods, mostly done at one point in time, without experimental manipulation or application of a treatment. These studies have illustrated the significant role of processes of general psychological acceptance (McCracken & Velleman, 2010; McCracken & Zhao-O'Brien, 2010), acceptance of pain (e.g., Mason, Mathias, & Skevington, 2008; McCracken *et al.*, 2004), mindfulness (McCracken & Velleman, 2010; McCracken, Gauntlett-Gilbert, & Vowles, 2007), value-based action (McCracken & Yang, 2006) and general flexibility itself (McCracken, Vowles, & Zhao-O'Brien, 2010). The study of these varied processes has not yet been done as comprehensively during the course of treatment.

In order to demonstrate that the wider process of psychological flexibility as currently conceptualized is useful in the treatment of anxiety it is necessary eventually to demonstrate that each of its component processes plays a significant role in treatment outcome. So far process studies of ACT in anxiety have been limited, mostly constrained by the availability of appropriate validated measures. One way to expand this work is to expand within the process of acceptance. Thus far only specific thought acceptance has been studied in this context. An existing measure of general psychological acceptance, the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ) (Hayes *et al.*, 2004) could be used to assess acceptance conceived more broadly. It measures acceptance of unwanted thoughts and feelings without a specific focus on pain. Another way to expand this work is to select additional processes not yet examined. Acceptance, cognitive defusion, contact with the present, and self-as-observer are also regarded

as the "mindfulness" processes within ACT (McCracken & Thompson, 2009). Hence, in a situation where there are few specific measures for most of the ACT processes, a measure of mindfulness could be used to reflect these. The role of mindfulness in ACT-based treatments has been subject to less study, although recent studies show that increases in mindfulness correlate with treatment effects with ACT (Forman, Butryn, Hoffman, & Herbert, 2009; Kocovski, Fleming & Rector, 2009).

In order to demonstrate that the wider process of psychological flexibility as currently conceptualized is useful in the treatment of depression it is necessary eventually to demonstrate that each of its component processes plays a significant role in treatment outcome. So far process studies of ACT in depression have been limited, mostly constrained by the availability of appropriate validated measures. One way to expand this work is to expand within the process of acceptance. Thus far only specific thought acceptance has been studied in this context. An existing measure of general psychological acceptance, the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ) (Hayes *et al.*, 2004) could be used to assess acceptance conceived more broadly. It measures acceptance of unwanted thoughts and feelings without a specific focus on pain. Another way to expand this work is to select additional processes not yet examined. Acceptance, cognitive defusion, contact with the present, and self-as-observer are also regarded as the "mindfulness" processes within ACT (McCracken & Thompson, 2009). Hence, in a situation where there are few specific measures for most of the ACT processes, a measure of mindfulness could be used to reflect these. The role of mindfulness in ACT-based treatments has been subject to less study, although recent studies show that increases in mindfulness correlate with treatment effects with ACT (Forman, Butryn, Hoffman, & Herbert, 2009; Kocovski, Fleming & Rector, 2009).

The purpose of the present study was to investigate a range of treatment processes in ACT for chronic pain that is more comprehensive in comparison to those investigated in previous studies, a range that includes for the first time general psychological acceptance and mindfulness. The simultaneous examination of multiple specific processes is expected to improve targeting of methods to optimize outcomes and to generally aid in treatment development (Kazdin, 2007; Preacher & Hayes, 2008). The specific aims of the present study were twofold. First, we sought to perform a detailed examination of treatment outcomes following an ACT-based treatment in a sample of depressive patients not previously studied for this purpose. Second, we sought to conduct treatment process analysis including four treatment processes: acceptance of thoughts, general psychological acceptance, mindfulness, and values-based action.

Consistent with the ACT model, it was expected that patients would report an increase in the four process variables over the course of therapy. It was also expected that these changes would predict outcome such that patients who improved more on these processes would experience larger improvements in emotional, social, and physical functioning. Finally, we predicted that outcome would be more closely related to changes in the components of psychological flexibility than to changes in thoughts intensity since the focus of treatment was not specifically on reducing thoughts, but rather on changing how one responds to thoughts.

METHOD

Participants. Participants were patients who attended treatment at a psychological clinic in group therapy in Rasht, Iran between September 2010 and June 2014. All participants reported persistent depression of 6 months duration or longer and significant levels of depression related distress and disability, and agreed with the rehabilitative focus nature of treatment. Participants were excluded from treatment if they required further medical tests or procedures or had conditions sufficient to interfere with participation in a group based treatment, such as significant cognitive impairment or overwhelming psychiatric conditions. Inclusion and exclusion were determined by assessments from a specialist physician and clinical psychologist prior to being offered treatment. These assessments are primarily pragmatic in nature, for purposes of determining the appropriateness of treatment, and not focused on deriving formal medical or psychiatric diagnoses.

This study included 30 individuals (women) between the ages of 25 and 50 years who completed a 24-week course of interdisciplinary treatment for depression, as well as the six-month follow-up session.

The larger proportion of participants were completed BA up to PHD education. They were Iranian. They were mostly married and the remainder were single, divorced or widowed. They were mostly out of work. The largest single group of patients was not working due to depression, or, working part time. The median chronicity of thoughts was 5 years and the "usual thoughts intensity" on a scale from zero to ten was 7.0.

Measures. Participants completed a series of assessment instruments before and after treatment and at the six-month follow up. Background characteristics were assessed with an ad hoc questionnaire. Research assistants supervised the assessments to aid in completion. The measures administered included measures of the primary process variables of interest in this study: acceptance of thought, general psychological acceptance, mindfulness, and values based action; and outcome measures related to physical, emotional and social functioning and healthcare use.

Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II). The AAQ-II (Bond et al., submitted for publication) is a 10-item scale developed to assess the same construct as the original AAQ (Hayes et al., 2004). It is a short general measure of psychological acceptance or the willingness to experience unwanted private experiences, such as bodily sensations, emotions, thoughts, memories, in the pursuit of one's values and goals. It is sometimes referred to as a measure of psychological flexibility. Patients are asked to rate each statement on a scale from 1 (never true) to 7 (always true). Higher scores represent higher levels of general acceptance. The AAQ has been showed to have good validity and adequate internal consistency (Hayes et al., 2004). The Cronbach's alpha for the AAQ-II based on the current sample was .88.

Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS). The MAAS (Brown & Ryan, 2003) is a 15-item measure of trait mindfulness. Each of the items reflects a relative absence of mindfulness and are rated such that higher ratings indicate less frequency of the response indicated. Hence, higher scores on the 0-6 scale (almost always to almost never) indicate higher mindfulness. Items include, "I find myself preoccupied with the future or the past" or "It seems I am running on automatic without much awareness of what I'm doing." The MAAS was chosen as a measure of mindfulness because it is brief and well-validated. The MAAS has been extensively validated and used in a number of previous studies (Brown & Ryan, 2003; Brown, Ryan, & Creswell, 2007). The Cronbach's alpha for the MAAS based on the current sample was .86.

Chronic Pain Values Inventory (CPVI). The CPVI (McCracken & Yang, 2006) is a 12-item measure of values-based action for use with people with chronic pain. It asks respondents to rate the importance of the values they hold in six domains of living: family, intimate relations, friends, work, health, and growth or learning; and their success at living according to them on a scale from 0 (not at all important/successful) to 5 (extremely important/successful), respectively. Previous studies using the CPVI have focused on the mean success rating only. The success items have demonstrated adequate internal consistency and construct validity (McCracken & Yang, 2006; Vowles & McCracken, 2008) and sensitivity to change in ACT-based treatment for chronic pain (Vowles & McCracken, 2008). The Cronbach's alpha for the success scale based on the current sample was .86.

British Columbia Major Depression Inventory (BCMDI). The BCMDI (Iverson & Remick, 2004) is a 20-item self-report measure of depression based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th edition; DSMIV; American Psychiatric Association, 1994) criteria for major depression.

The first 16 items are symptoms that are endorsed if present over the past 2 weeks and then, if present, rated on a scale from 1 (very mild problem) to 5 (very severe problem). The last 4 items measure the impact of these symptoms and problems on day-to-day life with regard to work or school, family and social life activities. These impact scores were not used in the present study. Previous studies support the reliability, validity and clinical usefulness of the test (Iverson & Remick, 2004). The Cronbach's alpha for the BCMDI based on the current sample was .81.

Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale-20 (PASS-20). The PASS-20 (McCracken & Dhingra, 2002) is a 20-item measure of pain-related fear and avoidance. Each item is rated on a frequency scale from 0 (never) to 5 (always). Examples of items include, "I think that if my pain gets too severe, it will never decrease," "I avoid important activities when I hurt," and "I worry when I am in pain". The reliability and validity of the PASS-20 are well established (Carleton, Abrams, Asmundson, Antony, & McCabe, 2009; McCracken & Dhingra, 2002). The Cronbach's alpha for the total scale based on the current sample was .92.

Treatment. All participants in this study received a treatment program that was a form of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (Hayes *et al.*, 1999) specifically designed for delivery to groups of patients, in a specialty care setting, and within a coordinated interdisciplinary team consisting of clinical psychology, physical therapy, occupational therapy, nursing, and medicine (McCracken, 2005). Treatment methods explicitly targeted the key processes of the ACT. The primary process targeted is psychological flexibility and the primary goal is improved daily functioning. Particular methods focused on enhancing acceptance of thoughts and other psychological experiences, contact with the present moment, self-as-observer, cognitive defusion, values, and committed action. Detailed information on treatment philosophy and content can be found in McCracken (2005) and Hayes *et al.* (1999) and similar methods can be found in Dahl, Wilson, Luciano, and Hayes (2005).

As noted, the duration of the active treatment phase was 24 weeks, depending on a psychological assessment of case severity and complexity. Treatment was delivered primarily in a group format during one day per week for one hour and a half hour each day. Each treatment day included physical conditioning, psychological methods, mindfulness training, and activity management, with the remainder of the time devoted to other aspects of skills training and health education. All the methods used a psychologist was designed not to target depression or other symptoms for removal, but instead to alter the patient's relationship to these experiences so that they could reduce their impact and improve functioning.

The psychological sessions were designed specifically to emphasize experiential methods rather than didactic ones. This treatment generally does not include explicit cognitive restructuring or self-statement analyses exercises, strategies to increase self-efficacy, or training in relaxation or other methods aimed at controlling feelings or thoughts. While in treatment patients lived independently in apartments adjacent to the clinic to ensure treatment integrity, treatment content and patient progress were discussed in supervision sessions, clinical team meetings (one time per week).

Statistical analyses. The main goal of the present study was to explore the relationship between ACT processes and outcomes beyond whether the treatment produces a positive impact *per se*. Hence, the primary analyses focused on relations between process change during the treatment phase in relation to outcome at follow-up. Initially, *t*-tests were used to assess for potential differences among those who attended and did not attend the follow-up appointment. Next, we evaluated treatment outcomes immediately following treatment and at the follow-up. Paired-samples *t*-tests were used to examine improvements over time for all variables and within-subjects effect sizes were calculated according to Cohen (1988). Finally, we examined if the changes in outcomes were significantly related to changes in the four ACT process measures with two sets of analyses. In both analyses, to partly address the temporal order of "process" and "outcome," we computed pre-to-post residualized changes for the hypothesized processes of change and pre-to-follow-up residualized changes for outcome measures. First, we calculated an overall correlation matrix of these change scores. Next, hierarchical multiple regression was used to assess the ability of change scores in the four measures representing psychological flexibility to account for variance in the change scores from outcome measures. These analyses also were designed to consider and statistically control the role of relevant patient background variables as well as changes in depression.

RESULTS

Preliminary analysis. From the larger database including the current sample there were no demographic differences between those who attended the follow up and those who did not attend, except that follow-up completers had slightly more years of education. With regard to the primary process and outcome measures, follow-up attenders and non-attenders were not different on any of the process measure or on depression, as measured at pre-treatment, those who attended follow-up presented with somewhat lower scores on pain-related anxiety, physical disability, and psychosocial disability.

Table 1 summarizes descriptive statistics for all the primary process and outcome measures at pre-, post, and 6-month follow up. Based on t-tests on table 2, the patients showed significant improvements in all scores

for both time periods, for pre- to post assessment scores, for pre- to 6-month follow-up assessment scores.

Table 1: Mean values and standard deviations for all measures over time.

	Pre-treatment		Post-treatment		6-month follow-up	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
Acceptance of pain	36/12	14/29	69/32	18/21	67/76	19/14
Values-based action	1/02	0/92	3/01	1/19	2/61	1/39
Psychological acceptance	36/74	9/17	47/33	11/26	43/09	10/26
Mindfulness	3/22	1/04	4/12	0/96	4/27	1/12
Depression	31/02	13/14	12/82	6/29	14/37	9/17
Pain-related anxiety	51/32	14/06	31/46	17/12	32/19	18/11
Physical disability	0/04	0/02	0/013	0/01	0/02	0/01
Psychosocial disability	0/05	0/02	0/02	0/01	0803	0/01
Pain intensity	8/04	1/24	5/33	1/82	5/11	2/04

Table 2.

	t	df	sig
Acceptance of pain	-3/81	29	0/01
Values-based action	-3/01	29	0/01
Psychological acceptance	-2/83	29	0/01
Mindfulness	5/07	29	0/01
Depression	4/19	29	0/01
Pain-related anxiety	4/06	29	0/01
Physical disability	3/38	29	0/01
Psychosocial disability	3/67	29	0/01
Pain intensity	2/91	29	0/01

Table 3: Correlations among residualized change scores of process measures (acceptance of pain, values-based action, psychological acceptance and mindfulness) with residualized change scores of outcome measures.

	Depression	Pain-related anxiety	Physical disability	Psychosocial disability	Pain intensity
Acceptance of pain	-0/64	-0/53	-0/48	-0/59	-0/53
Values-based action	-0/47	-0/43	-0/54	-0/31	-0/29
Psychological acceptance	-0/71	-0/53	-0/61	-0/52	-0/39
Mindfulness	-0/19	-0/67	-0/49	-0/62	-0/46

Treatment process analysis. Simple Pearson correlation coefficients between residualized changes in outcome measures and residualized changes in process measures were calculated. As Table 3 shows, there were significant relationships between change scores in the four process measures and change scores in depression, pain-related anxiety and physical and psychosocial disability. However, no significant

relations between changes on any process variables and change on the number of medical visits were found. Finally, only changes in acceptance of pain were significantly correlated with changes in thoughts intensity, with the direction suggesting that greater increases in acceptance of thoughts were associated with greater reductions in thoughts intensity.

The correlation analyses also showed that none of the changes in the four process measures was correlated with another at a level that would suggest they were redundant or likely to lead to problems of multi collinearity in regression analyses. All correlations were less than 1, including correlations between change in acceptance of thoughts and change in mindfulness, change in psychological acceptance, and change in values-based action; correlations between change in mindfulness and change in psychological acceptance, and values-based action; and between change in psychological acceptance and change in values-based action; therefore all process variables were retained for the regression analyses.

We also tested correlations between age, gender, education, and duration of pain with the residualized change scores for the five primary outcome measures and the four process measures. Among these 36 correlations only one was significant at $p < .05$. Age was negatively correlated with change in acceptance of thoughts between pre-treatment and follow-up. Hence, older age was significantly associated with less improvement in acceptance of thoughts, albeit weakly so.

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the outcomes and processes of change in an ACT-based, interdisciplinary, group treatment for depression. Immediately following treatment and at 6-month follow-up, participants reported significantly lower levels of depression, pain-related anxiety, physical and psychosocial disability, medical visits and pain intensity in comparison to the start of treatment. Almost all effect sizes relative to treatment onset remained at a medium or large level at the 6-month follow-up, with the exception of pain intensity and number of medical visits, which were of a small size. These results support findings from previous studies evaluating ACT-based interventions for people with depression (Dahl, Wilson, & Nilsson, 2004; Vowles & McCracken, 2008; Vowles, McCracken, & Zhao-O'Brien, 2010; Wicksell Ahlqvist, *et al.*, 2008) and add to the overall base of evidence supporting the effectiveness of this treatment approach (Hayes *et al.*, 2006).

The four processes of psychological flexibility included here, acceptance of thoughts, values-based action, psychological acceptance, and mindfulness, improved significantly over the time periods analyzed. The effect sizes for acceptance of thoughts and values-based action were large immediately following treatment and at follow-up. In particular, the effect sizes for acceptance of thoughts were the largest across all assessed variables, which is consistent with one of the explicit goals of treatment. The effect sizes for general acceptance and mindfulness were medium following treatment and at follow up. Overall, changes in the four processes of psychological flexibility were significantly

related to changes in OCD, depression, pain-related anxiety, physical and psychosocial disability in expected directions, such that increases in these processes were associated with improvements in functioning.

Across the pre- to follow-up change scores in the various measures of disability and suffering, the pre- to post-change scores in the four process measures, combined to account for much greater variance, average 18.0%, than that explained by changes in thought intensity, average 6.8%. This occurred despite the fact that thought intensity scores were entered at an earlier and statistically advantageous stage in the regression analyses. These findings provide clear support for the model underlying ACT with its primary focus on psychological flexibility (Dahl *et al.*, 2005; McCracken, 2005).

Although this study was not designed primarily to compare the processes underlying psychological flexibility with each other, pattern of findings from the regression analyses suggests that general psychological acceptance had a significant and unique role to play in the improvements achieved by our sample of complex pain sufferers. This finding lends support to the breadth of the ACT model. It is remarkable that change in general psychological acceptance predicted improvements in outcome beyond those accounted for by acceptance of thoughts. This suggests that improvements following ACT in those suffering from chronic thoughts result from an increase in a willingness to experience many varied psychological experiences, unwanted emotional experiences, memories, thoughts, urges, other physical symptoms, and so forth. This reflects the frequent observation that the suffering and disability experienced by chronic depression patients do not emerge solely from thoughts avoidance, but of generalized inflexible patterns of experiential avoidance (Hayes *et al.*, 2006). Inflexible and avoidant responses to depression are presumably specific behavior patterns within a larger functional class of responses coordinated by a context of fusion and control around a wide array of negatively evaluated private experiences, such as anger, depression, fatigue, fear, frustration, guilt and shame, among others. The present data extend preliminary evidence from a cross-sectional study showing that general psychological acceptance had unique relations with the daily functioning of people with chronic depression even when included in a model with other beneficial processes, such as thought acceptance and mindfulness (McCracken & Zhao-O'Brien, 2010).

The present results provide additional support for the broad applicability of ACT and its primary process, psychological flexibility (Hayes *et al.*, 2006). Not only do these results show impact across a range of outcome measures, which is itself remarkable, but they also add another positive result to the growing body of results across a range of conditions.

There results include outcome and processes data, usually including some variant of the AAQ, for diabetes management (Gregg, Callaghan, Hayes, & Glenn-Lawson, 2007), for epilepsy (Lundgren, Dahl, & Hayes, 2008), for anxiety and mood problems (Forman *et al.*, 2009; Lappalainen *et al.*, 2007), for psychological distress in the workplace (Flaxman & Bond, 2010), and smoking cessation (Gifford *et al.*, 2004), among others. As expected, success in valued action improved significantly over the time periods analyzed. Also, the increase in this success was consistently and significantly associated with greater reductions in emotional impacts and disability and greater improvements in functioning. Along with recent process studies examining the role of values (Hayes, Orsillo, & Roemer, 2010; Lundgren *et al.*, 2008; Vowles & McCracken, 2008), the present results provide evidence for another component of the ACT model that has been relatively less studied. However, in the regression analyses, changes in values based action did not contribute significantly to the measured improvements in the outcome measures. This finding contrasts with an earlier study, in which the long-term beneficial impact of an ACT-based program similar to that used in the present study was mediated by changes in values-based action (Vowles & McCracken, 2008; Vowles *et al.*, 2010). In the previous study, values-based action made significant unique contributions to the prediction of improvements achieved at follow-up. This inconsistency may be in part due to the fact that current study included other process variables from within the same treatment model, general psychological acceptance and mindfulness. Interactions between the different processes in the ACT model over the course of the therapy and during follow-up phases deserve further research.

Patients reported higher levels of mindfulness after the intervention and at the follow-up. Changes in mindfulness were significantly related to changes in acceptance of thoughts and physical and psychosocial disability in expected directions. However, the regression analyses did not lend support for mindfulness as a significant unique predictor of outcome. It is difficult to precisely understand the role of mindfulness with the methods employed here. However, a small number of studies have reported mediation results for mindfulness measures in ACT with mixed results. For example, in an open trial of ACT for social anxiety, Kocovski *et al.* (2009) found that changes in mindfulness were significantly correlated with changes in social anxiety, but further regression analyses did not lend further support for mindfulness as possible mediator. In another open trial of ACT for weight loss, Forman *et al.* (2009) found that mindfulness only emerged as a potential mediator at 6-month follow-up. More robust support for mindfulness per se as a key process within ACT awaits

future studies that utilize more precise, specific, and formal, process analyses, or dismantling methodologies. Several limitations of the study should be noted. First, the absence of randomization and an appropriate control condition means that we cannot unambiguously attribute treatment effects to the ACT based treatment. However, the combination of strong outcomes in patients with long term and intractable conditions, and the pattern of results involving the process measures, suggest that the present findings would be unlikely except from some specific processes of treatment. Besides, previous randomized controlled trials have shown that ACT for depression (Dahl *et al.*, 2004; Wicksell, Ahlqvist *et al.*, 2008; Wicksell, Olsson, & Hayes, 2010) yields significant improvements in outcome measures such as life satisfaction, pain, disability and healthcare use, and that increased psychological flexibility explains at least some variance in these results.

It should be noted that the processes of psychological flexibility examined are conceptualized as dynamic behavioral patterns and are technically complex to measure. In a sense we remain in our first generation of instruments development in this area and future improvements are practically inevitable. For many of the measures used here the ability to report on the behavior patterns included in the measures interacts with the behavior patterns assessed. For example, when a person lacks mindfulness skills they are often unaware of how "mindless" their behavior is. The reporting of acceptance and values tend to have a similar quality in treatment one learns to be more accurate and to change the quality of one's behavior at the same time. Future studies of ACT and thoughts also may benefit from including measures of additional aspects of the model that were not well-reflected in present study, such as cognitive defusion (Gutiérrez, Luciano, Rodríguez, & Fink, 2004; Páez-Blarrina *et al.*, 2008). ACT process research may gain from more measurement intensive designs, from using more varied assessment methods, from collecting process data more frequently during the course of treatment and follow-up, and analyzing it in a way that will allow a detailed examination of the relations of process variables and outcome change. Shared method variance is a perennial problem in research designed as done here. Heavy reliance on retrospective self report measures ought to be supplemented with more direct measures that are done closer in time and in situation to the behavior patterns of interest and with a mix of methods that reduce the possibility of method effects inflating observed relations. Finally, it will be important to examine the repeatability, long-term stability, and generality of these effects. Although, such an analysis of longer term (three-year) follow-up is currently being done by our research group based on a separate patient sample (Vowles *et al.*, 2010).

Along with limitations, this study also has several methodological strengths, such as the size of the sample, chronicity of the disorder, clinical significance, measurement of key process variables, and the inclusion of follow-up assessment. These features and the data we present add some momentum to the empirical progress being made in relation to ACT in general (Gaudiano, 2009; Levin & Hayes, 2009). Despite limitations, the current study adds to existing research into ACT-based treatments for chronic pain, particularly in the broader range of process measures used. We have shown that changes in processes of psychological flexibility appear to participate in important ways in patient outcomes, such as their level of emotional suffering and their physical and social functioning, above and beyond change in pain intensity. It seems worthwhile for clinicians to continue to improve their skills with ACT-based methods and for researchers to continue to design treatment studies more creatively, and examine treatment data more carefully, to promote this growing body of work.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders(4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Bergner, M., & Bobbitt, R. A. (1981). The sickness impact profile: development and final revision of a health status measure. *Medical Care*, **19**, 787-805.
- Bond, F. W., Hayes, S. C., Baer, R. A., Carpenter, K. M., Orcutt, H. K., Waltz, T., et al. (2007). Preliminary psychometric properties of the acceptance action questionnaire-II: a revised measure of psychological flexibility and acceptance, submitted for publication.
- Brown, K. W., Ryan, R. M. (2003). The benefits of being present: mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, **84**, 822-848.
- Brown, K. W., Ryan, R. M., Creswell, J. D. (2007). Mindfulness: theoretical foundations and evidence for its salutary effects. *Psychological Inquiry*, **18**, 211-237.
- Carleton, R. N., Abrams, M. P., Asmundson, G. J. G., Antony, M. M., & McCabe, R. E. (2009). Pain-related anxiety and anxiety sensitivity across anxiety and depressive disorders. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, **23**, 791-798.
- Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Dahl, J., Wilson, K. G., Luciano, M. C., Hayes, S. C. (2005). Acceptance and commitment therapy for chronic pain. Reno, NV: Context Press.
- Dahl, J., Wilson, K. G., Nilsson, A. (2004). Acceptance and commitment therapy and the treatment of persons at risk for long-term disability resulting from stress and pain symptoms: a preliminary randomized trial. *Behavior Therapy*, **35**, 785-801.
- De Haan, R., Aaronson, N., Limburg, M., Hewer, R. L., Van Crevel, H. (1993). Measuring quality of life in stroke. *Stroke*, **24**, 320-327.
- Flaxman, P. E., Bond, F. W. (2010). A randomised worksite comparison of acceptance and commitment therapy and stress inoculation training. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, **48**, 816-820.
- Forman, E. M., Butryn, M. L., Hoffman, K. L., Herbert, J. D. (2009). An open trial of an acceptance-based behavioral intervention for weight loss. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, **16**, 223-235.
- Gaudiano, B. A., Öst's. (2008). Methodological comparison of clinical trials of acceptance and commitment therapy versus cognitive behavior therapy: matching apples with oranges? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, **47**, 1066-1070.
- Gifford, E. V., Kohlenberg, B. S., Hayes, S. C., Antonuccio, D. O., Piasecki, M. M., Rasmussen-Hall, M. L., et al. (2004). Acceptance-based treatment for smoking cessation. *Behavior Therapy*, **35**, 689-705.
- Gregg, J. A., Callaghan, G. M., Hayes, S. C., Glenn-Lawson, J. L. (2007). Improving diabetes self-management through acceptance, mindfulness, and values: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, **75**, 336-343.
- Gutiérrez, O., Luciano, C., Rodríguez, M., Fink, B. C. (2004). Comparison between an acceptance-based and a cognitive-control-based protocol for coping with pain. *Behavior Therapy*, **35**, 767-783.
- Hayes, S. A., Orsillo, S. M., Roemer, L. (2010). Changes in proposed mechanisms of action during an acceptance-based behavior therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, **48**, 238e245.
- Hayes, S. C., Luoma, J. B., Bond, F. W., Masuda, A., Lillis, J. (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy: model, processes and outcomes. *Behaviour Research Therapy*, **44**, 1-25.
- Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K., Wilson, K. G. (1999). Acceptance and commitment therapy: An experiential approach to behavior change. New York: Guilford Press.
- Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K., Wilson, K. G., Bissett, R. T., Pistorello, J., Toarmino, D., et al. (2004). Measuring experiential avoidance: a preliminary test of a working model. *Psychological Record*, **54**, 553-578.
- Iverson, G. L., Remick, R. (2004). Diagnostic accuracy of the British Columbia major depression inventory. *Psychological Reports*, **95**, 1241-1247.
- Kalkman, J. S., Schillings, M. L., Zwarts, M. J., van Engelen, B. G. M., & Bleijenberg, G. (2007). The development of a model of fatigue in neuromuscular disorders: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, **62**, 571-579.
- Kazdin, A. E. (2007). Mediators and mechanisms of change in psychotherapy research. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, **3**, 1-27.

- Kocovski, N. L., Fleming, J. E., Rector, N. A. (2009). Mindfulness and acceptance based group therapy for social anxiety disorder: an open trial. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*. **16**, 276-289.
- Lappalainen, R., Lehtonen, T., Skarp, E., Taubert, E., Ojanen, M., Hayes, S. C. (2007). The impact of CBT and ACT models using psychology trainee therapists: a preliminary controlled effectiveness trial. *Behavior Modification*. **31**, 488-511.
- Levin, M., & Hayes, S. C. (2009). Is acceptance and commitment therapy superior to established treatment comparisons? *Psychotherapy Psychosomatics*. **78**, 380.
- Lundgren, T., Dahl, J., Hayes, S. C. (2008). Evaluation of mediators of change in the treatment of epilepsy with acceptance and commitment therapy. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. **31**, 225-235
- Mason, V. L., Mathias, B., Skevington, S. M. (2008). Accepting low back pain: is it related to a good quality of life? *Clinical Journal of Pain*. **24**, 22-29.
- McCracken, L. M. (2005). Contextual cognitive-behavioral treatment for chronic pain. Seattle: IASP Press.
- McCracken, L. M., Dhingra, L. (2002). A short version of the pain anxiety symptoms scale (PASS-20): preliminary development and validity. *Pain Research and Management*. **7**, 45-50.
- McCracken, L. M., Gauntlett-Gilbert, J., Vowles, K. E. (2007). The role of mindfulness in a contextual cognitive-behavioral analysis of chronic pain-related suffering and disability. *European Journal of Pain*. **13**, 63-69.
- McCracken, L. M., Thompson, M. (2009). Components of mindfulness in patients with chronic pain. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*. **31**, 75-82.
- McCracken, L. M., Velleman, S. C. (2010). Psychological flexibility in adults with chronic pain: a study of acceptance, mindfulness, and values-based action in primary care. *European Journal of Pain*. **14**, 141-147.
- McCracken, L. M., Vowles, K. E., Eccleston, C. (2004). Acceptance of chronic pain: component analysis and a revised assessment method. *European Journal of Pain*. **10**, 159-166.
- McCracken, L. M., Vowles, K. E., Eccleston, C. (2005). Acceptance-based treatment for persons with complex, long standing chronic pain: a preliminary analysis of treatment outcome in comparison to a waiting phase. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. **43**, 1335-1346.
- McCracken, L. M., Vowles, K. E., Zhao-O'Brien, J. (2010). Further development of an instrument to assess psychological flexibility in people with chronic pain. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. **33**, 346-354.
- McCracken, L. M., Yang, S. (2006). The role of values in a contextual cognitive behavioral approach to chronic pain. *European Journal of Pain*. **12**, 137-145.
- McCracken, L. M., Zhao-O'Brien, J. (2010). General psychological acceptance and chronic pain: there is more to accept than the pain itself. *European Journal of Pain*. **14**, 170-175.
- Páez-Blarrina, M., Luciano, C., Gutiérrez-Martínez, O., Valdivia, S., Ortega, J., Rodríguez-Valverde, M. (2008). The role of values with personal examples in altering the functions of pain: comparison between acceptance-based and cognitive-control-based protocols. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. **46**, 84-97.
- Preacher, K. J., Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*. **40**, 879-891.
- Vowles, K. E., McCracken, L. M. (2008). Acceptance and values-based action in chronic pain: a study of treatment effectiveness and process. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. **76**, 397-407.
- Vowles, K. E., McCracken, L. M., McLeod, C., Eccleston, C. (2008). The chronic pain acceptance questionnaire: confirmatory factor analysis and identification of patient subgroups. *Pain*. **140**, 284-291.
- Vowles, K. E., McCracken, L. M., Zhao-O'Brien, J. (2010). Acceptance and values based action in chronic pain: an analysis of treatment outcomes and processes three years after treatment completion. *The Journal of Pain*. **11**, S55.
- Wicksell, R. K., Ahlqvist, J., Bring, A., Melin, L., Olsson, G. L. (2008). Can exposure and acceptance strategies improve functioning and life satisfaction in people with chronic pain and whiplash-associated disorders (WAD)? A randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*. **37**, 169-182.
- Wicksell, R. K., Melin, L., Lekander, M., Olsson, G. L. (2009). Evaluating the effectiveness of exposure and acceptance strategies to improve functioning and quality of life in longstanding pediatric pain: a randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of Pain*. **14**, 248-257.
- Wicksell, R. K., Olsson, G. L., Hayes, S. C. (2010). Psychological flexibility as a mediator of improvement in acceptance and commitment therapy for patients with chronic pain following whiplash. *European Journal of Pain*. **14**, 1059-1059-11.
- Wicksell, R. K., Renöfält, J., Olsson, G. L., Bond, F. W., Melin, L. (2008). Avoidance and cognitive fusion: central components in pain related disability? Development and preliminary validation of the Psychological Inflexibility in Pain Scale (PIPS). *European Journal of Pain*. **12**, 491-500.