



A Correlation and Path-Coefficient Analysis of Components of Indigenous Collections of Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) under varied Edaphic conditions

Rakesh Kumar^{1*}, Shweta², Aman Mishra³, Pooja Tiwari⁴, Pramod Kumar Prajapati⁵ and Devesh Yadav⁴

¹M.Sc. Student, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,

Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (Uttar Pradesh), India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,

Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (Uttar Pradesh), India.

³Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,

Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (Uttar Pradesh), India.

⁴M.Sc. Student, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,

Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (Uttar Pradesh), India.

⁵JNKVV- College of Agriculture Tikamgarh (Madhya Pradesh), India.

(Corresponding author: Rakesh Kumar*)

(Received: 15 December 2022; Revised: 28 January 2023; Accepted: 02 February 2023; Published: 10 February 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: The present investigation was carried out for fifty finger millet genotypes that were tested for four different environments viz., E₁ and E₂ at Student Research Farm, C.S.A.U.A. &T. Kanpur and E₃ and E₄ sown at Research Farm, Daleep Nagar, Kanpur. The genotypes were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications to derive Correlation coefficient and Direct and Indirect effects in fifty different genotypes of finger millet to estimate analysis of variance, mean, correlation and path analysis. Analysis of Variance showed significant differences for all characters under study at 1% and 5% Level of Significance, indicated that presence of ample genetic variation among genotypes. Association studies revealed that grain yield per plant exhibited highly significant positive correlation at genotypic level with number of fingers per ear, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index and 1000 grain weight for all the environments and pooled and harvest index for E₁ showed maximum positive direct effect (0.919) followed by E₂ (0.899), straw yield per plant for E₂ (0.830). Path studies reported that grain yield per plant showed positive direct effect with all the characters except days to maturity and earhead width at both genotypic and phenotypic level. Thus, these traits are identified as the efficient and potential for indirect selection for the improvement of productivity in the present experimental material. The challenge of the study is to find out the character associations to the yield and to estimate the direct and indirect effects of each character on yield. Ultimate aim is to enhance the yield and also enhance the quality of grain.

Keywords: Finger millet, Correlation, Path-Coefficient, Genotype, Yield attributes.

INTRODUCTION

Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn. 2n=4x=36, sometimes known as finger millet, is a member of the Poaceae family and is widely grown throughout the world's arid and semi-arid regions. It is also referred to as nagali, nachani, ragi, and African millet. Finger millet is said to be originated from Africa and India as a secondary centre of origin (Chandra *et al.*, 2016). Various small millets grown in India are finger millets (*Eleusine coracana*), foxtail millets (*Setaria italica*), kodo millets (*Paspalum scrobiculatum*), barnyard millets (*Echinochloa frumentacea*), little millets (*Panicum sumatrense*) and Proso millets (*Panicum miliaceum*)

(Malleshi, 1989). In India, finger millet is grown in an area of 1.19 million hectares with a production of 1.20 million tonnes and average productivity of 1.66 tonnes per hectare (Sakamma *et al.*, 2018). Millets are a recent "Nutricereal" crop designated by the Indian government as a rich supplier of minerals. It ranks third in importance among after sorghum and pearl millet in India (Thilakarathna and Raizada 2015). It is a small-seeded minor cereal with a minutely undulating surface and a seed coat that ranges in colour from light brown to red and white. The crop is doing well in a variety of soil, climatic, and moisture conditions. Finger millet is an upright, tufted annual that has numerous tillers and

grows to a height of 60 to 120 cm. The tillers have whorls of finger-like spikes for ears. A narrow rachis is surrounded on all sides by tightly spaced spikelets. All fingers experience synchronous flowering. On the zigzag rachilla, hermaphrodite flowers are alternately placed. The last ones could be infertile or masculine. It produces self-fertilization because of its cleistogamy blooming nature. Millets are nutria-cereals and rich in carbohydrates (76.32%), dietary fibers energy, essential fatty acid, proteins (9.2%), vitamin-B, fat (1.29%) and minerals (2.70%). It contains a high concentration of minerals (2.70%), including calcium (452 mg/100 g), iron (3.90 mg/100 g), and ash (3.90 g/100 g), which are the main components of a typical human diet (Pandey and Kumar 2005). Given its high protein, iron, and calcium content, finger millet is a crucial staple food for rural communities in developing tropical nations where anaemia and calcium insufficiency are common (Owere *et al.*, 2015). Its grain is rich in methionine, tryptophan, cysteine, tyrosine, calcium, phosphorous, and iron, making the crop an excellent nutritional source compared to other major cereals (Gupta *et al.*, 2017). The crop contains nutritionally important starch fractions which are easily digested absorbed and are favourable in the diet pattern for metabolic disorders such as diabetes, hypertension and obesity (Sharavathy *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, finger millet is a raw material for ethanol production (Tekaligne *et al.*, 2015). Combining correlation and route analysis can provide a deeper understanding of the causal connections between various character pairs. The selection procedure will be aided by knowledge of character associations and the direct and indirect effects that each character has on yield. The breadth of the relationship between yield and its constituent parts is established by correlation and path analysis, which also highlight the relative importance of their direct and indirect impacts and provide a clear knowledge of their relationship to grain production. Ultimately, the breeder might build his selection tactics to increase grain yield with the use of this kind of study.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at Student Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur and Research Farm, Daleep Nagar Kanpur. Proper crop management practices were followed to raise a better crop. Evaluation of fifty different genotypes of finger millet that were sown during *Kharif*, 2021 in Randomized Block Design with three replications with four different environments (Table 1), having number of rows per plot seven with the spacing 30 cm × 10 cm. Biometrical observations were recorded on randomly five plants in each genotype in three replications on days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of fingers/ear, finger length, finger

width, ear head length, earhead weight, thousand seed weight, grain yield/plant, straw yield/plant, harvest index and protein content. To raise a good crop, the suggested set of procedures was performed together with the mandatory preventative plant protection measures. Observations were documented, and the data was statistically analysed. The correlation coefficient measures the degree and direction of association between two or more variables and is denoted by 'r'. A positive value of 'r' indicates that the changes in two variables are in same direction *i.e.* they are positively correlated and the negative value of 'r' indicates that the changes are in opposite direction. Depending upon the cause of correlation, it is of three types *viz.* Genotypic correlation, phenotypic correlation and environmental correlation. All possible correlation coefficient among fifteen characters were calculated at genotypic and phenotypic levels following the procedure of Miller *et al.* (1958).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In present investigation analysis of variance for fifteen characters studied was significant mean sum of square, these indicates there is a sufficient variability present in the genotypes taken for the study. This showed that the germplasm lines chosen were the right ones and that they were significantly unique in relation to the researched traits, making them ideal for genetic studies. The statistical analyses and estimates of genotypic parameters showed that the cultures displayed a wide range of variance for nearly all of the examined features. However, depending on the characters, the level of unpredictability varied greatly. This opens up a lot of options for choosing the genotypes for a specific character and parent in the breeding cycle. Analysis of variance computed for different traits showed that mean sum of squares of almost all characters were found to be significant which indicated significant differences for their characters among the genotypes. Similar findings were also reported by Singametti *et al.* (2018). The magnitude of genotypic coefficient of variation was generally less than the respective phenotypic coefficient of variation for all the environment. Similar results were also obtained by Priyadarshni *et al.* (2011); Lule *et al.* (2012); Reddy *et al.* (2013); Jyothsna *et al.* (2016); Udamala *et al.* (2020). The high heritability was recorded for plant height, finger width, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, protein content and grain yield per plant for all the environment; days to flowering for E₁, E₂; days to maturity for E₂, E₄; number of fingers per ear for E₂; finger length for E₂, E₃, E₄ and pooled; ear head width for E₁, E₂ and E₄ and ear head length for E₂, E₃ and E₄. The low values of broad sense heritability were recorded for number of productive tillers per plant for all the characters. Similar results were also obtained by Shinde *et al.* (2014); Manjunath *et al.* (2015); Eric *et al.*

(2016); Anuradha *et al.* (2017). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for plant height, finger width, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant for all the environment while, high heritability coupled with low genetic advance was observed in days to 50 % flowering for E₁. Suryanarayana *et al.* (2014) also reported high heritability coupled with high genetic advance for seed yield per plant, while, Manjunath *et al.* (2015) reported high heritability coupled with high genetic advance for days to 50 % flowering and finger length. Grain yield per plant exhibited highly significant positive correlation at phenotypic level (Table 2 & 3) with number of fingers per ear, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index and 1000 grain weight for all the environments and pooled; days to 50 % flowering for E₄ and pooled, number of productive tillers per plant for E₂, E₃, E₄ and pooled; finger length for E₁, E₂, E₃ and pooled; ear head width for E₁, E₃, E₄ and pooled; ear head length for E₁, E₃ and pooled. It also exhibited significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering for E₂; finger length for E₄ and ear head width for E₂; ear head length for E₃. Reddy *et al.* (2013) also reported high positive and significant correlation of seed yield/plant with days to maturity, fodder weight, days to 50% flowering and length of finger at genotypic and phenotypic levels while, Ulaganathan and Kumari (2014) reported significant positive association of days to 50 % flowering with grain yield per plant. Rani *et al.*

(2015) also confirmed these findings. Sao *et al.* (2016) also found positive influence of days to maturity on plant height, main ear length, numbers of fingers per ear and the days to flowering. Grain yield per plant exhibited highly significant positive correlation at genotypic level with number of fingers per ear, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index and 1000 grain weight for all the environments and pooled; days to 50 % flowering for E₄ and pooled, number of productive tillers per plant for E₂, E₃, E₄ and pooled; finger length for E₁, E₂, E₃ and pooled; ear head width for E₁, E₃, E₄ and pooled; ear head length for E₃ and pooled. It also exhibited significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering for E₂; finger length for E₄; ear head width for E₂ and ear head length for E₁ and E₃. Similar results were also reported by Haradari *et al.* (2012), Shinde *et al.* (2014); Auti *et al.* (2017); Suman *et al.* (2018); Lad *et al.* (2020). The relationship between grain yield per plant and yield-contributing traits was calculated using route coefficients at both the genotypic and phenotypic levels. The harvest index (%), followed by earhead weight per plant (g), 1000 grain per yield, and straw yield per plant, which had the highest direct effects on grain yield per plant at both genotypic level and phenotypic level, emerged as the characters that were exerted as the major component of grain yield per plant in path coefficient analysis (Table 4 & 5). These results are in confirmation with the findings of Ganapathy *et al.* (2011); Anuradha *et al.* (2013); Jyothsna *et al.* (2016); Negi *et al.* (2017).

Table 1: Description of environments.

Environment	Location	Date of sowing	pH of soil	EC of soil (dsm ⁻¹)
E ₁	Student Research Farm C.S.A.U.A.&T. Kanpur	June 21, 2021	7.5	0.31
E ₂	Student Research Farm C.S.A.U.A.&T. Kanpur	July 20, 2021	7.5	0.31
E ₃	Research Farm Daleep Nagar Kanpur	June 22, 2021	9.6	1.59
E ₄	Research Farm Daleep Nagar Kanpur	July 26, 2021	9.6	1.59

Table 2: Phenotypic correlation coefficient amongst grain yield, its components and protein content.

St. No.	Characters	Days to 50 % flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of productive tillers per plant	Number of Fingers per ear	Finger length (cm)	Finger width (cm)	Earhead width (g)	Earhead length (cm)	Earhead weight (g)	Straw yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	1000 grain weight (g)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)	
1.	Days to 50 % flowering	E ₁	-0.008	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.004	-0.001	-0.006	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.021	0.001	0.001	0.033	
		E ₂	0.029	-0.017	0.027	0.000	0.012	0.001	-0.005	-0.003	-0.001	-0.005	-0.005	0.094	-0.001	-0.002	0.130
		E ₃	-0.033	0.007	0.007	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.001	-0.001	0.013	0.007	0.026	0.037	-0.011	0.001	0.056
		E ₄	0.080	-0.001	-0.010	0.000	0.004	0.004	-0.003	-0.025	-0.008	0.037	0.120	0.077	0.000	-0.006	0.269**
2.	Days to maturity	P	0.013	-0.005	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.012	0.040	0.056	-0.002	-0.002	0.123**	
		E ₁	-0.016	-0.016	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	-0.001	0.007	0.069	-0.052	-0.004	0.000	0.007	
		E ₂	0.017	0.023	0.023	0.000	0.017	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	0.000	-0.065	0.036	-0.009	-0.002	-0.017
		E ₃	-0.014	0.016	0.005	0.000	-0.001	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.006	0.005	-0.067	-0.026	-0.016	0.000	-0.091
3.	Plant height (cm)	E ₄	0.028	-0.004	-0.010	-0.002	0.007	0.003	-0.001	-0.016	-0.007	0.009	0.047	-0.006	-0.004	0.016	
		P	0.006	-0.011	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.008	-0.002	-0.021	0.005	-0.010	-0.002	-0.021
		E ₁	-0.001	-0.009	0.002	-0.001	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.008	-0.002	0.017	-0.046	-0.007	-0.002	-0.035
		E ₂	0.023	-0.019	0.035	0.001	0.008	0.001	-0.002	-0.007	-0.003	0.000	-0.083	0.034	-0.007	0.000	-0.022
4.	Number of productive tillers per plant	E ₃	-0.022	0.007	0.010	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.001	-0.001	0.014	0.005	0.038	-0.051	-0.017	0.000	-0.013
		P	0.001	-0.001	0.017	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.081	0.050	0.008	0.000	0.144**
		E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.006	0.001	-0.002	-0.018	0.000	0.028	-0.051	0.338	0.006	-0.003	0.304**
		E ₂	0.004	-0.006	0.003	-0.001	0.085	0.001	0.002	-0.002	-0.002	-0.001	-0.148	0.311	-0.001	0.000	0.243**
5.	Number of fingers per ear	E ₃	0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	-0.002	0.004	0.024	0.048	0.006	-0.002	0.089	
		E ₂	-0.002	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.013	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.138	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.157
		E ₁	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.005	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.009	0.004	-0.019	0.154	0.021	-0.003	0.164*
		E ₄	0.004	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	-0.007	0.000	0.000	0.005	-0.001	-0.003	0.090	0.096	0.001	-0.002	0.198*
6.	Finger length (cm)	P	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.017	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.081	0.050	0.008	0.000	0.144**
		E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.006	0.001	-0.002	-0.018	0.000	0.028	-0.051	0.338	0.006	-0.003	0.304**
		E ₂	0.004	-0.006	0.003	-0.001	0.085	0.001	0.002	-0.002	-0.002	-0.001	-0.148	0.311	-0.001	0.000	0.243**
		E ₃	0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.013	0.000	-0.002	-0.017	0.004	0.050	0.065	0.222	0.002	-0.007	0.233**
7.	Finger width (cm)	E ₄	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	0.000	0.022	-0.032	0.203	0.005	-0.002	0.213**
		P	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.015	0.000	-0.012	0.013	0.022	0.135	0.066	0.005	-0.002	0.239**
		E ₁	0.012	-0.011	0.015	0.000	0.015	0.003	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	0.058	0.166	0.011	-0.003	0.264**
		E ₂	-0.008	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.002	0.000	0.029	0.014	0.037	0.138	0.011	-0.005	0.233**
8.	Earhead width (g)	E ₄	0.015	-0.001	-0.005	0.000	0.001	0.024	0.002	-0.019	-0.023	0.032	0.066	0.084	0.000	-0.006	0.169*
		P	0.003	-0.002	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.020	0.000	-0.002	0.004	0.020	0.071	0.106	0.008	-0.004	0.228**
		E ₁	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.009	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.061	-0.013	-0.001	0.006	0.062
		E ₂	-0.001	-0.002	0.004	0.000	-0.006	0.000	-0.021	-0.004	0.005	0.000	-0.015	0.080	0.015	0.002	0.057
9.	Earhead length (cm)	E ₃	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.032	-0.001	0.006	-0.003	-0.100	0.115	-0.009	0.003	0.041	
		E ₄	-0.014	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.010	0.019	0.006	-0.005	-0.025	-0.072	0.044	0.002	0.002	0.002	-0.033
		P	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.005	0.002	0.002	-0.003	-0.026	0.053	0.002	0.003	0.035
		E ₁	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	-0.047	0.003	0.063	0.080	0.454	0.017	-0.002	0.572**
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₂	-0.005	0.001	-0.009	-0.001	-0.007	0.000	0.003	0.028	0.002	0.000	0.264	-0.119	0.011	-0.003	0.167*
		E ₃	0.002	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	-0.004	0.010	-0.007	0.030	0.023	0.246	0.038	0.000	0.335**
		E ₄	0.019	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.013	0.004	-0.001	-0.105	-0.003	0.114	0.062	0.437	0.009	-0.010	0.511**
		P	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	-0.022	0.001	0.039	0.100	0.256	0.024	-0.003	0.397**
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₁	-0.001	-0.005	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.001	-0.005	0.023	0.016	0.246	-0.083	-0.001	0.003	0.203*
		E ₂	-0.005	0.005	-0.006	-0.001	-0.011	0.000	-0.006	0.004	0.016	0.000	0.144	-0.194	0.011	0.001	-0.041
		E ₃	-0.007	0.002	0.003	-0.001	0.000	0.005	0.004	-0.001	0.057	0.003	0.121	0.037	0.006	-0.001	0.225**
		E ₄	0.020	-0.001	-0.008	0.001	0.011	0.018	0.003	-0.009	-0.031	0.022	0.115	0.046	0.000	0.002	0.187*
10.	Earhead weight (g)	P	0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.008	0.001	-0.001	0.010	0.006	0.146	-0.035	0.003	0.001	0.140**
		E ₁	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	-0.038	0.078	0.160	0.399	0.019	0.000	0.628**	
		E ₂	0.006	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.034	0.001	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	-0.004	0.123	0.356	0.043	-0.002	0.553**
		E ₃	-0.004	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	-0.002	0.005	0.003	0.056	0.139	0.380	0.033	-0.004	0.610**
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₄	0.018	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.025	0.005	-0.074	-0.004	0.162	0.141	0.341	0.008	-0.007	0.561**	
		P	0.002	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.005	0.000	-0.010	0.001	0.080	0.134	0.031	-0.003	0.588**	

11.	Straw yield per plant (g)	E ₁	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.001	-0.005	0.007	0.016	0.778	-0.383	-0.002	0.011	0.425**	
		E ₂	0.000	0.002	-0.004	-0.001	-0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.003	-0.001	0.830	-0.412	0.035	0.000	0.448**
		E ₃	-0.001	-0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.005	0.001	-0.005	0.000	0.011	0.012	0.645	-0.261	0.022	0.006	0.429**
		E ₄	0.021	0.000	0.002	0.003	-0.012	0.003	-0.003	-0.003	-0.014	-0.008	0.049	0.467	-0.015	0.009	0.006	0.509**
		P	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	-0.003	0.002	0.016	0.653	-0.247	0.015	0.004	0.443**
12.	Harvest index (%)	E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.023	0.034	-0.325	0.919	0.020	-0.009	0.617**	
		E ₂	0.003	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.029	0.001	-0.002	-0.004	-0.004	-0.001	-0.380	0.899	0.013	-0.002	0.552**	
		E ₃	-0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.026	-0.207	0.811	0.036	-0.010	0.665**
		E ₄	0.008	0.000	0.002	0.002	-0.023	0.003	0.001	-0.058	-0.002	0.070	-0.009	0.791	0.014	-0.011	0.787**	
		P	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.000	-0.007	0.000	0.034	-0.201	0.803	0.028	-0.007	0.659**	
13.	1000 grain weight (g)	E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	-0.003	0.000	-0.017	0.000	0.031	-0.036	0.047	-0.003	0.422**	
		E ₂	0.000	0.003	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.003	0.003	0.002	-0.002	0.107	0.113	0.000	0.488**	
		E ₃	0.003	-0.002	-0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.016	0.122	0.244	0.118	-0.004	0.499**
		E ₄	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.001	-0.006	0.000	0.001	-0.030	0.000	0.041	0.125	0.339	0.032	0.093	-0.001	0.507**
		P	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.006	0.000	0.027	0.103	0.242	0.093	0.032	-0.002	0.461**
14.	Protein content (%)	E ₁	-0.004	0.004	-0.001	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	-0.003	-0.005	0.001	0.000	0.026	-0.156	-0.003	0.014	-0.132	
		E ₂	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.002	0.003	0.001	-0.002	-0.008	0.127	-0.261	-0.016	0.032	-0.128	
		E ₃	-0.010	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.012	-0.003	0.001	0.020	0.001	-0.021	0.051	-0.164	-0.001	0.052	-0.065	
		E ₄	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.000	-0.008	-0.008	0.099	-0.187	-0.006	0.029	-0.074**	
		P	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.002	0.051	-0.187	-0.006	0.029	-0.074**	

* Significant at 5%.

** Significant at 1%.

*** Significant at p = 0.01

Table 3: Genotypic correlation coefficient amongst grain yield, its components and protein content.

Sr. No.	Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of productive tillers per plant	Number of Fingers per ear	Finger length (cm)	Finger width (cm)	Earhead width (g)	Earhead length (cm)	Earhead weight (g)	Straw yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	1000 grain weight (g)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)		
1.	Days to 50% flowering	E ₁	1.000	0.856**	0.981**	-0.495**	0.170*	0.478**	-0.030	0.138	0.359**	0.126	0.020	0.045	0.012	-0.082	0.064	
		E ₂	1.000	0.793**	0.898**	-0.127	0.211**	0.474**	0.001	-0.213**	-0.171*	0.212**	-0.009	0.142	0.014	-0.178*	0.171*	
		E ₃	1.000	0.913**	0.933**	-0.166*	-0.573**	0.311**	0.049	0.001	0.403**	0.202*	0.075	-0.001	-0.173*	0.007	0.048	0.088
		E ₄	1.000	0.637**	0.694**	0.061	-0.096	0.292**	-0.181*	0.345**	0.356**	0.342**	0.309**	0.193*	0.007	-0.182*	-	0.356**
		P	1.000	0.764**	0.928**	-0.210**	0.055	0.394**	-0.051	0.123**	0.322**	0.229**	0.107*	0.079*	-0.013	-0.013	-	0.171**
2.	Days to maturity	E ₁	1.000	1.000	0.835**	-0.407**	0.110	0.564**	0.067	0.025	0.654**	0.123	0.129	-0.129	-0.134	-0.010	-0.038	
		E ₂	1.000	1.000	0.808**	-0.192*	0.232**	0.445**	0.075	-0.003	-0.192*	0.021	-0.099	0.020	-0.103	-0.141	-0.037	
		E ₃	1.000	1.000	0.754**	-0.121	-0.478**	0.325**	-0.175*	-0.016	0.194*	0.129	-0.149	-0.043	-0.266**	-0.023	-0.152	
		E ₄	1.000	1.000	0.627**	-0.390**	0.627**	0.224**	-0.073	0.186*	0.324**	0.085	-0.097	0.051	-0.249**	-0.057	0.032	
		P	1.000	1.000	0.771**	-0.308**	0.162**	0.369**	0.015	0.034	0.306**	0.086*	-0.090*	-0.001	-0.207**	-0.053	-0.049	
3.	Plant height (cm)	E ₁	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.319**	0.035	0.524**	0.000	-0.022	0.492**	-0.033	0.019	-0.053	-0.163*	-0.029	-0.038	
		E ₂	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.152	0.109	0.440**	0.120	-0.297**	-0.172*	-0.024	-0.111	0.053	-0.087	-0.029	-0.016	
		E ₃	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.231**	-0.034	0.301**	0.036	-0.242**	0.264**	0.103	0.045	-0.064	-0.129	0.006	-0.007	
		E ₄	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.222**	-0.142	0.268**	0.120	0.069	0.424**	0.063	-0.107	-0.075	-0.155	0.044	-0.088	
		P	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.241**	0.061	0.396**	0.067	-0.135**	0.347**	0.034	-0.041	-0.041	-0.141**	-0.004	-0.044	
4.	Number of productive tillers per plant	E ₁	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.653**	-0.103	0.007	-0.308**	-0.133	0.000	0.549**	-0.416**	0.180*	-0.044	0.149	
		E ₂	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.055	0.137	0.250**	-0.043	0.343**	0.343**	-0.215**	0.349**	-0.050	0.147	0.208*	0.311**	
		E ₃	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.967**	0.080	0.223**	-0.023	0.223**	0.111	0.103	-0.102	0.420**	0.304**	-0.163*	0.274**	
		E ₄	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.211**	0.101	-0.238**	0.101	-0.238**	0.133	-0.016	0.361**	0.211**	0.077	-0.052	0.386**	
		P	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.076*	0.046	-0.019	-0.019	-0.009	0.238**	-0.056	0.266**	0.162**	0.174**	-0.016	0.360**	
5.	Number of fingers per ear	E ₁	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.169*	0.248**	-	0.449**	0.046	0.448**	-0.040	0.490**	0.220**	-0.117	0.417**	
		E ₂	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.222**	-0.148	0.222**	-0.148	-0.098	-0.134	0.473**	-0.189*	0.400**	-0.033	-0.028	0.261**	
		E ₃	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.097	-	0.476**	-	0.476**	-0.363**	0.527**	0.468**	0.211**	0.145	-	0.389**	
		E ₄	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.268**	0.268**	-	0.268**	-	0.268**	-	0.268**	-	0.268**	-	0.365**	
		P	1.000	1.000	1.000	-0.065	-0.206*	0.298**	-0.112	0.298**	0.298**	0.465**	0.177*	0.371**	0.061	-	0.325**	

Table 4: Phenotypic path amongst grain yield, its components and protein content.

Sr. No.	Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of productive tillers per plant	Number of Fingers per ear	Finger length (cm)	Finger width (cm)	Earhead width (g)	Earhead length (cm)	Earhead weight (g)	Straw yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	1000 grain weight (g)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)	
1.	Days to 50% flowering	E ₁	-0.008	0.001	-0.001	0.004	-0.001	-0.006	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.021	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.033	
		E ₂	0.029	-0.017	0.027	0.001	0.001	0.000	-0.005	-0.003	-0.001	-0.005	0.094	-0.001	-0.002	0.130	
		E ₃	-0.033	0.007	0.007	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.001	0.013	0.013	0.026	0.037	-0.011	0.001	0.056	
		E ₄	0.080	-0.001	-0.010	0.004	0.004	-0.003	-0.025	-0.008	0.037	0.120	0.070	0.000	-0.006	0.269**	
2.	Days to maturity	P	0.013	-0.005	0.000	0.005	0.001	-0.001	0.012	0.040	0.056	-0.002	-0.002	0.123**			
		E ₁	-0.001	-0.016	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.007	0.003	0.069	-0.052	-0.004	0.000	0.007	
		E ₂	0.017	-0.030	0.023	0.000	0.017	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	0.000	-0.065	0.036	-0.002	-0.017	
		E ₃	-0.014	0.016	0.005	0.000	-0.001	0.002	-0.002	0.000	0.006	0.005	-0.067	-0.026	-0.016	0.000	-0.091
3.	Plant height (cm)	E ₄	0.028	-0.004	-0.010	0.007	0.003	-0.001	-0.016	-0.007	0.009	-0.027	0.047	-0.006	-0.004	0.016	
		P	0.006	-0.011	0.004	0.000	0.004	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.004	-0.021	0.005	-0.010	-0.002	-0.021	
		E ₁	-0.001	-0.009	0.002	-0.001	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.008	-0.002	0.017	-0.046	-0.007	-0.002	-0.035
		E ₂	0.023	-0.019	0.035	0.001	0.008	0.001	-0.002	-0.007	-0.003	0.000	-0.083	0.034	-0.007	0.000	-0.022
4.	Number of productive tillers per plant	E ₃	-0.022	0.007	0.010	0.001	0.002	0.001	-0.001	0.014	0.005	0.038	-0.051	-0.017	0.000	-0.013	
		E ₄	0.037	-0.002	-0.021	-0.002	0.007	0.006	0.002	-0.006	-0.012	0.010	-0.043	-0.057	-0.005	0.002	-0.085
		P	0.008	-0.006	0.007	-0.001	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.002	-0.018	-0.030	-0.012	0.000	-0.039
		E ₁	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.011	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	-0.003	0.141	-0.091	0.003	0.000	0.062
5.	Number of fingers per ear	E ₂	-0.002	-0.002	-0.004	-0.005	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.138	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.157	
		E ₃	0.004	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.009	0.004	-0.019	0.154	0.021	-0.003	0.164*
		E ₄	-0.001	0.001	0.002	0.017	-0.007	0.000	0.000	0.005	-0.001	-0.003	0.090	0.096	0.001	-0.002	0.198*
		P	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.081	0.050	0.008	0.000	0.144**
6.	Finger length (cm)	E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.001	-0.002	-0.018	0.000	0.029	0.014	0.138	0.011	-0.005	0.233**	
		E ₂	0.004	-0.006	0.003	-0.001	0.085	0.001	0.002	-0.002	-0.002	-0.148	0.311	-0.001	0.000	0.243**	
		E ₃	0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.013	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	0.004	0.024	0.048	0.006	-0.002	0.089
		E ₄	-0.003	0.000	0.002	0.001	-0.083	0.000	-0.002	-0.017	0.004	0.050	0.065	0.222	0.002	-0.007	0.233**
7.	Finger width (cm)	P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	-0.032	0.203	0.005	-0.002	0.213**	
		E ₁	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.000	0.015	0.000	-0.012	0.013	0.022	0.135	0.066	0.005	-0.002	0.239**	
		E ₂	0.012	-0.011	0.015	0.000	0.015	0.003	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	-0.001	0.058	0.166	0.011	-0.003	0.264**
		E ₃	-0.008	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.002	0.000	0.029	0.014	0.071	0.106	0.008	-0.004	0.169*
8.	Earhead width (g)	E ₄	0.015	-0.001	-0.005	0.000	0.001	0.024	0.002	-0.019	-0.023	0.032	0.066	0.084	0.000	-0.006	0.228**
		P	0.003	-0.002	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.020	0.000	-0.002	0.004	0.020	0.071	0.106	0.008	-0.004	0.228**
		E ₁	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.009	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.061	-0.013	-0.001	0.006	0.062
		E ₂	-0.001	-0.002	0.004	0.000	-0.006	0.000	-0.021	-0.004	0.005	0.005	-0.015	0.080	0.015	0.002	0.057
9.	Earhead length (cm)	E ₃	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.032	-0.001	0.006	-0.003	-0.100	0.115	-0.009	0.003	0.041	
		E ₄	-0.014	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.010	0.002	0.019	0.006	-0.005	-0.025	-0.072	0.044	0.002	0.002	-0.033
		P	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.002	0.000	0.005	0.002	0.002	-0.003	-0.026	0.053	0.002	0.003	0.035
		E ₁	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	-0.047	0.003	0.063	0.080	0.454	0.017	-0.002	0.572**
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₂	-0.005	0.001	-0.009	-0.001	-0.007	0.000	0.003	0.028	0.002	0.000	0.264	-0.119	0.011	-0.003	0.167*
		E ₃	0.002	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	-0.004	0.000	0.010	-0.007	0.030	0.246	0.038	0.000	0.335**	
		E ₄	0.019	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.013	0.004	-0.001	-0.105	-0.003	0.114	0.062	0.437	0.009	-0.010	0.511**
		P	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.022	0.001	0.039	0.100	0.256	0.024	-0.003	0.397**
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₁	-0.001	-0.005	0.001	0.000	0.009	0.001	-0.005	0.023	0.016	0.016	0.246	-0.083	-0.001	0.003	0.203*
		E ₂	-0.005	0.005	-0.006	-0.001	-0.011	0.000	-0.006	0.004	0.016	0.000	0.144	-0.194	0.011	0.001	-0.041
		E ₃	-0.007	0.002	0.003	-0.001	0.000	0.005	0.004	-0.001	0.057	0.003	0.121	0.037	0.006	0.000	0.225**
		E ₄	0.020	-0.001	-0.008	0.001	0.011	0.018	0.003	-0.009	-0.031	0.022	0.115	0.046	0.000	0.002	0.187*
10.	Earhead weight (g)	P	0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.008	0.001	-0.001	0.010	0.006	0.146	-0.035	0.000	0.001	0.140**
		E ₁	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	-0.038	0.005	0.078	0.160	0.399	0.019	0.000	0.628**
		E ₂	0.006	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.034	0.001	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	-0.004	0.123	0.356	0.043	-0.002	0.553**
		E ₃	-0.004	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	-0.002	0.005	0.003	0.056	0.139	0.380	0.033	-0.004	0.610**
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₄	0.018	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.025	0.005	-0.003	-0.074	-0.004	0.141	0.341	0.008	-0.007	0.561**	

	P	0.002	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.000	-0.010	0.001	0.080	0.134	0.344	0.031	-0.003	0.588**
11.	Straw yield per plant (g)	E ₁	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001	-0.005	0.007	0.016	0.778	-0.383	-0.002	0.011	0.425**
		E ₂	0.000	0.002	-0.004	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.003	-0.001	0.830	-0.412	0.035	0.000	0.448**
		E ₃	-0.001	-0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.005	0.000	0.011	0.012	0.645	-0.261	0.022	0.006	0.429**
		E ₄	0.021	0.000	0.002	0.003	-0.012	0.003	-0.003	-0.014	-0.008	0.049	0.467	-0.015	0.009	0.006	0.509**
12.	Harvest index (%)	P	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.003	0.002	0.016	0.653	-0.247	0.015	0.004	0.443**
		E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.000	-0.023	-0.002	0.034	-0.325	0.919	0.020	-0.009	0.617**
		E ₂	0.003	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.029	0.001	-0.002	-0.004	-0.004	-0.001	-0.380	0.899	-0.013	-0.002	0.552**
		E ₃	-0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.026	-0.207	0.811	0.036	-0.010	0.665**
13.	1000 grain weight (g)	P	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.000	-0.007	0.000	0.034	-0.201	0.803	0.028	-0.007	0.659**
		E ₁	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	-0.017	0.000	0.031	-0.036	0.396	0.047	-0.003	0.422**
		E ₂	0.000	0.003	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.003	0.003	0.002	-0.002	0.269	0.113	0.107	0.000	0.488**
		E ₃	0.003	-0.002	-0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.001	-0.003	0.003	0.003	0.016	0.122	0.244	0.118	-0.004	0.499**
14.	Protein content (%)	P	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.006	0.000	0.001	-0.030	0.000	0.041	0.125	0.339	0.032	-0.001	0.507**
		E ₁	0.000	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.006	0.000	0.027	0.103	0.242	0.093	-0.002	0.461**
		E ₂	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.231	-0.236	-0.003	0.036	0.031
		E ₃	-0.004	0.004	-0.001	0.000	-0.002	-0.001	-0.003	-0.005	0.001	0.000	0.026	-0.156	-0.003	0.014	-0.132
14.		E ₄	-0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.002	0.003	0.000	-0.002	-0.008	0.127	-0.261	-0.016	0.032	-0.128
		E ₄	-0.010	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	0.012	-0.003	0.001	0.020	-0.001	-0.021	0.051	-0.164	-0.001	0.052	-0.065
		P	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.008	0.099	-0.187	-0.006	0.029	-0.074*
		P	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.008	0.099	-0.187	-0.006	0.029	-0.074*

* Significant at 5%, ** Significant at 1%, * Significant at p = 0.05 ** Significant at p = 0.01

Table 5: Genotypic path coefficient amongst grain yield, its components and protein content.

S.N.	Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of productive tillers per plant	Number of fingers per ear	Finger length (cm)	Finger width (cm)	Earhead width (g)	Ear head length (cm)	Ear head weight (g)	Straw yield per plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 grain weight (g)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)	
1.	Days to 50% flowering	E ₁	-0.010	-0.087	0.094	0.012	0.007	0.000	-0.011	-0.006	0.013	0.018	0.045	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.064
		E ₂	-0.052	0.061	0.038	-0.004	-0.018	0.000	0.012	0.000	-0.002	-0.009	-0.009	0.139	0.001	0.000	0.171*
		E ₃	-0.182	0.184	0.025	0.024	-0.027	0.018	0.002	0.000	0.040	-0.013	0.062	-0.001	-0.011	0.003	0.088
		E ₄	22.420	-14.271	-10.298	-4.520	2.286	-2.270	-2.666	-61.632	2.918	41.578	5.585	16.372	-0.094	2.948	0.356**
2.	Days to maturity	P	0.597	0.010	-0.554	-0.006	0.007	-0.003	-0.038	-0.010	0.024	0.068	0.065	-0.001	-0.004	-0.010	0.171**
		E ₁	-0.009	-0.101	0.080	0.010	-0.007	0.008	-0.001	-0.002	-0.011	0.013	0.118	-0.130	-0.004	0.000	-0.038
		E ₂	-0.041	0.077	0.035	-0.005	0.010	-0.017	-0.004	0.000	-0.006	0.000	-0.094	0.019	-0.010	0.000	-0.037
		E ₃	14.273	-22.418	-9.307	28.766	2.967	-1.073	-33.118	2.658	10.392	-0.008	-1.222	-0.046	-0.017	-0.002	-0.152
3.	Plant height (cm)	P	0.456	0.013	-0.461	-0.009	0.022	0.021	-0.010	-0.010	0.009	0.009	-0.057	-0.001	-0.019	-0.004	-0.049
		E ₁	-0.010	-0.085	0.096	0.008	-0.002	0.007	0.000	0.002	-0.009	-0.003	0.018	-0.054	-0.005	0.000	-0.038
		E ₂	-0.047	0.063	0.043	-0.004	0.005	-0.017	-0.007	0.016	-0.005	0.000	-0.106	0.052	-0.008	0.000	-0.016
		E ₃	-0.188	0.152	0.024	0.033	-0.002	-0.017	0.002	0.009	0.026	-0.007	0.037	-0.069	-0.008	0.000	-0.007
4.	Number of productive tillers per plant	E ₄	15.562	-14.065	-14.835	16.354	3.385	-0.248	1.772	-12.326	3.481	7.644	-1.945	-6.390	2.234	-0.712	-0.088
		P	0.554	0.010	-0.597	-0.007	0.008	0.023	0.004	0.041	-0.011	0.003	-0.026	-0.033	-0.013	0.000	-0.044
		E ₁	0.005	0.041	-0.031	-0.025	0.044	-0.001	0.000	0.024	0.002	0.000	0.503	-0.419	0.005	0.000	0.149
		E ₂	0.007	-0.015	-0.007	0.028	0.002	-0.005	0.002	-0.014	0.010	0.002	0.333	-0.049	0.014	0.000	0.311**
5.	Number of fingers per ear	E ₃	0.030	-0.024	-0.006	-0.143	0.050	-0.001	-0.009	0.011	-0.007	-0.083	0.451	0.020	-0.011	-0.011	0.274**
		E ₄	1.375	8.752	3.293	-75.680	-5.024	-0.093	0.154	42.404	1.091	-1.999	6.533	17.838	-1.110	0.851	0.386**
		P	-0.125	-0.004	0.144	0.029	0.010	0.003	-0.001	0.003	-0.007	-0.006	0.169	0.132	0.016	-0.001	0.360**
		E ₁	-0.002	-0.011	0.003	0.016	-0.068	0.002	0.002	-0.035	-0.001	0.046	-0.037	0.495	0.007	-0.001	0.417**
5.	Number of fingers per ear	E ₂	-0.011	0.018	0.005	0.002	0.043	-0.009	0.008	0.005	-0.004	-0.005	-0.180	0.392	-0.003	0.000	0.261**
		E ₃	0.104	-0.096	-0.001	-0.153	0.047	-0.006	-0.011	-0.019	-0.036	-0.034	0.382	0.226	0.009	-0.025	0.389**
		E ₄	-2.154	2.794	2.109	-15.552	-23.804	0.060	-3.038	-53.244	-0.915	56.540	3.204	31.414	-0.877	3.785	0.325**
		P	0.033	0.002	-0.036	0.002	0.133	0.010	-0.016	-0.190	-0.001	0.058	-0.001	0.438	0.017	-0.020	0.433**
	E ₁	-0.005	-0.057	0.050	0.003	-0.012	0.014	0.000	-0.032	-0.015	0.049	0.237	0.137	0.003	-0.001	0.372**	

6.	Finger length (cm)	E ₂	-0.025	0.035	0.019	0.004	0.010	-0.038	0.005	0.003	-0.003	0.067	0.199	0.012	0.000	0.283**		
		E ₃	-0.057	0.066	0.007	-0.012	0.005	-0.058	0.003	-0.003	0.060	-0.018	0.052	0.222	0.007	-0.013	0.262**	
		E ₄	6.545	-5.022	-3.975	-7.407	1.542	-0.926	0.057	0.002	-43.691	7.093	28.429	2.821	10.617	-0.029	2.737	0.194*
		P	0.235	0.005	-0.237	0.001	0.024	0.057	0.002	0.002	-0.002	-0.024	0.033	0.095	0.146	0.012	-0.014	0.273**
7.	Finger width (cm)	E ₁	0.000	-0.007	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000	-0.009	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.084	-0.013	-0.002	0.002	0.070	
		E ₂	0.000	0.006	0.005	-0.001	-0.006	0.004	-0.055	0.004	0.010	0.011	0.000	-0.028	0.081	0.016	0.000	0.041
		E ₃	-0.009	-0.035	0.001	0.003	-0.013	-0.004	0.040	0.005	0.005	0.015	0.004	-0.142	0.177	-0.006	0.008	0.044
		E ₄	-0.034	1.631	-1.783	-0.771	4.905	-0.092	14.744	5.007	1.625	-19.382	1.255	-2.725	2.195	-0.600	-0.750	-0.050
8.	Earhead width (g)	P	-0.030	0.000	-0.040	0.000	-0.033	0.002	0.065	0.048	-0.008	-0.053	0.063	0.063	0.014	0.014	0.029	
		E ₁	-0.001	-0.003	-0.002	0.008	-0.030	0.006	0.000	0.000	-0.078	-0.004	0.103	0.086	0.627	0.014	-0.001	0.725**
		E ₂	0.011	0.000	-0.013	0.007	-0.004	0.002	0.010	0.002	-0.055	0.004	0.004	0.351	-0.156	0.013	0.000	0.171*
		E ₃	0.000	-0.003	-0.006	-0.032	0.023	-0.004	-0.005	-0.005	-0.039	-0.011	-0.044	0.050	0.480	0.030	0.001	0.439**
9.	Earhead length (cm)	E ₄	7.743	-4.160	-1.025	17.508	-7.102	-0.227	-0.414	-178.451	1.032	107.858	3.061	56.295	-5.162	3.740	0.696**	
		P	0.075	0.000	0.081	0.000	0.083	0.012	-0.010	-0.304	0.001	0.093	0.168	0.500	0.047	-0.017	0.727**	
		E ₁	-0.004	-0.066	0.047	0.003	-0.003	0.012	-0.001	-0.016	-0.016	0.026	0.400	-0.102	-0.001	0.001	0.280**	
		E ₂	0.009	-0.015	-0.007	0.010	-0.006	0.004	-0.019	-0.007	0.030	0.001	0.174	-0.248	0.012	0.000	-0.063	
10.	Earhead weight (g)	E ₃	-0.037	0.026	0.003	-0.015	0.025	-0.016	-0.003	-0.026	0.006	-0.065	0.185	0.554	0.020	-0.010	0.647**	
		E ₄	7.669	-1.917	-0.933	1.212	-11.073	-0.217	-2.351	-158.352	1.020	121.548	5.840	39.841	-3.897	2.205	0.596**	
		P	0.137	0.001	-0.020	-0.002	0.074	0.018	-0.003	-0.003	-0.272	-0.003	0.104	0.146	0.426	0.043	-0.009	0.641**
		E ₁	0.000	-0.013	0.002	-0.014	0.003	0.004	-0.001	-0.007	-0.078	-0.005	0.103	0.205	0.481	0.014	0.000	0.679**
11.	Straw yield per plant (g)	E ₂	0.001	-0.008	-0.005	0.010	-0.008	-0.003	0.002	-0.020	0.006	-0.002	0.954	-0.482	0.035	0.000	0.480**	
		E ₃	-0.014	-0.030	0.001	0.015	0.022	-0.004	-0.007	-0.002	0.022	-0.015	0.816	-0.373	0.019	0.015	0.465**	
		E ₄	6.918	2.168	1.594	-26.595	-4.214	-0.144	-2.220	-30.182	2.181	39.222	18.099	0.167	-4.037	-2.418	0.539**	
		P	0.064	-0.001	0.025	0.008	0.000	0.009	-0.005	0.002	-0.080	-0.011	0.024	0.024	-0.198	0.027	0.016	0.512**
12.	Harvest index (%)	E ₁	-0.001	0.013	-0.005	0.010	-0.033	0.002	0.000	-0.048	0.002	0.049	-0.408	1.009	0.014	-0.003	0.601**	
		E ₂	-0.007	0.002	0.002	-0.001	0.017	-0.008	-0.005	0.009	0.009	-0.008	-0.005	-0.470	0.979	0.013	0.000	0.519**
		E ₃	0.000	-0.009	-0.002	-0.060	0.010	-0.012	0.007	-0.017	-0.017	-0.034	-0.034	-0.283	1.075	0.023	-0.025	0.680**
		E ₄	4.337	-1.147	1.120	-15.529	-8.835	-0.116	0.382	-118.697	0.559	57.218	0.559	0.036	84.634	-6.676	3.546	0.831**
13.	1000 grain weight (g)	P	0.047	0.000	0.024	0.005	0.072	0.010	0.005	-0.187	0.000	0.055	-0.154	0.814	0.038	-0.024	0.705**	
		E ₁	0.000	0.014	-0.016	-0.004	-0.015	0.001	0.001	-0.035	0.000	0.047	-0.042	0.476	0.030	-0.001	0.457**	
		E ₂	-0.001	-0.008	-0.004	0.004	-0.001	-0.005	-0.009	-0.007	0.004	-0.005	0.004	0.349	0.135	0.096	0.000	0.548**
		E ₃	0.032	-0.054	-0.003	-0.044	0.007	-0.006	-0.004	-0.018	-0.018	0.006	-0.020	0.242	0.376	0.064	-0.011	0.568**
14.	Protein content (%)	E ₄	0.146	5.574	2.297	-5.667	-1.446	-0.002	0.613	-63.829	0.001	32.824	5.063	39.151	-14.431	0.240	0.533**	
		P	-0.008	-0.003	0.084	0.005	0.025	0.008	0.002	-0.155	-0.003	0.049	0.190	0.333	0.092	-0.007	0.613**	
		E ₁	0.001	0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.008	-0.001	-0.002	0.003	0.005	-0.002	0.003	0.315	-0.289	-0.003	0.009	0.043
		E ₂	0.009	-0.011	-0.001	0.006	-0.001	0.008	-0.010	0.008	-0.010	0.013	0.001	0.030	-0.196	-0.002	0.000	-0.154
14.	Protein content (%)	E ₃	-0.009	-0.005	0.000	0.023	-0.017	0.011	0.005	-0.001	-0.004	0.009	0.175	-0.389	-0.010	0.069	-0.142	
		E ₄	-4.073	1.286	-0.651	3.864	5.553	0.156	0.681	41.129	0.317	-16.516	2.697	-18.494	0.213	-16.228	-0.067	
		P	-0.070	-0.001	0.002	0.000	-0.033	-0.010	0.011	0.126	-0.008	-0.012	0.126	-0.238	-0.008	0.082	-0.090*	

* Significant at 5%, ** Significant at 1%
 * Significant at p = 0.05 ** Significant at p = 0.01

CONCLUSIONS

The correlation coefficient and path coefficient study revealed that grain yield per plant exhibited significant positive correlation with number of productive tillers per plant, number of fingers per ear, finger length, ear head width, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index and 1000 grain weight. It can be concluded that biological yield/plant, 1000 seed weight and oil content are the major yield contributing traits and must be considered during selection.

Acknowledgement. I sense it to be my proud privilege and prodigious pride to explicit my deep sense of gratitude and honest appreciation to my Professor and Head Dr. R. K. Yadav Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding. I will also like to pay my respectful thanks to Dr. Lokendra Singh and my father Shri Ram Gopal Prajapati my mom Smt. Vimla Devi my brothers Vinod, Pramod and Mahesh.

Conflict of Interest. None.

REFERENCES

- Anuradha, N., Udaya, K., Patro, T. S. S. K. and Sharma, N. D. R. K. (2013). Character association and path analysis in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) accessions belongs to late maturity group. *Int. J. Food Agric. Veterin. Sci.*, 3(3), 113-115.
- Anuradha, N., Patro, T. S. S. K., Divya, M., Sandhya, R. Y. and Triveni, U. (2017). Genetic variability, heritability and association in advanced breeding lines of finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn]. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, 5(5), 1042-1044.
- Auti, S. G., Tahsin, K. and Ahire, D. D. (2017). Morpho-agronomic diversity in (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) landraces from Maharashtra state (India). *J. Scient. Agric.*, 1, 54-61.
- Chandra, D., Chandra, S., Pallavi, and Sharma, A. K. (2016). Review of Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn): a power house of health benefiting nutrients. *Food Science and Human Wellness*, 5, 149-155.
- Eric, M. O., Pangirayi, T., Paul, S., Mwangi, G. and Abhishek, R. (2016). Correlations, path coefficient analysis and heritability for quantitative traits in finger millet landraces. *Philippine J. Sci.*, 145(2), 197-208.
- Ganapathy, S., Nirmalakumari, A. and Muthiah, A. R. (2011). Genetic Variability and inter relationship analyses for economic traits in finger millet germplasm. *World Agric. Sci.*, 7(2), 185-188.
- Gupta, S. M., Arora, S., Mirza, N., Pande, A., Lata, C., Puranik, S. and Kumar, A. (2017). Finger millet: a “certain” crop for an “uncertain” future and a solution to food insecurity and hidden hunger under stressful environments. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8, 643.
- Haradari, C., Ugalat, J. and Nagabhushan, A. (2012). Study on character Association, genetic variability and yield components of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.). *J. Crop Weed.*, 8(2), 32-35.
- Jyothsna, S., Patro, T. S. S. K., Ashok, S., Sandhya, Y. R. and Neeraja, B. (2016). Studies on genetic parameters, character association and path analysis of yield and its components in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn). *Int. J. Theor. App. Sci.*, 8(1), 25-30.
- Lad, D. B., Mahalle, S. P. and Bade, B. A. (2020). Association of characters and path coefficient analysis for yield and its components in finger millets (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(5), 599-602.
- Lule, D., Tesfaye, K., Fetene, M. and De Villers (2012). Inheritance and Association of quantitative traits in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* subsp. *Coracana*) landraces collected from eastern and south Eastern Africa. *Int. J. Genet.*, 2(2), 12-21.
- Manjunath, H., Jayarame, G., Vijayakumar, L., Mallikarjun, K. and Basavaraja, T. (2015). Genetic variability and heritability for economic traits in reference set of finger millet. *Trends in Biosci.*, 8(5), 1306-1309.
- Malleshi, N. G. (1989). Processing of small millets for food and industrial uses. *Small millets in global agriculture*, 34(8), 325-339.
- Miller, P. A., Williams Jr, J. C., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1958). Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton and their implications in selection. *Agronomy journal*, 50(3), 126-131.
- Negi, S., Bhatt, A. and Kumar, V. (2017). Character association and path analysis for yield and its related traits in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) genotypes. *J. Applied and Natural Sci.*, 9(3), 1624 – 1629.
- Owere, L., Tongoona, P., Derera, J. and Wanyera, N. (2015) Variability and trait relationships among finger millet accessions in uganda. *Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 16(2), 161-176.
- Pandey, P. K. and Kumar, G. S. (2005). Finger Millet: A Flair for Human Nutrition in Uttaranchal. *Indian Farmers Digest.*, 38(9), 28-30.
- Priyadharshini, C., Nirmalakumari, Ajoel, A. J. and Raveendran, M. (2011) Genetic variability and trait relationships in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) hybrids. *Madras Agric. J.*, 98(1-3), 18-21.
- Rani, J., Ratna, B., Ahamed, L. M. and Srinivasa Rao, V. (2015). Character Association and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and yield components in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). *Electronic J. Pl. Breed.*, 6(2)
- Reddy, C. V. C. M., Munirathnam, P. and Gowda, J. (2013). Studies of Genetic variability in yield and yield attributing traits of finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn]. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 47(6), 549-552.
- Sao, A., Singh, P., Kumar, P. and Panigrahi, P. (2016a). Genetic analysis for Estimation of yield determinants in finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn] *Advan. Life Sci.*, 5(16), 5951-5956.
- Sakamma, S., Umesh, K. B., Girish, M. R., Ravi, S. C., Satishkumar, M. and Bellundagi, V. (2018). Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn.) production system: status, potential, constraints and implications for improving small farmer's welfare. *J Agric Sci.*, 10(1), 162-179.
- Sharavathy, M. K., Urooj, A. and Puttaraj, S. (2001). Nutritionally important starch fractions in cereal based Indian food preparations. *Food Chem.*, 70, 107-111.
- Suryanarayana, L., Sekhar, D. and Rao, N. V. (2014). Genetic variability And divergence studies in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 3(4), 931-936.

- Shinde, S. R., Desai, S. V. and Pawar, R. M., (2014). Genetic variability and character association in finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn]. *Int. J. Pl. Sci.*, 9(1), 13-16.
- Singamsetti, A., Patro, T. S. S. K., Anuradha, N. and Divya, M. (2018). Studies on genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7, 90-95.
- Suman, A., Surin, S., Ahmad, E., Haider, Z. A., Ekka, S., Tuti, A. and Xaxa, E. (2018). Study of correlation and path analysis of elite finger millet germplasm (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.*, 1, 2256-2258.
- Tekaligne, T. M., Woldu, A. R. and Tsigie, Y. A. (2015). Bioethanol production from finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) straw. *Ethiopian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(1), 1-13.
- Thilakarathna, M. S. and Raizada, M. N. (2015). A review of nutrient management studies involving finger millet in the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. *Agronomy*, 5(3), 262-290.
- Ulaganathan, V. and Nirmalakumari, A. (2014). Genetic variability and correlation studies for quantitative traits in finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn] germplasm. *Sabrao J. Breed. Genet.*, 47(2), 79-88.
- Udamala, A., Vijayalakshmi, B., Anuradha, N., Patro, T. S. S. K. and Sekhar, V. (2020) Studies on genetic variability for yield and quality traits in finger millets (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(09), 89-91.

How to cite this article: Rakesh Kumar, Shweta, Aman Mishra, Pooja Tiwari, Pramod Kumar Prajapati and Devesh Yadav (2023). A Correlation and Path-Coefficient Analysis of Components of Indigenous Collections of Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) under varied Edaphic Conditions. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(2): 291-301.