

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(2): 399-403(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Assessment of Correlation and Path coefficient Analysis for Yield and Yield Contributing Traits among Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.) Genotypes

Radhe Shyam Kherwa<sup>1</sup>, S.S. Solankey<sup>1\*</sup>, Manish Kumar<sup>2</sup> and Mahendra Kumar<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture (Vegetable & Floriculture), Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar), India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, Central University (Tamil Nadu), India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Horticulture, H.N.B. Garhwal University (Uttarakhand), India.

(Corresponding author: S.S. Solankey\*)

(Received: 22 December 2022; Revised: 31 January 2023; Accepted: 08 February 2023; Published: 14 February 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: For twenty yield-contributing traits, correlations and path coefficients were examined in seven tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) genotypes. The interrelationship between the analysed features was discovered using the correlation coefficients. Average fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, equatorial fruit diameter, polar fruit diameter, total phenolic content, and days to 50% flowering all showed strong positive correlations with total fruit yield. However, the first day of fruit set was very significantly negatively correlated with total fruit yield. Whereas, lycopene content had high significant and positive correlation with total phenolics content, TSS content and total antioxidant capacity but negative correlation with titrable acidity. The total fruit yield had the highest positive direct effect in the path coefficient analysis, followed by the number of fruit per plant, the number of locules per fruit, the days to 50% flowering, and the number of primary branches per plant, all of which both positively correlated with and directly affected the yield.

Keywords: Genotypic, Phenotypic, Correlation, Path, Fruit yield.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most economically important vegetables in India and in the world. The fruit has multiple uses in human nutrition and is eaten raw, cooked, and processed into a variety of products. It is grown throughout the country due to its adaptability to a wide variety of soils and climates (Ahmed, 1976). It is a commonly used vegetable crop in both the fresh fruit market and the processed food business around the world. It is grown in a farm and kitchen garden for cooked vegetables, soup, sauce, and ketchup *etc.* (Nagariya *et al.*, 2015). Tomato is a significant source of vitamin A, B, C and other nutrient element (Khapte and Jansirani 2014).

An understanding of the genetic diversity found in populations can be gained through correlation and path coefficient analyses. The component traits on which selection might be based for an increase in yield are determined by correlation coefficient analysis, which assesses the relationship between various plant characteristics (Hasan *et al.*, 2016). Path analysis helps in the selection of an elite genotype by dividing the correlation coefficients into the direct and indirect impacts of a group of dependent variables on the independent variable (Tsagaye *et al.*, 2022). As yield is a complex character, its direct improvement is difficult. (Islam *et al.*, 2010). When creating a selection strategy with the goal of increasing yield, understanding the link between yield and other plant characteristics as well as their proportional contributions to yield is highly helpful.

# MATERIALS AND METHOD

The research was carried out between 2015 and 2017 at the Bihar Agriculture College Sabour, Department of Vegetable and Floriculture, BAU, Bhagalpur. The material for the present study comprised of 7 genotypes of tomato viz., H-86, Pusa Rohini, Arka Abha, Arka Vikash, Arka Ahuti, CLNB and S. Pimpennilifolium. 30 day-old tomato seedlings were transplanted with a  $60 \times 60$  cm spacing in the main field. Three replications of the experiment were done using a Randomized Block Design (RBD). To raise a productive harvest, the advised cultural techniques were used. To record the observations on 20 characters, five competing plants were chosen at random from each row in each replication. Using the formulas provided by Johnson et al. (1955); Al-Jibouri et al. (1958), single correlation coefficients between two characters were calculated at the genotypic and phenotypic levels. The direct and indirect effect was estimated as per the method of Wright (1921) and elaborated by Dewey and Lu (1959) respectively.

Kherwa et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(2): 399-403(2023)

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

For future development of a complex polygenic character through selection, it is critical to understand the relationships between numerous characters associated to yield. According to the current study, genotypic correlation coefficients were typically greater than phenotypic ones. Fruit yield cannot be genetically improved without also improving the yield component traits. It is evident that it is impractical to include all of the component characters in a selection scheme, so in these cases, understanding the relationships between various qualities and the fruit production and quality metrics will be very helpful in creating an effective and efficient selection.

At genotypic and phenotypic level fruit yield per plant had highly significant positive correlation with total fruit yield. Moreover, average fruit weight had highly significant positive correlation with fruit yield per plant and total fruit yield. Similar findings in tomato were also reported by Ara et al. (2009); Tewari and Upadhyay (2011); Hasan et al. (2016). Plant height showed high significant and positive correlation with primary branches per plant, number of fruit per plant, and it had high significant but negative correlation with ascorbic acid, lycopene and total phenolic contents. Similar findings in tomato for these traits was reported by Ahirwar et al. (2013); Tsagaye et al. (2022). Number of locules per fruit high significant and positive correlation with total phenolics content and it had highly significant but negative correlation with number of fruits per plant. Total soluble solids had highly significant positive correlation with number of fruits per plant. It had high significant but negative correlation with average fruit weight.

Singh *et al.* (2004) reported a similar finding. Days to first flowering showed high significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit set, however it had high significant but negative correlation with number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant and total fruit yield and total antioxidant capacity. Bernousi *et al.* (2011) also reported similar findings.

Polar fruit diameter showed highly significant positive correlation with pericarp thickness (Table 1 & 2). Similar results were noticed by Srinivasulu *et al.* (2020). Hence for improvement of tomato yield, selection should be based on higher plant height, number of primary branches, fruit number. However, higher yield might lead to sacrifice of the fruit biochemical quality, hence selection for moderation may be the key to maintaining the balance between yield and quality.

According to Table 3 path coefficient analysis, there was a significant positive direct effect for total fruit yield (0.9962) followed by number of fruit per plant (0.0070), Number of locules per fruit (0.0055), days to 50% flowering (0.0055) and primary branches per plant (0.0035). Similar results were observed by Khapte and Jansirani (2014). It was also observed that the high negative direct effect was exerted by days to first flowering (-0.0035), titrable acidity (-0.0030) and total soluble solids (-0.0034). Similar finding was observed by Rani *et al.* (2010) and Nagariya *et al.* (2015). Present research findings indicate that direct selection of average fruit weight and number of fruits per plant can be used as basis of selection for improvement in tomato in respect of yield.

TFY	-0.389**	-0.313**	-0.305**	0.032	0.127	0.116	0.380 **	$0.312^{**}$	0.082	-0.113	0.220*	-0.012	0.013	0.002	$0.369^{**}$	-0.149	$0.892^{**}$	-0.065	$1.000^{**}$	-0.547**
FYPP	-0.387**	-0.311**	-0.303**	0.033	0.127	0.116	0.379 **	$0.312^{**}$	0.080	-0.113	0.220*	-0.012	0.014	0.002	$0.369^{**}$	-0.148	$0.892^{**}$	-0.065		
NFPP	$-0.331^{**}$	-0.190	-0.332**	-0.303**	$0.292^{**}$	-0.190	-0.163	-0.013	0.036	-0.019	-0.032	0.018	-0.148	0.166	-0.105	0.547**	-0.503**			
AFW	-0.192	-0.190	-0.117	0.180	-0.016	0.219*	$0.396^{**}$	0.257*	0.040	-0.078	0.185	-0.045	0.095	-0.043	$0.355^{**}$	- 0.359**				
TSS	-0.044	-0.117	-0.146	-0.237*	-0.072	-0.138	-0.022	0.029	-0.050	$0.404^{**}$	0.036	$0.304^{**}$	-0.047	0.063	0.195					
TPC	-0.472**	-0.394**	-0.396**	-0.030	-0.577**	-0.280**	0.131	-0.010	0.143	0.119	-0.001	$0.322^{**}$	0.089	0.111						
ΤA	-0.201	-0.113	-0.101	0.137	0.073	0.085	0.130	-0.220*	0.115	-0.063	-0.290**	-0.211*	0.024							
TAC	-0.454**	-0.469**	-0.427**	-0.104	-0.011	$0.214^{*}$	-0.133	-0.020	-0.009	$0.457^{**}$	0.101	0.229*								
LC	0.065	0.013	-0.051	-0.250*	-0.365**	-0.381**	0.041	$0.246^{*}$	0.181	$0.398^{**}$	$0.391^{**}$									
TCC	$0.216^{*}$	0.062	0.069	-0.141	0.021	-0.293**	$0.277^{**}$	$0.759^{**}$	0.236*	0.185										
AA	-0.003	-0.109	-0.160	-0.161	-0.352**	-0.096	0.154	0.182	0.059											
ΡT	-0.109	-0.075	-0.117	-0.210	0.006	-0.120	$0.381^{**}$	0.228*												
EFD	0.250*	0.107	0.091	0.027	-0.166	-0.404**	$0.643^{**}$													
PFD	0.227*	0.121	0.150	-0.097	-0.260*	-0.196														
PBPP	-0.095	-0.119	-0.025	0.076	$0.480^{**}$															
Ηd	0.114	0.155	0.186	0.035																
NLP F	- 0.019	- 0.016	- 0.044																	
DFFS	$0.996^{**}$	$0.992^{**}$																		
D50% F	.993**																			
Character	FF	D50%F	DFFS	NLPF	Hd	PBPP	PFD	EFD	ΡT	AA AA	TCC	ГC	TAC	TA	TPC	TSS	AFW	NFPP	FYPP	TFY

Correlation).
i:
<u>d</u>
(Genot
0
tomat
Е.
ers
Ę
chara
nt
e
fe
dif
Ĕ
ž
<b>i</b>
a
20
ASS
<u></u>
ົວ
q
La

Characters: Days to first flowering (DFF), Days to 50% flowering (D50%F), Days to first finit set (DFFS), Number of locules per fruit (NLPF), Plant height (PH), Primary branches per branch (PB/P), Polar fruit diameter (PFD), ), Equatorial fruit diameter (FFD), Pricarp thickness (PT), Ascorbic acid content (AA), Total carotenoids content(TCC), Jycopene content (LC), Total antioxidant capacity (TAC), Titrable acidity (TA), Total phenol content (TPC), Total soluble solid (TSS), Average fruit weight (AFW), Number of fruit per plant (NFPP), Fruit yield per plant (FYPP) and Total fruit yield (TFY).

# Table 2: Association of different characters in tomato (Phenotypic Correlation).

TFY	-0.179	-0.131	-0.144	0.034	0.110	0.086	$0.334^{**}$	0.279*	0.072	-0.091	0.182	-0.017	0.013	0.006	$0.325^{**}$	-0.113	$0.813^{**}$	0.191	-0.442**	$0.968^{**}$
FYPP	-0.179	-0.132	-0.144	0.035	0.110	0.086	$0.333^{**}$	0.279*	0.071	-0.091	0.181	-0.017	0.013	0.006	$0.326^{**}$	-0.112	$0.813^{**}$	0.191		
NFPP	-0.111	-0.016	-0.064	-0.238*	$0.224^{*}$	-0.152	-0.114	-0.020	0.023	0.003	-0.044	0.010	-0.113	0.128	-0.042	$0.449^{**}$	$-0.404^{**}$			
AFW	-0.094	-0.107	-0.083	0.185	-0.015	0.203	$0.370^{**}$	$0.254^{*}$	0.040	-0.071	0.176	-0.048	0.095	-0.041	$0.319^{**}$	$0.344^{**}$				
TSS	-0.048	-0.070	-0.096	-0.224	-0.057	-0.103	-0.018	0.034	-0.049	$0.367^{**}$	0.037	0.275*	-0.047	0.054	0.130					
TPC	-0.267	-0.240*	-0.206	-0.024	-0.515**	-0.254*	0.130	-0.026	0.140	0.101	-0.002	0.299**	0.084	0.103						
Υ	-0.130	-0.081	-0.077	0.135	0.067	0.080	0.126	-0.217*	0.113	-0.061	-0.283**	-0.202	0.024							
TAC	-0.283**	-0.322**	$-0.280^{**}$	-0.103	-0.010	0.204	-0.132	-0.020	-0.010	$0.448^{**}$	0.099	0.226*								
ГC	0.065	0.006	-0.032	-0.243*	$0.340^{**}$	$0.355^{**}$	0.038	0.240*	0.175	$0.384^{**}$	$0.382^{**}$									
TCC	0.132	0.049	0.044	-0.139	0.007	-0.275*	0.26*5	).734**	$0.231^{*}$	0.166										
AA	0.015	-0.047	-0.075	-0.156	).308**	-0.083	0.142	0.174 (	0.055											
ΡT	-0.066	-0.034	-0.083	-0.206	0.014 -(	-0.116	.371**	0.225*												
EFD	0.175	0.087	0.064	0.022	-0.164	$0.380^{**}$	).620** (													
PFD	0.133	0.065	0.092	-0.094	-0.242*	-0.180 -														
PBPP	-0.073	-0.079	-0.051	0.080	$0.450^{**}$															
Ηd	0.078	0.151	0.137	0.033																
NLPF	0.002	-0.002	-0.019																	
DFFS	).788**	).780**																		
D50%F	0.781** [																			
Character	FF	D50%F	DFFS	NLPF	Ηd	PBPP	PFD	EFD	ΡT	AA	TCC	ГC	TAC	TA	TPC	TSS	AFW	NFPP	FYPP	TFΥ

Characters: Days to first flowering (DFF), Days to 50% flowering (D50%F), Days to first fruit set (DFFS), Number of locules per fruit (NLPF), Plant height (PH), Primary branches per branch (PB/P), Polar fruit diameter (PFD), ), Equatorial fruit diameter (EFD). Pericarp thickness (PT), Ascorbic acid content (AA), Total carotenoids content (TCC), lycopene content (LC), Total antioxidant capacity (TAC), Titrable acidity (TA), Total phenol content (TPC), Total soluble solid (TSS), Average fruit weight (AFW), Number of fruit per plant (NFPP), Fruit yield per plant (FYPP) and Total fruit yield (TFY).

Kherwa et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(2): 399-403(2023)

401

Table 3: Direct and indirect effect of component characters on fruit yield in tomato.

TFY	0.0013	-0.0003	-0.0017	0.0002	-0.0001	0.0004	0.0010	0.0004	0.0000	-0.0003	0.0003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0015	0.0005	0.0028	-0.0005	0.9962	1.0000
NFPP	0.0011	- 0.0002	- 0.0018	- 0.0017	_ 0.0001	- 0.0007	- 0.0004	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	_ 0.0002	- 0.0005	- 0.0004	- 0.0019	- 0.0016	0.0070	- 0.0650	- 0.0655
AFW	0.0007	-0.0002	- 0.0006	0.0010	0.0000	0.0008	0.0010	0.0003	0.0000	-0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	0.0001	0.0015	0.0012	0.0032	- 0.0035	0.8889	0.8924
TSS	0.0002	-0.0001	-0.0008	-0.0013	0.0000	-0.0005	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0011	0.0000	-0.0007	-0.0001	-0.0002	0.0008	-0.0034	-0.0011	0.0038	-0.1484	-0.1483
TPC	0.0016	- 0.0003	- 0.0022	_ 0.0002	0.0003	- 0.0010	0.0003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0003	0.0000	_ 0.0007	0.0001	- 0.0003	0.0041	- 0.0007	0.0011	- 0.0007	0.3673	0.3690
TA	0.0007	-0.0001	- 0.0006	0.0007	0.0000	0.0003	0.0003	- 0.0003	0.0000	-0.0002	-0.0003	0.0004	0.0000	- 0.0030	0.0005	-0.0002	-0.0001	0.0012	0.0024	0.0020
TAC	0.0016	-0.0004	-0.0024	-0.0006	0.0000	0.0007	-0.0003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0012	0.0001	-0.0005	0.0016	-0.0001	0.0004	0.0002	0.0003	-0.0010	0.0133	0.0136
ГС	-0.0002	0.0000	_ 0.0003	-0.0014	0.0002	-0.0013	0.0001	0.0003	0.0000	0.0011	0.0005	0.0021	0.0004	0.0006	0.0013	-0.0010	-0.0001	0.0001	_ 0.0122	- 0.0121
TCC	- 0.0007	0.0001	0.0004	- 0.0008	0.0000	-0.0010	0.0007	0.0010	-0.0001	0.0005	0.0012	- 0.0008	0.0002	0.0009	0.0000	-0.0001	0.0006	-0.0002	0.2191	0.2196
AA	0.0000	-0.0001	-0000-	-0000	0.0002	-0.0003	0.0004	0.0002	0.0000	0.0027	0.0002	-0000	0.0008	0.0002	0.0005	-0.0014	-0.0002	-0.0001	-0.1124	-0.1128
ΡT	0.0004	-0.0001	-0.0006	-0.0011	0.0000	-0.0004	0.0010	0.0003	-0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	-0.0004	0.0000	-0.0003	0.0006	0.0002	0.0001	0.0003	0.0816	0.0804
EFD	-0.0009	0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0001	-0.0014	0.0017	0.0013	-0.0001	0.0005	0.0009	-0.0005	0.0000	0.0007	0.0000	-0.0001	0.0008	-0.0001	0.3106	0.3122
PFD	-0.0008	0.0001	0.0008	-0.0005	0.0001	-0.0007	0.0026	0.0008	-0.0001	0.0004	0.0003	-0.0001	-0.0002	-0.0004	0.0005	0.0001	0.0013	-0.0011	0.3785	0.3794
PBPP	0.0003	- 0.0001	- 0.0001	0.0004	_ 0.0002	0.0035	- 0.0005	- 0.0005	0.0000	- 0.0003	- 0.0003	0.0008	0.0004	- 0.0003	- 0.0012	0.0005	0.0007	- 0.0013	0.1158	0.1164
Hd	- 0.0004	0.0001	0.0010	0.0002	- 0.0005	0.0017	- 0.0007	-0.0002	0.0000	- 0.0010	0.0000	0.0008	0.0000	- 0.0002	-0.0024	0.0002	- 0.0001	0.0020	0.1263	0.1270
NLPF	0.0001	0.0000	-0.0002	0.0055	0.0000	0.0003	- 0.0002	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0004	- 0.0002	0.0005	-0.0002	-0.0004	-0.0001	0.0008	0.0006	-0.0021	0.0316	0.0329
DFFS	-0.0037	0.0010	0.0055	-0.0002	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.0004	0.0001	0.0000	-0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	-0.0007	0.0003	-0.0016	0.0005	-0.0004	-0.0023	-0.3036	-0.3032
D50% F	-0.0038	0.0009	0.0063	- 0.0001	_ 0.0001	- 0.0004	0.0003	0.0001	0.0000	- 0.0003	0.0001	0.0000	- 0.0008	0.0003	-0.0016	0.0004	- 0.0006	-0.0013	- 0.3119	- 0.3114
FF	- 0.0035	0.0009	0.0059	- 0.0001	_ 0.0001	-0.0003	0.0006	0.0003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	_ 0.0001	- 0.0007	0.0006	-0.0019	0.0002	- 0.0006	-0.0023	- 0.3873	- 0.3870
Traits	FF	D50% F	DFFS	NLPF	Hd	PBPP	PFD	EFD	PT	AA	TCC	ГC	TAC	TA	TPC	SST	AFW	NFPP	TFY	GFYP P

Residual effect: 0.0072 Characters: Days to first flowering (DFF), Days to 50% flowering (D50%F),Days to first fruit set (DFFS), Number of locules per fruit (NLPF), Plant height (PH),Primary branches per branch (PB/P), Polar fruit diameter (PFD), )Equatorial fruit diameter (EFD), Pericarp thickness (PT), Ascorbic acid content (AA),Total carotenoids content (TCC),Jycopene content (LC), Total antioxidiant capacity (TAC),Titrable acidity (TA), Total phenol content (TPC), Total soluble solid (TSS), Average fruit weight (AFW), Number of fruit per plant (NFPP), Total fruit yield per plant (GFYPP)

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(2): 399-403(2023)

Kherwa et al.,

402

## CONCLUSIONS

The link between average fruit weight, fruit output per plant, equatorial fruit diameter, polar fruit diameter, and total phenolic content was significantly positive, as were the days to 50% flowering, while days to first fruit set have a strong, negative relationship with overall fruit production. On the other hand, lycopene content has high significant and positive correlation with total phenolics content, TSS content and total antioxidant capacity but negative correlation with titrable acidity. In path coefficient analysis the highest positive direct effect was noted in total fruit yield followed by number of fruit per plant, number of locules per fruit, days to 50% flowering and primary branches per plant both had a favourable association with yield and a direct impact on it. Thus, these characteristics can be employed as selection indices in tomato breeding to increase yield.

## FUTURE SCOPE

The character's link between fruit yield, earliness with quality traits and positive direct effects on plant yield could be used either for selection of parents for effecting new crosses or hybrids for recombination breeding.

## REFERENCES

- Ahirwar, C. H., Bahadur, V. and Prakash, V. (2013). Genetic variability, heritability and correlation studies in tomato genotypes (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *International Journal of Agricultural Science*, 9(1): 172-176.
- Ahmed, K. U. (1976). "Phul Phal O Shak Shabji (in Bangla)". 2nd Edition, Alhaj Kamaluddin Ahmed, Banglow No. 2, Farm Gate, Dhaka, Bangladesh. p. 470.
- Al-Jibouri, H. W., Millar, P. A. and Robinson, H. F. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variance and covariance in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agronomy Journal*, 50, 633–637.
- Ara, A., Narayan, R., Ahmed, N. and Khan, S. H. (2009). Genetic variability and selection parameter for yield and quality attributes in tomato. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 66(1), 73-78.
- Bernousi, I., Emami, A., Tajbakhsh, M., Darvishzadesh, R. and Henareh, M. (2011). Studies on genetic variability and correlation among the different

traits in (Solanum lycopersicum L.). National Botanical Horticulture Journal, 39(1), 152-158.

- Dewey, D. R. and K. H. Lu. (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal*, *51*, 515–518.
- Hasan, M. M. M., Abdullah, d., Bari, A. and Hossain, A. M. (2016). Genetic variability and traits association analysis of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* 1.). *Universal Journal of Plant Science*, 4(3), 23-34.
- Islam, B. M. R., Ivy, N. A., Rasul, M. G. and Zakaria, M. (2010). Character association and path analysis of exotic tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) genotypes. *Bangladesh Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, 23(1), 13–18.
- Johnson, W. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1955). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in Soybeans and their implications in selection. *Agronomy Journal*, 47, 477-482.
- Khapte, P. S. and Jansiran, P. (2014). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 5(2), 300-304.
- Nagariya, N. K., Bhardwaj, R., Sharma, N., Mukherjee, S. and Umesh (2015). Correlation and path analysis in tomato (Solanum lycopersicon L.). International Journal of Farm Sciences, 5(4), 111-117.
- Rani, C. I., Muthuvel, I. and Veer, D. (2010). Correlation and path analysis of yield components and quality traits in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Agricultural Science Digest, 30(1), 11-14.
- Singh, J. K., Singh, J. P., Jain, S. K. and Aradhana, J. (2004). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in tomato. *Progressive Agriculture*, 36(1), 82-86.
- Srinivasulu, B., Singh, P. K., Gowhar, A., Imran, K. and Wani, K. P. (2020). Correlation and path coefficient analysis of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) genotypes for yield and yield contributing characters. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 16(3), 78-8.
- Tewari, J. K. and Upadhyay, D. (2011). Correlation and pathcoefficient studies in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Research Journal of Agriculture Science*, 2(1), 63-68.
- Tsagaye, D., Gadebo, A. and Aklilu, S. (2022). Correlation and path analysis in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* MILL) genotypes. *Ecology and Evolutionary Biology*, 7(3), 46-53.
- Wright, S. (1921). System of mating biometric relation between parent and off spring. *Genetics*, 6, 111-112.

**How to cite this article:** Radhe Shyam Kherwa, S.S. Solankey, Manish Kumar and Mahendra Kumar (2023). Assessment of Correlation and Path coefficient Analysis for Yield and Yield Contributing Traits among Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.) Genotypes. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(2): 399-403.