

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(5): 296-303(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Association and Path Coefficient Analysis in Rice Germplasm for Panicle **Architectural and Yield Attributing Traits**

Agalya Jasmin S.<sup>1</sup>, Hari Prasanth P.<sup>1</sup>, Ramchander S.<sup>1\*</sup>, Dinesh Kumar P.<sup>2</sup>, Devesena N.<sup>1</sup>, Naveenkumar R.<sup>3</sup>, Sugitha Thankappan<sup>4</sup> and John Kingsly N.B.<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Division of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India. <sup>2</sup>Division of Economics, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India. <sup>3</sup>Division of Plant Pathology, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India. <sup>4</sup>Division of Microbiology, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), India.

> > (Corresponding author: Ramchander S.\*)

(Received: 04 March 2023; Revised: 14 April 2023; Accepted: 22 April 2023; Published: 20 May 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: Rice is known as a prime food for more than half of the global population. Due to the expanding population on world, it is essential to increase productivity and production of rice. Therefore, increasing the grain yield has become one of the main goals for the breeders. Improving the panicle architecture and yield attributing traits has been the leading target domain in high-yield breeding in rice. Hence, to develop an economically viable variety, plant breeders need to employ an effective selection technique that takes into account the link between yield and the contributing characteristics i.e., panicle architecture traits. The present study is being carried out to examine the phenotypic, genotypic correlation, and path coefficient analysis of 69 genotypes of rice. Single plant yield, spikelet fertility, the number of filled grains per panicle, and panicle weight were found to be significantly positively correlated with the panicle architecture and yield-attributing traits. Thus, choosing individuals with these traits can increase yield. According to path coefficient analysis the traits namely, the number of productive tillers, number of filled grains, and 1000 grain weight were found to have a direct positive impact on yield. Hence, choosing this character could increase yield and yield components.

Keywords: Rice, Panicle architecture, Phenotypic correlation, Genotypic correlation, Path analysis.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Rice, commonly known as 'Arisi' and 'Nel' in Tamil Nadu, is not only a basic food crop of the state, nevertheless it is an essential cereal crop for more than half of the world's human population. According to recent studies, there will be a 12% growth in the global population. Thus, an improvement in the productivity of crops by at least 13% and an increase in rice grain output of 500 million metric tonnes are required to satisfy the demand for rice from the growing population (Al-daej et al., 2023). The development of rice cultivars with increased production potential is a desired outcome of the rice breeding program (Shrestha et al., 2021). This can support to fulfill the raising demand for rice due to population growth. Additionally, improved rice cultivars can contribute to food security and poverty reduction in many nations where rice is a dominant food. The Green Revolution enabled a symbolic rise in rice production that enabled selfsufficiency and even a surplus for exports; however, in recent years, a reduction is seen in development and crop yield from Green Revolution technologies (Dhurai et al., 2014). Panicle architecture traits are a leading target domain for breeding next-generation high-

yielding varieties in rice (Han et al., 2014; Agata et al., 2020). Hence, to develop an economically viable variety, plant breeders need to employ an effective selection technique that takes into account the link between yield and the contributing characteristics i.e., panicle architecture traits (Devi et al., 2016).

The rachis, primary branches, and secondary branches, along with other elements like the number of seeds per panicle, the number of seeds per primary and the number of seeds per secondary branches, the length of the primary and secondary branches, and the length of the panicle, make up the panicle architecture (Agata et al., 2020). It has been discovered and functionally characterized that several genes regulate panicle architecture. The yield potential of crops is greatly influenced by these genes. Knowing how they work will make it easier to create new varieties with better panicle architecture and greater grain yields. The Grain Number 1 a (Gn1a), the Grain Number Per Panicle 1 (GNP1) (Zhai et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020), Grain Length and Awn Development (GAD1) (Jin et al., 2016), Awn 1 (An1) (Luo et al., 2013), and Plant Architecture and Yield 1 (PAYI) genes all increase the number of grains per panicle (Wei et al., 2022). Grain number and grain shape are regulated by the gene 296

Jasmin et al.,

Regulator of Grain Number 1 (*RGN1*) (Li *et al.*, 2021). Since the yield has a complicated nature and the multidimensional influence of each component, combining the link between the yield and its component features is vital (Parimala *et al.*, 2020).

Association and path coefficient analysis is used to find out the amount of connection between yield and its component parts. The relative significance of their direct and indirect effects is also highlighted by this process and clarifies how they relate to grain production. Furthermore, this type of analysis can assist plant breeders in creating their selection techniques to increase grain yield (Moosavi et al., 2015; Babu et al., 2012; Sarangi et al., 2022). This study aims to understand the relationship between panicle architecture traits and yield-attributing traits and to identify traits to break the yield barrier in future breeding programs.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Genetic Material.** This research comprised of 69 germplasm lines from various regions of southern India and these lines include both landraces and varieties (Table 1).

**Field trial.** The experimental study was conducted in 2022 during the *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons at the South Farm of the Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences in Coimbatore. A randomized block design (RBD) with a  $20 \times 20$  centimeter spacing was used to grow the genotypes in three replications. The crop was raised normally by adhering to the suggested crop management techniques, which included irrigation, fertilizer application, and pesticide application.

**Phenotyping of the germplasm lines.** All the accession were phenotyped manually, figure 1 shows different types of panicles in this study. The plants were labeled after being randomly selected. The 13 quantitative morphological traits that are linked to panicle architecture, such as days to 50% flowering (days), plant height (cm), number of productive tillers (Nos), panicle length (cm), number of primary branches (Nos), number of secondary branches (Nos), number of secondary branches (Nos), number of seeds per secondary branch (Nos), number of filled grains (Nos), spikelet fertility percentage (%), and 1000 grain weight (g), single plant yield (g) were phenotyped.

**Statistical analysis.** The data were statistically analyzed for the aforementioned character using the correlation coefficient method developed by Singh and Chaudhary (1995) and the path analysis method developed by Dewey and Lu (1959).R package (https://cran.r-project.org/) version 4.2.2was used for phenotypic correlation, genotypic correlation, and path coefficient analysis.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Phenotypic and Genotypic Association.** Acquiring the main traits that may be used to enhance crops through an effective breeding program, requires careful selection based on an understanding of the area and aspect of the relationship between yield and its qualities

(Babu et al., 2012; Vengatesh and Govindarasu 2018). The phenotypic correlation (Fig. 2 and Table 2) and genotypic correlation (Fig. 3 and Table 3) for the thirteen panicle architecture traits and yield attributing traits viz., days to 50% flowering (days), plant height (cm), number of productive tillers (Nos), panicle length (cm), number of primary branches (Nos), number of secondary branches (Nos), number of seeds per primary branch (Nos), number of seeds per secondary branch (Nos), panicle weight (g), number of filled grains (Nos), spikelet fertility percentage (%), and 1000 grain weight (g), single plant yield (g) were studied. The findings showed that for the majority of the studied genotypic estimates of characters. correlation coefficients were found to be higher than phenotypic correlation coefficients, so this shows a strong inherent association among the characters that may be caused by the environment's masking or moderating effects (Nikhil et al., 2014). The phenotypic correlation shows that the traits viz., number of productive tillers  $(0.475^{**})$ , panicle length  $(0.171^{*})$ , seeds per primary branch  $(0.145^*)$ , panicle weight  $(0.292^{**})$ , the number of filled grains per panicle (0.211\*\*), and 1000- grain weight (0.475\*\*) are highly positively correlated with single plant yield. While the genotypic correlation reveals that single plant yield is highly significantly correlated with the number of productive tillers (0.636\*\*), panicle weight (0.578\*\*), number of filled grains (0.250\*), and 1000 grain weight (0.513\*\*). Similar findings were also reported by Manivelan et al. (2022); Babu et al. (2012); Lakshmi et al. (2014); Saha et al. (2019). A common phenotypic and genotypic correlation was observed between the number of productive tillers, panicle weight, number of filled grains, and 1000-grain weight towards yield.

Path Coefficient analysis. The Dewey and Lu's (1959) path coefficient analysis, which further divides the correlation coefficients into the direct and indirect effects of characters, provides insight into how relationships are linked (Shrestha et al., 2021). The result of the genotypic path was given in (Fig. 4 and Table 4) and the phenotypic path analysis was given in (Fig. 5 and Table 5), the phenotypic path analysis showed that the traits viz., 1000 grain weight (0.551), spikelet fertility percentage (0.103), number of filled grains (0.213), panicle weight (0.124) and number of productive tillers (0.617) exhibited very high positive direct effects toward single plant yield. Similar results were reported by (Bagudam et al., 2018; Shrestha et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2020). Whereas genotypic path analysis showed the trait's number of productive tillers (0.953), number of filled grains per panicle (0.747), and 1000-grain weight (0.704) exhibited very high direct positive effects towards single plant yield. In the genotypic path, the panicle weight (-0.148) and spikelet fertility percentage (-0.107) showed a high negative direct effect on the single plant yield.

Hence, these traits were observed to have a major relationship with yield, distinctively the traits number of productive tillers, number of filled grains per panicle, and1000-grain weight could be used as major selection indices in future breeding programs.

# Table 1: List of 69 rice genotypes used in this study.

Sr. No.	Genotypes	Landraces/varieties	Area
1.	CO 51	Variety	Tamil Nadu
2.	BABTLA	Variety	Kanchipuram, TN
3.	KARUPUKAVUNI	Landraces	AC and RI, Madurai
4.	SUPER PONNI 43	Variety	Kanchipuram
5.	IR50	Variety	Kanchipuram
6.	GUNDU	Variety	Kanchipuram
7.	RLR	Variety	Kanchipuram
8.	LLR	Variety	Kanchipuram
9.	CHINNAR	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
10.	KRG 13	Variety	West Bengal
11.	KRG 12	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
12.	KRG 9 KDC 7	- Londroop	- Tomil Nody
13.	KRU /	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
14.	KRG 4	Landrace	Tamii Nadu
15.	KRG 10	Variety	Ilttarakhand
10.	KRG 10	Variety	Ottalakilaliu
17.	KRG 2	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
10.	KRG 5	Variety	Bangladesh
20	KRG 16	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
20.	KRG 15	Variety	West Bengal
22	KRG 14	Variety	Orissa
23.	KRG 17	-	-
24.	KRG 6	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
25.	KRG 1	_	Tamil Nadu
26.	KRG 62	-	-
27.	KRG 65	-	-
28.	KRG 60	-	-
29.	KRG 19	-	-
30.	KRG 20	-	-
31.	KRG 64	Variety	West Bengal
32.	KRG 63		-
33.	KRG 61		-
34.	KRG 50	Variety	
35.	KRG 45	-	-
36.	KRG 24	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
37.	KRG 21	-	-
38.	KRG 29	Landrace	Tamil Nadu
39.	KRG 22	- T	- Tauri I Madar
40.	KRO 50	Landrace	Tallili Nadu
41.		Variaty	- Karala
42.		Landrace	Kerala
44	PRATHYASA	Variety	Kerala
45	PISINI	Variety	Kerala
46	RENIINI	Variety	Kerala
47.	PAVITHRA	Variety	Kerala
48.	MAKOM	Landrace	Kerala
49.	MYSORE MALLI	Landrace	Thanjavur
50.	THOOYAMALLI	Landrace	Thanjavur
51.	VALLAN SAMBA	Landrace	Thanjavur
52.	ILLUPAIPOO SAMBA	Landrace	Thanjavur
53.	THANGA SAMBA	Landrace	Thanjavur
54.	KULLAKAR	Landrace	AC and RI, Madurai
55.	MILAGU SAMBA	Landrace	AC and RI, Madurai
56.	KOTHAMALI SAMBA	Landrace	AC and RI, Madurai
57.	ASD 16	Variety	TNAU, Coimbatore
58.	TPS 3	Variety	TNAU, Coimbatore
59.	CO 53 TFL	Variety	TNAU, Coimbatore
60.	KEERAI SAMBA	Landrace	Kanniyakumari
61.	ADT 45	Variety	TNAU, Coimbatore
62.	POONGAR	Landrace	AC and RI, Madurai
63.	AMMAN PONNI	Variety	AC and RI, Madurai
64.	SITHIKAIKAK	Landrace	AC and RI, Madurai
65.	KAKUMKUKUVAI	Landrace	State seed farm, Kanniyakumari
<u> </u>		v ariety	Madurai
<u> </u>	KAKIHASALI VALASAD NEL	Landrace	- Vottorom
08.	KALASAK NEL	Landrace	Kottaram
69.	КОТТАКАМ ЗАМВА	Landrace	Kottaram

## Table 2: Estimates of phenotypic correlation among the panicle architecture and yield attributing traits.

	DTF	PH	РТ	PL	PB	SB	SPB	SSB	PW	FG	SF	1000GW	SPY
DTF	1												
PH	0.066	1											
РТ	0.017	-0.187**	1										
PL	0.014	0.425**	-0.099	1									
PB	0.121	0.023	-0.026	0.084	1								
SB	0.022	0.160*	-0.118	0.160*	0.302**	1							
SPB	0.030	0.132	-0.064	0.074	0.097	0.546**	1						
SSB	-0.003	-0.001	0.051	0.034	0.127	-0.482**	-0.001	1					
PW	-0.045	0.292**	-0.005	0.331**	0.078	0.096**	0.122	0.008	1				
FG	0.089	0.366**	-0.197**	0.497**	0.246**	0.255	0.196**	0.064	0.334**	1			
SF	0.144*	0.251**	-0.180**	0.233**	0.142*	-0.028**	0.014	0.283**	0.140*	0.571**	1		
1000GW	-0.149*	0.179**	-0.134	0.037	0.045	0.105	0.113	-0.066	0.133	-0.013	-0.126	1	
SPY	-0.049	0.121	0.475**	0.171*	0.084	0.127	0.145*	0.006	0.292**	0.211**	0.058	0.475**	1

'\*' significance at 5%, '\*\*' significance at 1%

Note: PH- Plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.

#### Table 3: Estimates of genotypic correlation among the panicle architecture and yield attributing traits.

	DTF	PH	РТ	PL	PB	SB	SPB	SSB	PW	FG	SF	1000GW	SPY
DTF	1												
PH	0.072	1											
PT	0.015	-0.286*	1										
PL	0.025	0.498**	-0.151	1									
PB	0.205	0.071	-0.113	0.094	1								
SB	0.025	0.178	-0.166	0.197	0.475**	1							
SPB	0.040	0.180	-0.087	0.103	0.160	0.706**	1						
SSB	-0.004	-0.011	0.057	0.034	0.197	-0.546**	-0.005	1					
PW	-0.061	0.532**	-0.032	0.634**	0.134	0.285*	0.162	0.010	1				
FG	0.095	0.450**	-0.286*	0.611**	0.459**	0.317**	0.297*	0.095	0.801**	1			
SF	0.155	0.271*	-0.204	0.267*	0.240*	-0.037	0.025	0.299*	0.254*	0.672**	1		
1000GW	-0.156	0.189	-0.169	0.038	0.068	0.116	0.117	-0.063	0.252*	-0.022	-0.122	1	
SPY	-0.049	0.128	0.636**	0.206	0.194	0.139	0.214	-0.001	0.578**	0.250*	0.061	0.513**	1

'\*' significance at 5%, '\*\*' significance at 1%

Note: PH- plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield

# Table 4: Estimates of direct and indirect effects of genotypic path analysis between panicle architecture and yield attributing traits.

	DTF	PH	РТ	PL	PB	SB	SPB	SSB	PW	FG	SF	1000GW
DTF	-0.007	0.004	0.014	-0.001	-0.014	0.002	-0.001	0.000	0.009	0.071	-0.017	-0.110
PH	0.000	0.059	-0.273	-0.022	-0.005	0.011	-0.003	0.000	-0.079	0.336	-0.029	0.133
PT	0.000	-0.017	0.953	0.007	0.008	-0.010	0.002	0.000	0.005	-0.214	0.022	-0.119
PL	0.000	0.029	-0.144	-0.043	-0.006	0.012	-0.002	0.000	-0.094	0.457	-0.029	0.027
PB	-0.001	0.004	-0.108	-0.004	-0.068	0.029	-0.003	0.000	-0.020	0.343	-0.026	0.048
SB	0.000	0.010	-0.158	-0.009	-0.032	0.061	-0.013	0.000	-0.042	0.237	0.004	0.082
SPB	0.000	0.011	-0.083	-0.004	-0.011	0.043	-0.019	0.000	-0.024	0.222	-0.003	0.083
SSB	0.000	-0.001	0.054	-0.001	-0.013	-0.033	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.071	-0.032	-0.044
PW	0.000	0.031	-0.030	-0.028	-0.009	0.017	-0.003	0.000	-0.149	0.598	-0.027	0.177
FG	-0.001	0.026	-0.273	-0.027	-0.031	0.019	-0.006	0.000	-0.119	0.747	-0.072	-0.015
SF	-0.001	0.016	-0.194	-0.012	-0.016	-0.002	0.000	0.000	-0.038	0.502	-0.107	-0.086
1000GW	0.001	0.011	-0.161	-0.002	-0.005	0.007	-0.002	0.000	-0.038	-0.016	0.013	0.704

(Diagonal bolded values are direct effects)

Residual effect -0.052

Note: PH- plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.

# Table 5: Estimates of direct and indirect effects of Phenotypic path analysis between panicle architecture and yield attributing traits.

	DTF	PH	PT	PL	PB	SB	SPB	SSB	PW	FG	SF	1000GW
DTF	-0.003	-0.002	0.011	0.001	-0.004	0.002	0.001	0.000	-0.006	0.019	0.015	-0.082
PH	0.000	-0.036	-0.116	0.019	-0.001	0.011	0.004	0.000	0.036	0.078	0.026	0.099
PT	0.000	0.007	0.617	-0.004	0.001	-0.008	-0.002	0.000	-0.001	-0.042	-0.019	-0.074
PL	0.000	-0.015	-0.061	0.045	-0.002	0.011	0.002	0.000	0.041	0.106	0.024	0.021
PB	0.000	-0.001	-0.016	0.004	-0.029	0.021	0.003	0.000	0.010	0.052	0.015	0.025
SB	0.000	-0.006	-0.073	0.007	-0.009	0.070	0.016	-0.001	0.012	0.054	-0.003	0.058
SPB	0.000	-0.005	-0.039	0.003	-0.003	0.038	0.029	0.000	0.015	0.042	0.001	0.062
SSB	0.000	0.000	0.032	0.002	-0.004	-0.034	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.014	0.029	-0.037
PW	0.000	-0.011	-0.003	0.015	-0.002	0.007	0.004	0.000	0.124	0.071	0.015	0.073
FG	0.000	-0.013	-0.122	0.022	-0.007	0.018	0.006	0.000	0.041	0.213	0.059	-0.007
SF	0.000	-0.009	-0.111	0.010	-0.004	-0.002	0.000	0.001	0.017	0.122	0.104	-0.070
1000GW	0.000	-0.006	-0.083	0.002	-0.001	0.007	0.003	0.000	0.016	-0.003	-0.013	0.552

(Diagonal bolded values are direct effects)

Note: PH- Plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.



Fig. 1. Panicle architecture variations observed in A) KRG 3- Open panicle B) BABATLA- Compact panicle C) MAKOM – Intermediate panicle D) KRG 10- Intermediate panicle.



**Note:** PH- Plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.

Fig. 2. Estimates of phenotypic correlation coefficients between panicle architecture traits and yield attributing traits.



**Note:** PH- Plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.



Fig. 3. Estimates of Genotypic correlation coefficients between panicle architecture traits and yield attributing traits.

**Note:** PH- Plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.

**Fig. 4.** Genotypic path diagram displaying cause and effect relationships among quantitative traits and single plant yield.



Note: PH- Plant height, PT- Number of productive tillers, PL- Panicle length, PB- Number of primary branches, SB- Number of secondary branches, SPB- Seeds per primary branch, SSB- Seeds per secondary branch, PW- Panicle weight, FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, 1000GW- 1000 grain weight, SPY- Single plant yield.

Fig. 5. Phenotypic path diagram displaying cause and effect relationships among quantitative traits and single plant vield.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Concisely, the rice landraces and varieties under study have the potential to include several significant and beneficial traits. According to the path analysis and assessment of phenotypic and genotypic correlation, the most trustworthy variables for increasing rice production were the number of productive tillers, the number of filled grains per panicle, and 1000grain weight. So, when choosing to improve rice productivity, the highest priority should be given to these characteristics.

#### **FUTURE SCOPE**

Future research can focus on breeding high-yielding rice varieties by prioritizing traits such as the number of productive tillers, Number of filled grains, and 1000 grain weight. Further investigation of the underlying genes and genetic mechanisms responsible for the identified traits can provide valuable insights.

Acknowledgment. We would like to convey our sincere gratitude to the Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences' Genetics and Plant Breeding Lab for providing all the necessary facilities.

Conflict of Interest. Authors have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Agata, A., Ando, K., Ota, S., Kojima, M., Takebayashi, Y., Takehara, S. and Hobo, T. (2020). Diverse panicle architecture results from various combinations of Prl5/GA20ox4 and Pbl6/APO1 alleles. Communications Biology, 3(1), 302.
- Al-daej, M. I., Rezk, A. A., El-Malky, M. M., Shalaby, T. A and Ismail, M. (2023). Comparative Genetic Diversity Assessment and Marker-Trait Association Using Two

DNA Marker Systems in Rice (Oryza sativa L.). Agronomy, 13(2), 329.

- Babu, V. R., Shreya, K., Dangi, K. S., Usharani, G. and Shankar, A. S. (2012). Correlation and path analysis studies in popular rice hybrids of India. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 2(3), 1-5.
- Bagudam, R., Eswari, K. B., Badri, J. and Rao, P. R. (2018). Correlation and path analysis for yield and its component traits in NPT core set of rice (Oryza sativa L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(9), 97-108.
- Devi, K. R., Parimala, K., Venkanna, V., Lingaiah, N., Hari, Y. and Chandra, B. S. (2016). Estimation of variability for grain yield and quality traits in rice (Oryza sativa L.). International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences, 4(2), 250-255.
- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K. (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. Agronomy Journal, 51(9), 515-518.
- Dhurai, S. Y., Bhati, P. K. and Saroj, S. K. (2014). Studies on genetic variability for yield and quality characters in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under integrated fertilizer management. The Bioscan, 9(2), 745-748.
- Gupta, S., Upadhvay, S., Koli, G. K., Rathi, S. R., Bisen, P., Loitongbam, B. and Sinha, B. (2020). Trait association and path analysis studies of yield attributing traits in rice (Oryza sativa L.) germplasm. International Journal **Bio-resource** of and Stress Management, 11(6), 508-517.
- Han, X., Tang, M. and Deng, Y. (2014). Preliminary Study on Pulping of Rice Straw in Tris-(2-Hydroxyethyl) Ammonium Acetate Ionic Liquid under Microwave Irradiation. Bioresources, 9(4).
- Jin, J., Hua, L., Zhu, Z., Tan, L., Zhao, X., Zhang, W and Sun, C. (2016). GAD1 encodes a secreted peptide that

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(5): 296-303(2023) Jasmin et al.,

regulates grain number, grain length, and awn development in rice domestication. *The Plant Cell*, 28(10), 2453-2463

- Lakshmi, M. V., Suneetha, Y., Yugandhar, G. and Lakshmi, N. V. (2014). Correlation studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 5(2), 121-126.
- Nikhil, B. S. K, Rangare, N. R. and Saidaiah, P. (2014). Correlation and path analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 32(1-2), 1-7.
- Li, G., Zhang, H., Li, J., Zhang, Z. and Li, Z. (2021). Genetic control of panicle architecture in rice. *The Crop Journal*, 9(3), 590-597.
- Luo, J., Liu, H., Zhou, T., Gu, B., Huang, X., Shangguan, Y and Han, B. (2013). An-1 encodes a basic helix-loophelix protein that regulates awn development, grain size, and grain number in rice. *The Plant Cell*, 25(9), 3360-3376.
- Manivelan, K., Juliet Hepziba, S., Suresh, R., Theradimani, M., Renuka, R and Gnanamalar, R. P. (2022). Inherent variability, correlation and path analysis in lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). In *Biological Forum–An International Journal*, 14(2), 771-778.
- Moosavi, M., Ranjbar, G., Zarrini, H. N. and Gilani, A. (2015). Correlation between morphological and physiological traits and path analysis of grain yield in rice genotypes under Khuzestan conditions. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 7(1), 43.
- Parimala, K., Raju, C. S., Prasad, A. H., Kumar, S. S. and Reddy, S. N. (2020). Studies on genetic parameters, correlation and path analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 9(1), 414-417.
- Saha, S. R., Hassan, L., Haque, M. A., Islam, M. M. and Rasel, M. (2019). Genetic variability, heritability,

correlation and path analyses of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces: Variability and traits association in rice. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 17(1), 26-32.

- Sarangi, D. N., Senapati, B. K., Pradhan, B., Kar, R. K., Mohanty, M. R. and Das, S. (2022). Character Association and Path Analysis for Yield, Iron Content and Component Traits in Indigenous Rice Germplasm. *Biological Forum- An International Journal*, 14(4a), 701-704.
- Shrestha, J., Subedi, S., Kushwaha, U. K. S. and Maharjan, B. (2021). Evaluation of growth and yield traits in rice genotypes using multivariate analysis. *Heliyon*, 7(9), e07940.
- Singh, R. K. and Chaudhary, B. D. (1995). Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. *Kalyani Publishers* New Delhi. pp. 215-218.
- Vengatesh, M. and Govindarasu, R. (2018). Studies on correlation and path analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 9(4), 1570-1576.
- Wang, F., Han, T., Song, Q., Ye, W., Song, X., Chu, J. and Chen, Z. J. (2020). The rice circadian clock regulates tiller growth and panicle development through strigolactone signaling and sugar sensing. *Plant Cell*, 32(10), 3124-3138.
- Wei, S., Li, X., Lu, Z., Zhang, H., Ye, X., Zhou, Y. and Zhou, W. (2022). A transcriptional regulator that boosts grain yields and shortens the growth duration of rice. *Science*, 377(6604), eabi8455.
- Zhai, L., Wang, F., Yan, A., Liang, C., Wang, S., Wang, Y. and Xu, J. (2020). Pleiotropic effect of GNP1 underlying grain number per panicle on sink, source and flow in rice. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 933.

**How to cite this article:** Agalya Jasmin S., Hari Prasanth P., Ramchander S., Dinesh Kumar P., Devesena N., Naveenkumar R., Sugitha Thankappan and John Kingsly N.B. (2023). Association and Path coefficient Analysis in Rice Germplasm for Panicle Architectural and Yield Attributing Traits. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(5): 296-303.