

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(11): 489-494(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Effect of Different Fertilizer Levels and Biostimulants on Growth and Yield of Cabbage (*Brasssica oleracea* var. *capitata*)

J.H. Brahmbhatt<sup>1\*</sup>, G.S. Patel<sup>2</sup>, D.L. Paramar<sup>1</sup>, K.V. Chaudhary<sup>1</sup> and S.K. Acharya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, SDAU, Jagudan Distt. Mehsana (Gujarat), India. <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor & Head, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, SDAU, Jagudan Distt. Mehsana (Gujarat), India. <sup>3</sup>Scientist Horticulture, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, S.D. Agricultural University, Khedbrahma, Distt. Sabarkantha, (Gujarat) India.

(Corresponding author: J.H. Brahmbhatt\*)

(Received: 09 September 2023; Revised: 14 October 2023; Accepted: 22 October 2023; Published: 15 November 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was carried out on Golden Acre during rabi season of 2021-22 and 2022-23 at College farm, College of Horticulture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Jagudan, Dist. Mehsana, Gujarat, India. Now a days directly organic farming is not affordable to the grower so we have to go on integrated concept of farming. Present investigation comprising three factors viz., three levels of fertilizer viz., 60 % RDF (f1), 80 % RDF (f2) and 100 % RDF (f3), biostimulant with three levels viz., Jeevamrut (b1), Vermiwash (b2) and Bio NPK Consortium (b3) and three levels of novel organic liquid nutrient viz., 1.0 % (n1), 1.5 % (n2) and 2.0 % (n3). Thus, there were total 27 treatment combinations under studyAmong three fertilizer levels of chemical source application of 100% RDF (f1) helped in obtaining maximum values for growth parameters viz., plant height at 30 DAT (cm), plant height at harvest (cm), number of leaves per plant at harvest while, minimum days taken for initiation of head after transplanting, days taken for edible maturity of head after transplanting and maximum value of yield parameters i.e., average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg), yield per hectare (t). Among three biostimulants application of *jeevamrut* (b<sub>1</sub>)showed maximum values for growth parameters viz., plant height at harvest (cm), number of leaves per plant at harvest, yield parameters *i.e.*, average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg), yield per hectare (t)and among three different novel culture application of novel organic liquid nutrient @ 2.0 % (n<sub>3</sub>)showed maximum values for growth parameters viz., plant height at harvest (cm), number of leaves per plant at harvest, yield parameters i.e., average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg), yield per hectare (t). While, interaction effect between fertilizer levels and biostimulant (f × b) shows significantly maximum plant height at harvest (cm), number of leaves per plant at harvest, average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare (t) with the treatment combination of 100 % RDF + *jeevamtut* (f<sub>3</sub>b<sub>1</sub>).

Keywords: Cabbage, Biostimulant, Jeevamrut, Vermiwash, Bio NPK Consortium, novel organic liquid nutrient.

## INTRODUCTION

Cabbage is one of the important leafy vegetable crops and used as salad, cooked, pickling as well as dehydrated vegetable. The word "Cabbage" is derived from the French word "coboche" means head. The cabbage belongs to brassicaceae family. The particular flavor in the cabbage head is due to the glycoside 'sinigrin' which contain sulphur. The cabbage head is rich source of vitamin A, B, C and also contains minerals. It has cooling effect and helps in preventing constipation, increase appetite, speed up digestion and very useful for patients of diabetes (Patel *et al.*, 2018).

India ranks second next to China in cabbage production (Singh *et al.*, 2021). In India, cabbage is cultivated in about an area of 413.0 thousand hectares with the production 9606 MT and the productivity of 23.27 t/ha.

The major cabbage growing states in India are Gujarat, U.P., Orissa, W.B., Assam, Maharashtra and Karnataka. In Gujarat, cabbage crop is cultivated in almost all the districts with major cultivation in Bhavnagar, Anand, Kheda, Junagadh, Sabarkantha, Banaskantha and Ahmedabad. In Gujarat, it is cultivated in about an area of 37.40 thousand hectares with an annual production of 796.73 MT having productivity of 21.30 t/ha (Anonymous, 2021).

Now a days use of organic nutrients in vegetable crops is a common trend and it increases crop yield without any adverse effects on the environment and soil. The organic manures are bulky in nature but, contain reasonable amount of nutrients. The supply of nutrients through organics alone has failed to maintain yield level in a short period. With the integrated cultivation use of combined application of chemical fertilizers with

Brahmbhatt et al.,

organics such as FYM, compost, green leaf manure, vermicompost etc. and liquid organics viz., Jeevamrut, Beejamrut, Panchagavya, Gomutra, Angara, Vermiwash etc., which contain microbial count and plant growth promoting substances through stimulate growth, yield and quality of crops. Further, it helps to build soil organic matter status besides minimizing the cost of cultivation.

Biostimulants are natural substances derived from plants and animals that stimulate plant processes at very low concentrations. When applied to the plants and have been found to influence plants metabolic processes such as respiration, photosynthesis, nucleic acid synthesis and ion uptake (Khan *et al.*, 2009). It is an organic products composed of peptides, amino acids, polysaccharides, humic acids and phytohormones for immediate uptake and availability within the plant. They are also claimed to enhance crop growth and yield through a series of widely varying mechanisms including activation of soil microbial activity and promotion or augmentation of the activities of critical soil enzymes or plant growth hormones (Parrado *et al.*, 2008).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment entitled was carried out at College farm, College of Horticulture, S. D. Agricultural University, Jagudan, Distt. Mehsana (Gujarat), India during rabi season of 2021-22 and 2022-23. Present investigation comprising of three factors viz., three levels of fertilizer viz., 60 % RDF (f1), 80 % RDF (f2) and 100 % RDF (f<sub>3</sub>), biostimulant with three levels viz., Jeevamrut (b<sub>1</sub>), Vermiwash (b<sub>2</sub>) and Bio NPK Consortium (b<sub>3</sub>) and three levels of novel organic liquid nutrient viz., 1.0 % (n<sub>1</sub>), 1.5 % (n<sub>2</sub>) and 2.0 % (n<sub>3</sub>). Thus, there were total 27 treatment combinations under study. Inorganic fertilizer like NPK applied as per treatment, among them one third dose of N and full dose of P & K was applied at transplanting as basal dose. Remaining dose of N was applied as per treatment into two equal splits through top dressing at 20 and 40 DAT. Jeevamrut was applied in soil through drenching @ 500 l/ha at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT. Vermiwash (1:5 times dilution) was sprayed at 30 and 45 DAT. Bio NPK consortium was applied in soil @ 2.5 l/ha at the time of transplanting mix with required quantity of water (500 l/ha). Novel organic liquid nutrient was sprayed as per treatment (1.0 %, 1.5 % and 2.0 %) at 20 and 40 DAT.

Seedling of variety Golden Acre were transplanting in October, 2021-22 and 2022-23 at a spacing of 30 cm  $\times$ 30 cm in a plot having dimensions of 2.70 m  $\times$  1.50 m. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with factorial concept with three replications. Other cultural practices and plant protection measures were taken as per recommendations. The data on plant height at 30 DAT and at harvest (cm), leaf area at 45 DAT (cm<sup>2</sup>), days taken for initiation of head after transplanting, number of leaves per plant at harvest, stem diameter at harvest (cm), average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare

(t)were recorded from randomly selected five plants in each plot. The data were analysed statistically by adopting the standard procedures described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Effect on growth parameters. The different growth parameters *viz.*, plant height at 30 DAT and at harvest (cm), number of leaves per plant at harvest, days taken for initiation of head after transplanting, days taken for edible maturity of head after transplanting, leaf area at 45 DAT (cm<sup>2</sup>) and stem diameter at harvest (cm) were recorded.

Effect of different fertilizer levels. An assessment of data (Table 1) indicated that significantly maximum plant height at 30 DAT and at harvest (20.83 cm and 24.13 cm during 2021-22, 20.92 cm and 24.60 cm during 2022-23 and 20.88 cm and 24.37 cm in pooled) respectively, number of leaves per plant at harvest (14.56, 14.81 and 14.68) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, were recorded with the application of 100 % RDF (f<sub>3</sub>). Increase in plant height and number of leaves due to increase in N, P and K fertilizers may be due to adequate supply of nutrients which in turn helps in vigorous vegetative growth of plants and subsequently increase in the plant height and number of leaves through cell elongation, cell division, photosynthesis and turbidity of plant cell (Singh et al., 2021). Similar result has been recorded by Singh et al. (2019) in cabbage; Pattar et al. (2017) in red cabbage; Gocher et al. (2017) in cauliflower.

Data described in (Table 2) shows that minimum days taken for initiation of head after transplanting (34.77, 36.08 and 35.42) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively and minimum days taken for edible maturity of head after transplanting (67.73, 69.04 and 68.38) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively were recorded with the application of 100 % RDF ( $f_3$ ). It may be due to the earliness in head maturity in the plants treated with chemical fertilizer and organic manures which could be attributed to the fast release of nutrients to the soil together with faster uptake by plants which resulted in better vegetative growth and head maturity (Chaterjee et al., 2005). Furthermore, cabbage is a medium duration crop therefore quick release of major nutrients favored the growth and development of the crop. This finding has a support of Singh et al. (2019) in cabbage; Koppad et al. (2019) in red cabbage.

Data presented in (Table 3) leaf area at 45 DAT (cm<sup>2</sup>) and stem diameter at harvest (cm) were not affected significantly by different treatments.

**Effect of different biostimulants.** The data enumerated in (Table 1) shows that significantly maximum plant height at harvest (23.15 cm, 23.50 cm and 23.33 cm) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively and number of leaves per plant at harvest (13.17, 13.56 and 13.37) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, were recorded with the application of *jeevamrut* (b<sub>1</sub>). Application of *Jeevamrut* showed significant effect on plant height and number of leaves might have helped in higher growth parameters

Brahmbhatt et al.,

due the supply of micronutrients, vitamins, essential amino acids and growth promoting substances like Indole Acetic Acid (IAA), Gibberlic Acid (GA3) and beneficial micro-organisms. It is said to enhance microbial activity and ultimately ensuring the availability and uptake of nutrients by thecrop that ultimately increase the plant height (Vedagiri *et al.*, 2022). This finding has a support of Sharma *et al.* (2022) in cauliflower.

Effect of different levels of novel organic liquid nutrient. Data described in (Table 1) shows that significantly maximum plant height at harvest (23.13 cm, 23.54 cm and 23.34 cm) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, number of leaves per plant at harvest (12.91, 13.33 and 13.12) during 2020-21, 2021-22 and in pooled respectively were recorded with the application of novel organic liquid nutrient @ 2.0 % (n<sub>3</sub>). This significant difference in vegetative characters were observed might be due to availability of nitrogen in novel organic liquid nutrients which stimulated higher vegetative growth. Nitrogen as major constituents of chlorophyll, protein and amino acid, their synthesis can accelerate by the adequate supply of nitrogen from novel organic liquid nutrients. It is also Effect on yield parameters. The different yield attributing characters viz., average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare (t) were recorded.

Effect of different fertilizer levels. An assessment of data (Table 4) indicated that the application of 100 % RDF ( $f_3$ ) exhibited significantly maximum average weight of head (529.18 g, 499.62 g and 514.40 g) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, significantly higher yield per plot (7.66 kg, 7.60 kg and 7.63 kg) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, higher yield per hectare (56.76 t, 56.33 t and 56.54 t) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively.

The increased head yield due to application of recommended dose of NPK, availability of optimum level of nutrients in the soil and maximum uptake of nutrients by the crop resulting in increased vegetative growth of plant which ultimately influenced increased yield and yield attributes (Pattar *et al.*, 2017). Similar results were reported by Patel *et al.* (2018); Singh *et al.* (2021); Singh *et al.* (2019) and Gupta *et al.* (2018) in cabbage; Koppad *et al.* (2019) in red cabbage and Gocher *et al.* (2017) in cauliflower.

**Effect of different biostimulants.** Amongst the yield parameters, significantly maximum average weight of head (470.00 g, 456.64 g and 463.32 g) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, higher yield per plot (6.87 kg, 6.51 kg and 6.69 kg) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, higher yield per hectare (50.87 t, 48.23 t and 49.55 t) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively were obtained from *Jeevamrut* (b<sub>1</sub>). *Jeevamrut* acts as a source of nutrients to the plant, some of these nutrients are in inorganic forms which are readily available to the plant and most of the other nutrients are released gradually by the process of mineralization, which results in the stable source of nutrients to plants and increases head weight

responsible for the cell development and formation and accelerating the synthesis of chlorophyll and amino acid which are associated with major photosynthesis and causes higher formation of meristematic tissues that through ultimately increase the height of plant and number of leaves. These results are in conformity with results of Patel (2017) in cabbage.

Interaction effect of different fertilizer levels, biostimulants and novel organic liquid nutrient. Looking to the interaction effect between fertilizer levels and biostimulant, significantly maximum plant height at harvest (26.15 cm, 25.74 cm and 25.94 cm) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively and number of leaves per plant at harvest (15.27, 16.68 and 15.97) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled, respectively was found with the treatment combination of 100 % RDF + *jeevamrut* ( $f_3b_1$ ). Increase in the plant height and number of leaves due to the application of integrated use of NPK fertilizer and organic manures in crop. This might be also due to the synthesis of proteins from nitrogen which formed the carbohydrates in crop plants which in turn favoured plant height (Lodhi et al., 2017). Similar result has been recorded by Sharma et al. (2022) in cauliflower and Singh et al. (2015).

(Hameedi *et al.*, 2018). Similar results were noticed by Sharma *et al.* (2022) in cauliflower and Vedagiri *et al.* (2022) in chilli.

Effect of different levels of novel organic liquid nutrient. Significantly maximum average weight of head (471.81 g, 455.29 g and 463.55 g) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, significantly higher yield per plot (6.79 kg, 6.49 kg and 6.64 kg) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, higher vield per hectare (50.30 t, 48.07 t and 49.18 t) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively were obtained with novel organic liquid nutrient @ 2.0 %  $(n_3)$ . It might be due to the effect of novel organic fertilizer which contain macro liquid and micronutrients. The nutrients N and K at higher rate exerted a significant positive influence on yield. The other bio-parameters which could have helped in the increase of yield were synthesis of carbohydrates and their translocation to the potential storage organs through better growth and increase the weight of head. All these reasons individually or synergistically resulted in increased vegetative growth reflecting in terms of foliage production (Kalariya et al., 2018). The results are also corroborated by Patel (2017) in cabbage; Chotaliya et al. (2020) in okra and Champaneri et al. (2021) in Indian bean.

Interaction effect of different fertilizer levels, biostimulants and novel organic liquid nutrient. Looking to the interaction effect between fertilizer levels and biostimulant, significantly maximum average weight of head (543.41 g, 525.64 g and 534.53 g) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, significantly higher yield per plot (8.45 kg, 8.28 kg and 8.36 kg) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, higher yield per hectare (62.58 t, 61.31 t and 61.95 t) during 2021-22, 2022-23 and in pooled respectively, were found with the treatment combination of 100 % RDF + *Jeevamrut* (f<sub>3</sub>b<sub>1</sub>).The

Brahmbhatt et al.,

increase in head weight might be due to more photosynthesis from a larger area of the leaves and the translocation of photosynthates to the sink which is ultimately the head (Shree *et al.*, 2014). These findings are in close conformity with the findings of Sharma *et al.* (2022) in cauliflower.

 Table 1: Effect of fertilizer levels, biostimulants and novel organic liquid nutrient on plant height(cm) at 30

 DAT& at harvest and number of leaves per plant at harvest.

	AT (cm	)				Number of leaves per plant at harvest														
Treatm	202	2021-22 2022-23 Pooled		led	Treat	2021-22		202	2022-23 Pooled		ooled	Treatme	2021-22		2022-23		Pooled			
ent							ment							nt						
	( <b>f</b> )				Fertilizer levels (f)															
$f_1$	17.49 16.83 17.16		<b>f</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>f</b> <sub>1</sub> 20.75		21.11		2	20.93	$f_1$	10.59		10.63		10.61					
f <sub>2</sub>	18.	.92	18.	24	18.	58	<b>f</b> <sub>2</sub>	22.23		22	2.83	2	22.53	$\mathbf{f}_2$	12.	31	12.61		12.46	
f3	20.	.83	20.	92	20.	88	f3	24.13		24.60 24.3		24.37	f3	14.56		14.81		14.68		
S.Em.	0.1	26	0.2	26	0.26		S.Em.	0.31		0	0.33		0.31	S.Em. ±	0.2	20	0.2	22	0.20	
±				1		±														
C.D. at	0.1	75	0.7	75 0.53		53	C.D. at 0.		.88 0.95			0.65	C.D. at	0.5	56	0.61		0.42		
5%							5%						5%	5%						
		Biosti	mulant (	b)					Bi	ostimula	nnt (b)			_		Bios	stimulan	t (b)		
<b>b</b> 1	19.	.36	19.	19.03		19	<b>b</b> 1	23	23.15		23.50		23.33	<b>b</b> 1	13.17		13.56		13.37	
<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	18.	.76	18.	33	18.56		<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	21.82		22.21 22		22.06 b <sub>2</sub>		11.88		11.95		11.84		
<b>b</b> 3	19.	.12	18.	63	18.	88	<b>b</b> 3	22	2.13	2	2.75	2	22.44	<b>b</b> 3	12.41		12.68		12.55	
S.Em.	0.1	26	0.2	26	0.1	19	S.Em.	0	.31	0	).33	0.23		S.Em. ±	0.20		0.22		0.15	
±							±													
C.D. at	NS NS		s	NS		C.D. at	0.88		0	).95	0.65		C.D. at	C.D. at 0.5		6 0.61		0.42		
5%						5%						5%								
	utrient	(n)			]	Novel orga		Nove	el organ	ic liquid	nutrier	t (n)								
$\mathbf{n}_1$	18.82		18.38		18.60		<b>n</b> 1	21.68		2	2.35	22.02		n <sub>1</sub>	12.14		12.10		12.12	
<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	19.	.00	18.60		18.80		n <sub>2</sub>	22.29		2	2.66	66 22.47		n <sub>2</sub>	n <sub>2</sub> 12.41		12.	62	12.52	
<b>n</b> <sub>3</sub>	19.	.42	19.02		19.22		n <sub>3</sub>	23.13		2	23.54 23.34		n <sub>3</sub>	12.	91	13.	33	13.	12	
S.Em.	0.1	26	0.26		0.19		S.Em.	0	.31	0	).33		0.23	S.Em. ±	0.2	20	0.2	22	0.1	5
±					210		±	0.00											0.42	
C.D. at	N	S	N	S	N	S	C.D. at	0.88		0	).95	0.65		C.D. at	0.5	56	0.6	51	0.42	
5%					_		5%							5%						
CV%	7.	21	7.3	36	7.4	41	CV%	7	.18	7	.60		7.50	CV%	8.1	19	8.8	34	8.7	9
Interac	S.E	C.	S.E	C.	S.E	C.	Interaction	S.E	C.D.	S.Em	. <u>C</u> .	S.En	1. C.	Interacti	S.E	C.	S.E	C.	S.E	C.
tion	m.	D.	m.	D.	m.	D.	effect	m.	at	±	D.	±	D.	on effect	m.	D.	m.	D.	m.	D.
effect	±	at	±	at	±	at		±	5%		at		at		±	at	±	at	±	at
		3		3		5					5		3			3		3		5
fyh	0.46	70 NS	0.46	-70 NS	0.22	70 NS	fyh	0.54	1	0	70	0	1 1 2	fyh	0.24	70	0.27	70	0.26	70
1 × 0	0.40	145	0.40	145	0.55	145	1 ~ 0	0.54	52	58	1.04	40	1.12	1 × 0	0.54	7	0.57	1.0	0.20	3
f×n	0.46	NS	0.46	NS	0.33	NS	fxn	0.54	32 N	0	NS		NS	fyn	0.34	NS	0.37	NS	0.26	NS
1 ^ 11	0.40	140	0.40	145	0.55	145	1 ^ 11	0.54	S	58	140	40	140	1.41	0.54	145	0.57	145	0.20	140
h × n	0.46	NS	0.46	NS	0.33	NS	h × n	0.54	N	0	NS	0	NS	h × n	0.34	NS	0.37	NS	0.26	NS
0 ^ 11	0.40	110	0.40	110	0.55	110	0 ^ 11	0.54	S	58	110	40	110	B A H	0.54	115	0.57	110	0.20	110
f × b ×	0.79	NS	0.79	NS	0.57	NS	f×b×n	0.93	Ň	1.	NS	0.	NS	f × b × n	0.59	NS	0.65	NS	0.45	NS
n									S	00		69								

 Table 2: Effect of fertilizer levels, biostimulants and novel organic liquid nutrient on days taken for initiation of head after transplanting and days taken for edible maturity of head after transplanting.

Days tal	ken for initi	ation of h	ead after tr	ansplanti	Days taken for edible maturity of head after transplanting										
Treatment	2021	-22	2022-23 Pooled		led	Treatment	2021	-22	202	2-23	Po	Pooled			
	Fe	rtilizer lev	vels (f)		Fertilizer levels (f)										
f <sub>1</sub>	39.54		40.12		39.83		f <sub>1</sub>	71.	71.81		73.90		72.86		
f <sub>2</sub>	36.97		38.29		37.63		f <sub>2</sub>	69.1	21	71	.96	70.58			
f3	34.77		36.08		35.42		f3	67.	73	69	.04	68.38			
S.Em. ±	0.66		0.63		0.66		S.Em. ±	1.1	.6	1.	37	1.16			
C.D. at 5%	1.8	37	1.8	30	1.31		C.D. at 5%	3.28		3.	88	2.	52		
	В	iostimula	nt (b)		Biostimulant (b)										
<b>b</b> 1	36.	27	37.	39	36.	83	<b>b</b> 1	68.	53	70	.27	69.40			
<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	37.79		38.75		38.31		<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	70.64		72	.78	71.80			
<b>b</b> 3	37.22		38.27		37.74		<b>b</b> 3	69.57		71.68		70.62			
S.Em. ±	0.66		0.63		0.47		S.Em. ±	1.1	.6	1.37		0.90			
C.D. at 5%	N	S	NS		NS		C.D. at 5%	N	S	N	IS	NS			
	Novel org	anic liqui	d nutrient (	( <b>n</b> )				Nov	el organic	liquid nuti	rient (n)				
<b>n</b> 1	37.62		38.68		38.	15	$\mathbf{n}_1$	70.	37	72	.71	71	.54		
<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	37.25		38.24		37.2	75	$\mathbf{n}_2$	69.	67	71	.68	70	.67		
<b>n</b> 3	36.41		37.57		36.99		<b>n</b> 3	68.71		70.52		69.62			
S.Em. ±	0.66		0.63		0.47		S.Em. ±	1.16		1.37		0.90			
C.D. at 5%	NS		NS		NS		C.D. at 5%	NS		N	IS	S NS			
CV%	9.21		8.62		9.13		CV%	8.63		9.	92	9.	35		
Interaction effect	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	Interaction effect	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%		
$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{b}$	1.14	NS	1.10	NS	0.81	NS	f × b	2.00	NS	2.37	NS	1.56	NS		
$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{n}$	1.14	NS	1.10	NS	0.81	NS	f × n	2.00	NS	2.37	NS	1.56	NS		
b × n	1.14	NS	1.10	NS	0.81	NS	$\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{n}$	2.00	NS	2.37	NS	1.56	NS		
$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{n}$	1.97	NS	1.90	NS	1.40	NS	$\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{n}$	3.47	NS	4.10	NS	2.69	NS		

	L	eaf area a	t 45 DAT (c	2m <sup>2</sup> )		Stem diameter at harvest (cm)										
Treatment	Treatment 2021-22			-23	Poo	led	Treatment	2021	-22	2022	led					
		Fertiliz	er levels (f)			Fertilizer levels (f)										
f <sub>1</sub>	1244.79		1206	1206.04 1225.42		5.42	<b>f</b> <sub>1</sub>	1.4	1.49		1.48		8			
f <sub>2</sub>	1303.48		1270	).18	1286.83		f <sub>2</sub>	1.5	52	1.5	0	1.5	1			
f3	1311.15		1282	2.49	1296.82		f3	1.5	56	1.5	6	1.5	6			
S.Em. ±	31.49		32.11		31.49		S.Em. ±	0.0	)3	0.0	13	0.0	13			
C.D. at 5%	N	N	S	N	S	C.D. at 5%	NS	5	NS							
		Biostir	nulant (b)				Biostimulant (b)									
<b>b</b> 1	1321.44		1294	1.77	1308	3.11	<b>b</b> 1	1.5	55	1.5	7	1.56				
<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	1245.55		1194	1.38	1229	9.32	<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	1.4	19	1.4	8	1.49				
b3	1292.43		1250.85		1271.64		<b>b</b> 3	1.5	52	1.4	8	1.50				
S.Em. ±	31.49		32.11		22.50		S.Em. ±	S.Em. ± 0.03		0.0	13	0.02				
C.D. at 5%	NS		NS		N	S	C.D. at 5%	NS		NS		NS				
Novel organic liquid nutrient (n)							Novel organic liquid nutrient (n)									
<b>n</b> 1	1252	2.11	1225.47		1238.79		<b>n</b> 1	1.4	17	1.4	7	1.4	7			
<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	1300	.26	1237.18		1268.72		<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	1.5	54	1.52		1.53				
<b>n</b> 3	1307	.06	1296.07		1301.56		n3	1.55		1.54		1.55				
S.Em. ±	31.4	49	32.11		22.50		S.Em. ±	0.03		0.03		0.02				
C.D. at 5%	N	S	NS		NS		C.D. at 5%	NS		NS		NS				
CV%	12.	72	13.32		13.	02	CV%	10.	02	11.00		10.	81			
Interaction effect	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	Interaction effect	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%	S.Em. ±	C.D. at 5%			
f × b	54.55	NS	55.61	NS	38.98	NS	f × b	0.05	NS	0.06	NS	0.04	NS			
f × n	54.55	NS	55.61	NS	38.98	NS	f × n	0.05	NS	0.06	NS	0.04	NS			
b × n	54.55	NS	55.61	NS	38.98	NS	b × n	0.05	NS	0.06	NS	0.04	NS			
fxhxn	94 48	NS	96.33	NS	67 51	NS	fxhxn	0.09	NS	0.10	NS	0.07	NS			

# Table 3: Effect of fertilizer levels, biostimulants and novel organic liquid nutrient on leaf area at 45 DAT(cm<sup>2</sup>) and stem diameter at harvest (cm).

Table 4: Effect of fertilizer levels, biostimulants and novel organic liquid nutrient on average weight of head (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare (t).

			Yield	l per pl	lot (kg)			Yield per hectare (t)														
Treat ment	2021-22		2022	2-23	Poo	led	Treat ment	2021	1-22	202	22-23	P	ooled	Treatm ent	2021	-22	2022	2022-23		Pooled		
	]	Fertiliz	er level	s (f)				Fertilizer levels (f)														
<b>f</b> <sub>1</sub>	371.4		380.5		370	6.0	$\mathbf{f}_1$	<b>f</b> <sub>1</sub> 5.387		5.	073	5.230		$\mathbf{f}_1$	39.90		37.58		38.74			
<b>f</b> <sub>2</sub>	459	9.4	45	3.9	450	456.6 f <sub>2</sub>		6.4	6.487 6.185		185	6	.336	f <sub>2</sub>	48.	05	45.81		46.93			
f3	529	9.1	49	9.6	514	4.4	f3	7.662		7.	604	7.633		f3	56.	76	56.33		56.54			
S.Em. ±	8.3	8.39 8.66		66	8.39		S.Em. ±	0.14		0	0.13 0.14		).14	S.Em. ±	1.07		0.96		1.07			
C.D. at 5%	23.82 24.56		24.56		.15	C.D. at 5% 0.41		41	0	.37	(	0.28 C.D. 5%		3.03		2.74		2.0	2.04			
		Biosti	mulant	(b)					Bio	stimula	nt (b)					Biosti	mulant	(b)				
<b>b</b> 1	470	0.0	0 456.6		463	3.3	<b>b</b> 1	6.8	67	6.	6.510		.689	<b>b</b> 1	50.	87	48.23		49.55			
<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	44(	440.8 426.1		6.1	433	3.6	<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	6.093		5.977		6.033		<b>b</b> <sub>2</sub>	45.	13	44.27		44.69			
b3	449	9.2	451.0		) 450		b3	6.576		6.378		6.477		<b>b</b> 3	48.	71	47.24		47.98			
S.Em. ±	8.39		8.66		6.11		S.Em. ±	0.14		0	.13	0.10		S.Em. ±	1.0	)7	0.96		0.73			
C.D. at 5%	23.82		24.56		17.15		C.D. at 5%	0.41		0	.37	0.28		C.D. at 5%	3.0	)3	2.74		2.04			
Novel organic liquid nutrient (n)								Nove	l orgar	nic liqui	id nutrie	ent (n)		Novel o	rganic	liquid	nutrier	nt (n)				
<b>n</b> 1	437.8		426.8		432	2.3	n1 6.265		6.026 6.146		n1	46.	41	44.64		45.52						
n <sub>2</sub>	450	0.4	451.9		45	1.2	n <sub>2</sub> 6.480		80	6.347 6.414		.414	<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	48.	00	47.	.02	47.51				
n3	47	1.8	455.2		463.5		n3	6.791		6.	489	6	.640	n3	50.	30	48.	.07	49.	18		
S.Em. ±	8.3	39	8.	66	6.	11	S.Em. ±	0.1	14	0	.13	(	0.10	S.Em. ±	1.0	1.07 0.96		96	0.7	'3		
C.D. at 5%	23.	.82	24.	.56	17.	.15	C.D. at 5%	0.4	41	0	.37	(	).28	C.D. at 5%	C.D. at 3.03		<b>D. at</b> 3.03		2.7	74	2.0	)4
CV%	9.0	62	10.	.11	10.	.01	CV%	11.	51	1(	).76	1	1.28	CV%	11.	51	10.	76	11.2	28		
		C.		C.		C.			C.		C.		C.			C.		C.		C.		
Intera	S.E	D.	S.E	D.	S.E	D.	Intera	S.E	D.	S.E	D.	S.E	D.	Interact	S.E	D.	S.E	D.	S.E	D.		
ction	m.	at	m.	at	m.	at	ction	m.	at	m. ±	at	m. ±	at	ion	m.	at	m.	at	m.	at		
effect	±	5	±	5	±	5	effect	±	5		5		5	effect	±	5	±	5	±	5		
	14	%	1.4	% 42	10	%		0.2	%	0	%	0	%		1.0	%	1.6	%	1.0	%		
$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{b}$	14. 54	41. 26	14. 99	42. 54	10. 59	29. 70	f × b	0.2 5	0. 71	0. 23	0.64	0. 17	0.48	$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{b}$	1.8 5	5. 25	1.6 7	4. 74	1.2 6	3. 53		
$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{n}$	14. 54	NS	14. 99	NS	10. 59	NS	$\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{n}$	0.2 5	N S	0. 23	NS	0. 17	NS	$\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{n}$	1.8 5	N S	1.6 7	N S	1.2 6	N S		
b × n	14. 54	NS	14. 99	NS	10. 59	NS	b × n	0.2	N S	0.	NS	0. 17	NS	b × n	1.8	N S	1.6 7	N S	1.2	N S		
$f \times b \times n$	25. 18	NS	25. 97	NS	18. 34	NS	$f \times b \times n$	0.4	N S	0. 39	NS	0. 29	NS	f×b× n	3.2 0	N S	2.8 9	N S	2.1 8	N S		

### CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of experimental evidence, higher growth and yield from cabbage cultivation can be obtained with the combined application of 100% recommended Brahmbhatt et al.,

dose of fertilizer with the soil application of jeevamrut @ 500 l/ha drenching at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT and foliar spray @ 2.0 % of novel organic liquid nutrient at 20 and 40 DAT.

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(11): 489-494(2023)

## FUTURE SCOPE

There is a vast scope to do research with such type of issues on biotic and abiotic stresses vegetables to fulfill the growing demands of vegetables. Integrated Nutrient Management refers to the maintenance of soil fertility and of plant nutrient supply at an optimum level for sustaining the desired productivity through optimization of the benefits from all possible sources of organic, inorganic and biological components in an integrated manner.

Acknowledgements. This study was carried out with financial support from S.D. Agricultural University Sardarkrushinagar.

Conflict of Interest. None.

#### REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2021). Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board, Gurugram.
- Champaneri, D. D., Patel, N. K., Desai, C. S. and Desai, D. H. (2021). Efficacy of novel organic liquid nutrient and novel plus organic liquid nutrient on quantitative traits of Indian bean [Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet]. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science, 33(17), 105-115.
- Chaterjee, B., Ghanti, P., Thapa, U. and Tripathy, P. (2005). Effect of organic nutrition in sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica* Plenck). *Vegetable Science*, 32 (1),51-54.
- Chotaliya, K., Masaye, S. S., Gaikwad, S. S., Patel, A. and Chavda, J. K. (2020). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and novel organic liquid fertilizer on yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. GAO - 5. Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences, 8(6), 73-81.
- Gocher, P., Soni, A. K., Sharma, D. and Bairwa, R. K. (2017). Interactive effect of NPK and sulphur on yield and economics of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) variety Pusa Synthetic. *Journal of Pharmacognosy* and Phytochemistry, 7(1), 506-509.
- Gupta, V. K., Pandey, G. and Singh, M. (2018). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth yield and quality of cabbage. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 2, 278-280.
- Hameedi, A., Thakur, K. S., Sharma, U., Yousafzai, A., Mohammadi, M. H., Durrani, H. and Durani, A. (2018). Effect of organic nutrient sources on NPK uptake, soil nutrient status and yield of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under mid hill condition of Himachal Pradesh. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(1), 1913-1917.
- Kalariya, V. D., Bhanderi, D. R., Tank, R. V., Parmar, V. K. and Patel, R. J. (2018). Response of foliar application of micronutrients, novel organic liquid fertilizer and sea weed extract on growth of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(3), 1228-1230.
- Khan, W., Rayirath, U. P., Subramanian, S., Jithesh, M. N., Rayorath, P., Hodges, D. M, Critchley, A. T., Craigie, J. S., Norrie, J. and Prithiviraj, B. (2009). Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 28, 386-399.

- Koppad, S., Mantur, S. M., Biradar, M. S. and Kulkarni, S. (2019). Effect of integrated nutrient management in red cabbage grown under shade house condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(9), 1294-1301.
- Lodhi, P., Singh, D. and Tiwari, A. (2017). Effect of inorganic and organic fertilizers on yield and economics of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea var. italica*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6(8), 562-566.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. 4<sup>th</sup> ed., ICAR, New Delhi.
- Parrado, J., Bautista, J., Romero, E. J., García-Martínez, A. M., Friaza, V. and Tejada, M. (2008). Production of a carob enzymatic extract: potenial use as a biofertilizer. *Bioresour Technology*, 99, 2312-2318.
- Mancuso, S., Azzarello, E., Mugnai, S. and Briand, X. (2006). Marine bioactive substances (IPA extract) improve ion fluxes and water stress tolerance in potted *Vitis vinifera* plants. *Advances in Horticultural Science*, 20, 156-161.
- Patel, D. M., Patel, I. M., Patel, B. T., Singh, N. K. and Patel, C. K. (2018). Effect of *panchgavya* and *jivamrut* on yield, chemical and biological properties of soil and nutrients uptake by kharif groundnut (*Arachis hypogea L.*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6, 804-809.
- Patel, S. J. (2017). Response of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* (L.) var. *capitata*) to foliar nutrients and different levels of nitrogen under south Gujarat condition. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpublished), Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, India.
- Patel, S. J., Desai, L. J., Keraliya, S. J. and Patel, C. K. (2018). Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) yield, nutrients uptake and soil available nutrients as influenced by nitrogen and foliar nutrients application under south Gujarat condition. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, 6(2), 1222-1225.
- Pattar, M. G., Bagali, A. N. and Alagundagi, S. C. (2017). Effect of planting geometry and plant nutrition on growth, yield and quality of red cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata f. rubra*) under shade house condition. *Journal of Farm Sciences*, 30(2), 249-252.
- Sharma, D., Dutta, I. and Choudhary, P. (2022). Growth, yield and quality of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*) as affected by integrated nutrient management under low hill region of Himachal Pradesh. *Biological Forum- An International Journal*, 14(1), 508-512.
- Shree, S., Singh, V. K. and Kumar, R. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.). *The Bioscan*, 9, 1053-1058.
- Singh, D. P., David, A. A., Swaroop, N., Thomas, T. and Singh, A. K. (2021). Effect of different level of NPK and Boron on soil health, growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica* oleracea L.) var. Pusa Hybrid-1. Biological Forum – An International Journal, 13(3a), 713-717.
- Singh, K., Singh, S. K., Singh, H., Kaur, R. and Kaur, K. (2019). Response of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(3), 598-601.
- Vedagiri, S., Deepanshu, A., Prasad, V. M. and Bahadur, V. (2022). Influence of different concentrations of *jeevamrutha* on growth, yield and quality parameters of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 12(11), 1640-1646.

**How to cite this article:** J.H. Brahmbhatt, G.S. Patel, D.L. Paramar, K.V. Chaudhary and S.K. Acharya (2023). Effect of Different Fertilizer Levels and Biostimulants on Growth and Yield of Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata*). *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(11): 489-494.