

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(2): 708-711(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Estimation of Genetic Parameters for Yield and Kernel Iron and Zinc Concentration in Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Pavan Devesh<sup>1\*</sup>, Rajesh Singh<sup>2</sup>, Kartikeya Srivastava<sup>2</sup>, M. Shankar<sup>1</sup>, Pargat Singh<sup>1</sup> and Munnesh Kumar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (Uttar Pradesh), India.
<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (Uttar Pradesh), India.

(Corresponding author: Pavan Devesh<sup>\*</sup>)

(Received: 02 January 2023; Revised: 04 February 2023; Accepted: 15 February 2023; Published: 18 February 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: Maize is one of the important cereal crop cultivated around the world. Micronutrients malnutrition is a major concern in the peoples of developing countries. Present study was done to estimate the genetic parameters of forty-six maize genotypes (inbred lines) collected from CIMMYT, Hyderabad and Banaras Hindu University (BHU), Varanasi. The research experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with two replications at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, BHU, Varanasi during *Kharif* 2018. ANOVA indicated a significant difference among maize genotypes for all the characters. Genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) was lower than phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) for all the characters. Highest heritability (bs) was detected for plant height followed by kernel zinc content, kernel iron content, grain yield per plant, days to 50% tasseling, days to 50% silking and cob length without husk. Highest genetic advance was observed for plant height whereas, the highest genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) was observed for kernel zinc content followed by kernel iron content, 100 seed weight and cob length without husk. The findings of the present investigation indicated the presence of enough genetic variability among the maize genotypes analysed, which can be exploited for maize improvement programs and development of cultivars/hybrids to enrich iron and zinc content in maize kernels.

Keywords: Maize, PCV, GCV, heritability, iron and zinc.

### INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is an important cereal crop that belongs to the tribe Maydeae of the order Poales, family Poaceae, subfamily Panicoideae and genus Zea. It is known as the "queen of cereals" because of its high production potential and adaptability to a wide range of environments. It is cultivated widely throughout the world and has the highest production among all the cereals. In recent past, maize is attainment popularity among farmers mostly due to its high yield, more economic return and multipurpose uses.

In India, maizeis the third most important food crop after rice and wheat. India's maize production varies between 10-14 million tons, with 80-90% of the production being in the *Kharif* season. Karnataka and Madhya Pradesh have the highest area under maize (15% each) among Indian states followed by Maharashtra (10%), Rajasthan (9%), Uttar Pradesh (8%), Bihar (7%), Telangana State (6%), Gujarat (5%), Tamil Nadu (4%), J&K (3%) and others (18%). Bihar produces highest maize after Karnataka and Madhya Pradesh. Andhra Pradesh is having the highest state productivity (Anonymous, 2021). To develop the maize cultivar with high iron and zinc concentrations, it is a prerequisite to identify maize germplasm with higher content of both the elements in grin and comprehend genetic mechanism.

Micronutrients are required in trace amounts for the proper functioning of human metabolism. Deficiency of these micronutrients in diet will lead to micronutrient malnourishment or hidden hunger, which affects 3 billion people and will be increased when the global population is expected to reach 8 billion by 2025 (Khush *et al.*, 2012). Iron plays a vital role in the metabolic processes and its deficiency causes anaemia. Zinc deficiency is a well-documented problem in food crops, causing decreased crop yields and nutritional quality. Generally, the regions in the world with zinc-deficient soils are also characterized by widespread zinc deficiency in humans. Recent estimates indicate that nearly half of the world population suffers from zinc deficiency (Cakmak, 2008).

Variability of kernel iron and zinc concentration in maize has been reported by several researchers. Banziger and Long (2000), observed kernel iron and zinc in range of 9.60-63.20 mg/kg and 12.90-57.60 mg/kg respectively. Prasanna *et al.* (2011) evaluated 30 maize inbred lines and reported kernel iron concentration in range from

Devesh et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(2): 708-711(2023)

11.28-60.11 mg/kg and zinc concentration in range of 15.14-52.95 mg/kg. Agrawal et al. (2012) evaluated 67 inbred lines and observed iron concentration between 20.38-54.29 mg/kg and zinc concentration between 7.01-29.88 mg/kg; Guleria et al. (2013) observed zinc concentration in range of 3.81-35.83 mg/kg; Mallikarjuna et al. (2014) evaluated 120 QPM inbreds and reported iron concentration between 16.60-83.40 mg/kg and zinc concentration 16.40-53.20 mg/kg; Hindu et al. (2018) analysed 923 maize inbreds and observed iron and zinc in range of 8.19-25.65 mg/kg and 17.11-43.69 mg/kg respectively; observed zinc concentration between range of ; Mageto et al. (2020) observed zinc concentration in range of 22.47-26.51 mg/kg in maize inbreds; Sharma et al. (2021); Goredema-Matongera et al. (2023) analyzed 77 maize hybrids and observed iron and zinc concentration in range of 7.10-58.4 mg/kg and 10.70-57.80 mg/kg respectively. For the improvement of any crop, genetic variability is pre-requisite for all plant breeding programmes. Present study was done to estimate the genetic parameters for yield and kernel iron and zinc content in maize.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and location. Forty-six maize inbred lines used in this study were obtained from CIMMYT, Hyderabad and Maize Improvement Program BHU, Varanasi (Table 1). The experimental trial was conducted in RCBD during Kharif 2018 at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (25.18°N latitude; 83.03°E longitude and at an altitude of 123.23 m above the sea level). Each genotype was sown into two rows of 3 m each with 70 cm row to row and 20 cm plant to plant distance. The soil of the experimental plot was characterized as the type of soil of Indo-Gangetic plains which is fertile and alluvial loam.

Sr. No.	Inbred Line	S. No.	Inbred Line		
1.	CML-162	24.	VL-1248		
2.	CML-163	25.	VL-1010923		
3.	CML-164	26.	VL-055199		
4.	CML-187	27.	VL-1018148		
5.	CML-229	28.	VL-108720		
6.	VL-108866	29.	VL-1010848		
7.	VL-1010856	30.	VL-1033		
8.	VL-1018162	31.	VL-1037		
9.	VL-1028	32.	VL-1056		
10.	VL-108725	33.	VL-109452		
11.	SNL-153277	34.	VL-109582		
12.	VL-1030	35.	VL-109800		
13.	VL-102	36.	VL-121096		
14.	VL-1018604	37.	HUZM-58		
15.	VL-05552	38.	HUZM-65-1		
16.	VL-1016173	39.	HUZM-77		
17.	VL-1012837	40.	HUZM-185		
18.	SNL-153292	41.	HUZM-320		
19.	VL-0512595	42.	HUZM-265		
20.	VL-109309	43.	HUZM-97-1-2		
21.	VL-109524	44.	HUZM-90		
22.	VL-1016210	45.	HUZM-242		
23.	VL-1016211	46.	HUZM-55		

Table 1: List of maize inbred lines used in the experiment.

**Observations recorded.** The observations were taken for 12 important traits of maize, five randomly selected competitive plants from each plot and each replication, and their mean values were recorded. Observations were taken for days to 50% tasseling, days to 50% silking, plant height (cm), tassel length (cm), cob length without husk (cm), cob diameter without husk (cm), number of kernel rows per cob, number of kernels per row, 100 seed weight (g), grain yield per plant (g), kernel iron content (mg/kg) and kernel zinc content (mg/kg). Biochemical analysis of iron and zinc concentration in maize kernels was done at the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, BHU, Varanasi. Triplicate milled samples of each genotype was digested with 9:4 diacid mixture (HNO<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub>) followed by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) using (Agilent 240FS AA) as per the procedure described by Zarcinas et al. (1987) with some revisions recommended by Singh et al. (2005).

Statistical analysis. Analysis of variance and estimation of genetic parameters were done by using R software (version 4.1) package: variability (version 0.1). Broad senseheritability was calculated according to the formula given by Johnson et al. (1955); Hanson (1961). Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were calculated according to Burton (1952); Singh and Choudhury (1985). Genetic advance (GA) was calculated by the formula suggested by Johnson et al. (1955): Allard (1975). Genetic advance in per cent of mean (GMA) was calculated by the formula given by Comstock et al. (1952).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of variance. Analysis of variance reviled that, all the forty-six maize inbred lines exhibited a significant variation for all the twelve characters (Table 2). Significant variance among genotypes demonstrated the 709

Devesh et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(2): 708-711(2023)

existence of genotypic variations, emphasizing the significance of their genetic worth in identifying the ideal genetic makeup for a given condition and therefore providing a wider range of selection options. Patil et al. (2016) also observe significant genetic variation in maize inbreds for days to 50% tasseling, days to 50% silking, plant height, cob length, cob diameter, number of kernel rows per cob, number of kernels per row, 100 seed weight and grain yield per plant. Significant variations in iron and zinc concentration in maize kernel were also observed by Banziger and Long (2000); Chakraborti et al. (2011); Agrawal et al. (2012); Guleria et al. (2013); Mallikarjuna et al. (2014); Sharma et al. (2021); Goredema-Matongera et al. (2023).

Table 2: ANOVA for twelve characters of forty-six maize inbred lines evaluated during *Kharif* 2018.

Sources of variance		Mean Sum of Square						
Sources of variance	d.f.	DFT	DFS	PHT	TL	CLWH	CDWH	
Replication	1	2.45	9.78	45.57	9.93	1.62	1.52	
Treatment	45	10.34**	10.77**	316.52**	3.52*	12.79**	0.43*	
Error	45	1.85	1.94	12.74	1.85	2.79	0.22	
EIIO	73	1.65	1.74	12.74	1.65	2.19	0.22	

Sources of vertices		Mean Sum of Square							
Sources of variance	d.f.	NKRPC	NKPR	HSW	GYPP	FE	ZN		
Replication	1	6.77	7.82	1.61	15.69	2.04	1.05		
Treatment	45	4.52**	28.03**	21.93**	68.31**	45.10**	41.03**		
Error	45	2.04	7.29	3.60	11.41	4.52	4.06		

'\*', '\*\*' indicates significant at 5% and 1% respectively.

DFT - days to 50% tasseling, DFS - days to 50% silking, PHT - plant height (cm), TL - tassel length (cm), CLWH - cob length without husk (cm), CDWH - cob diameter without husk (cm), NKRPC - number of kernel rows per cob, NKPR - number of kernels per row, HSW - 100 seed weight (g), GYPP - grain yield per plant (g), FE - iron content (mg/kg), ZN - zinc content (mg/kg).

Analysis of genetic parameters. For the improvement of any crop genetic variability is pre-requisite for all plant breeding programmes. In any species the phenotypic variability present in a genotype or a set of genotypes can be divided into genotypic and environmental components. The genotypic component is the heritable portion of the total variability, its magnitude on yield and yield attributing characters influence the selection schemes to be followed by the plant breeders. Thus the success of genetic improvement of crops for any trait depends on the nature of the variability present in the germplasms.

In the present study, higher PCV was observed than GCV for all the characters (Table 2). It shows that environmental conditions affect their phenotypic expression. These findings are accordance with Patil et al. (2016); Hosamani et al. (2018). On the basis of magnitude of heritability Johnson et al. (1955) classified heritability as low (>30%), medium (30-60%) and high (>60%). They also classified genetic advance as percentage of mean values, low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%). Highest broad sense heritability was observed for plant height (92.26%) followed by kernel zinc content (82.00%), kernel iron content (81.80%), grain yield per plant (71.38%), days to 50% tasseling (69.70%), days to 50% silking (69.50%) and cob length without husk (64.19%). High broad sense heritability for grain yield and zinc concentration also reported by Mageto et al. (2020). The highest genetic advance was reported only for plant height (24.36%) while, genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) was observed for kernel zinc content (35.87%) followed by kernel iron content (29.27%), 100 seed weight (23.38%) and cob length without husk (23.29%). High heritability in broad sense (92.26%) with high genetic advance (24.39%) was observed for plant height. Larik et al. (2000) suggested that the most effective condition for selection is when high genetic advance with high heritability is present.

Table 3: Estimation of genetic parameters of twelve traits of 46 maize inbred lines.

Tuoita	Range		Crond Moon	DCV (M)	$CCV(q_{i})$	$h^{2}h_{0}(0^{\prime})$	$C \wedge (\mathcal{O}_{1})$	CAM
Trans	Max.	Min.	Granu Mean	PC V (%)	GCV (%)	n-bs (%)	GA (%)	GAM
Days to 50% tasseling	52.00	42.00	47.95	5.15	4.30	69.70	3.54	7.39
Days to 50% silking	55.00	45.00	50.22	5.02	4.18	69.50	3.61	7.19
Plant height (cm)	150.30	100.00	126.38	10.15	9.75	92.26	24.39	19.30
Tassel length (cm)	32.82	24.90	28.50	5.75	3.21	31.20	1.05	3.69
Cob length without husk (cm)	20.37	10.00	15.84	17.62	14.11	64.19	3.69	23.29
Cob diameter without husk (cm)	4.88	2.00	3.21	17.75	9.90	31.11	0.37	11.37
Number of kernel rows per cob	16.43	10.16	12.51	14.47	8.89	37.73	1.41	11.25
Number of kernels per row	39.32	22.84	30.71	13.69	10.49	58.72	5.08	16.55
100 seed weight (g)	36.90	18.00	22.60	15.81	13.39	71.80	5.28	23.38
Grain yield per plant (g)	67.08	41.69	53.94	11.71	9.89	71.38	9.28	17.21
Kernel iron content (mg/kg)	38.18	13.47	28.68	17.37	15.71	81.80	8.39	29.27
Kernel zinc content (mg/kg)	33.50	14.22	22.36	21.23	19.23	82.00	8.02	35.87

#### CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

advance was observed for plant height. Based on mean performance, promising maize inbreds were identified for kernel iron content viz., CML-229, VL-109524,

Maize inbred lines exhibited a significant variation for all the traits studied. High heritability with high genetic Devesh et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(2): 708-711(2023)

HUZM-242 and VL-1016211; for zinc content *viz.*, HUZM-97-1-2, VL-109309, VL-109582, HUZM-77 and VL-1028. These maize inbreds can be utilize for the improvement of iron and zinc content through appropriate breeding methods. Identified maize inbred lines with high micronutrient can be used for the development of bio-fortified maize cultivars/hybrids to reduce the malnutrition problem.

Acknowledgement. The authors extend sincere thanks to CIMMYT and BHU for providing maize inbred lines. First author also sincerely thanks Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR, New Delhi) for supporting the research financially by awarding Senior Research Fellowship (ICAR-SRF). Conflict of Interest. None.

#### REFERENCES

- Agrawal, P. K., Jaiswal, S. K., Prasanna, B. M., Hossain, F., Saha, S., Guleria, S. K. and Gupta, H. S. (2012). Genetic variability and stability for kernel iron and zinc concentration in maize (Zea mays L.) genotypes. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 72(4), 421-428.
- Allard, R. W. (1975). Principles of plant breeding, John Wiley and Sons Incorporation, New York.
- Anonymous, (2021). ICAR Indian Institute of Maize Research (ICAR-IIMR), Ludhiana, Punjab, India.
- Banziger, M. and Long, J. (2000). The potential for increasing the iron and zinc density of maize through plant-breeding. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 21(4), 397-400.
- Burton, G. W. (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proceedings* of 6th International Grassland Congress, 1, 277-283.
- Cakmak, I. (2008). Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification. *Plant and Soil*, 302(1), 1-17.
- Chakraborti, M., Prasanna, B. M., Hossain, F., Mazumdar, S., Singh, A. M., Guleria, S. K. and Gupta, H. S. (2011). Identification of kernel iron- and zinc-rich maize inbreds and analysis of genetic diversity using microsatellite markers. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 20, 224-233.
- Comstock, R., Robinson, H. and Gowen, J. (1952). Estimation of average dominance of genes. *Heterosis*, 2, 494-516.
- Goredema-Matongera, N., Ndhlela, T., van Biljon, A., Kamutando, C. N., Cairns, J. E., Baudron, F. and Labuschagne, M. (2023). Genetic Variation of Zinc and Iron Concentration in Normal, Provitamin A and Quality Protein Maize under Stress and Non-Stress Conditions. *Plants*, 12(2), 270.
- Guleria, S. K., Chahota, R. K., Kumar, P., Kumar, A., Prasanna, B. M., Hossain, F., Agrawal, P. K. and Gupta, H. S. (2013). Analysis of genetic variability and genotype x year interactions on kernel zinc concentration in selected Indian and exotic maize (Zea mays) genotypes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 83(8), 836-841.
- Hanson, W. D. (1961). Heritability, statistical genetics and plant breeding. National Academy of Science, National Research Council, Washington, 125-140.
- Hindu, V., Palacios-Rojas, N., Babu, R., Suwarno, W. B., Rashid, Z., Usha, R., Saykhedkar, G. R. and Nair, S. K. (2018). Identification and validation of genomic regions influencing kernel zinc and iron in maize. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 131(7), 1443-1457.

- Hosamani, M., Kuchanur, P. H., Mahiboobsa, M. and Siddhesh, R. (2018). Genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in maize (*Zea mays L*). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(3), 1964-1966.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1955). Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Journal of Agronomy*, 47(7), 314-318.
- Khush, G. S., Lee, S., Cho, J. I. and Jeon, J. S. (2012). Biofortification of crops for reducing malnutrition. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 6(3), 195-202.
- Larik, A. S., Malik, S. I., Kakar, A. A. and Naz, M. A. (2000). Assessment of heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield components in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Scientific Khyber*, 13(1), 39-44.
- Mageto, E. K., Lee, M., Dhliwayo, T., Palacios-Rojas, N., San Vicente, F., Burgueño, J. and Hallauer, A. R. (2020). An evaluation of kernel zinc in hybrids of elite quality protein maize (QPM) and non-QPM inbred lines adapted to the tropics based on a mating design. Agronomy, 10(5), 695.
- Mallikarjuna, M. G., Nepolean, T., Hossain, F., Manjaiah, K. M., Singh, A. M. and Gupta, H. S. (2014). Genetic variability and correlation of kernel micronutrients among exotic quality protein maize inbreds and their utility in breeding programme. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 74(2), 166-173.
- Mallikarjuna, M. G., Nepolean, T., Hossain, F., Manjaiah, K. M., Singh, A. M. and Gupta, H. S. (2014). Genetic variability and correlation of kernel micronutrients among exotic quality protein maize inbreds and their utility in breeding programme. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 74(2), 166-173.
- Patil, S. M., Kumar, K., Jakhar, D. S., Rai, A., Borle, U. M. and Singh, P. (2016). Studies on variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *International Journal* of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology, 9(6), 1103-1108.
- Popat, R., Patel, R. and Parmar, D. (2020). variability: Genetic variability analysis for plant breeding research. R package version 0.1.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package = variability.
- Prasanna, B. M., Mazumdar, S., Chakraborti, M., Hossain, F., Manjaiah, K. M., Agrawal, P. K., Guleria, S. K. and Gupta, H. S. (2011). Genetic variability and genotype × environment interactions for kernel iron and zinc concentrations in maize (*Zea mays L.*) genotypes. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 81(8), 704-711.
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- Sharma, D., Chhabra, R., Muthusamy, V., Zunjare, R. U. and Hossain, F. (2021). Molecular characterization of elite maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbreds using markers associated with iron and zinc transporter genes. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 68(4), 1545-1556.
- Singh, D., Chonkar, P. K. and Dwivedi, B. S. (2005). Manual on soil, plant and water analysis. Westville Publishers, New Delhi.
- Singh, R. K. and Choudhury, B. D. (1985). Biometrical method in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, New Delhi, 54-57 pp.
- Zarcinas, B. A., Cartwright, B. and Spouncer, L. R. (1987). Nitric acid digestion and multi-element analysis of plant material by inductively coupled plasma spectrometry. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 18(1), 131-146.

**How to cite this article:** Pavan Devesh, Rajesh Singh, Kartikeya Srivastava, M. Shankar, Pargat Singh and Munnesh Kumar (2023). Estimation of Genetic Parameters for Yield and Kernel Iron and Zinc Concentration in Maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(2): 708-711.