

Biological Forum – An International Journal

14(4a): 591-599(2022)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Hybrid Sarima-Ann Model for Forecasting Monthly Wholesale Price and Arrival Series of Tomato Crop

 Pushpa^{1*}, Joginder Kumar² and Vikram³
 ¹Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), India.
 ²Assistant Scientist, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), India.
 ³Assistant Scientist, Department of Vegetable Science, CCS HAU, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), India.

(Corresponding author: Pushpa*) (Received 21 September 2022, Accepted 18 November, 2022) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: A hybrid model is considered to be an effective way to improve forecast accuracy. The hybrid model of the linear seasonal autoregressive moving average (SARIMA) and the nonlinear Artificial neural network (ANN) is proposed in this paper for estimating and forecasting the monthly wholesale price and arrival series of tomato crop. The goodness of fit of the model is measured using Akaike information criteria (AIC), root mean square error (RMSE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), while post-sample forecast accuracy is measured using mean absolute error (MAE) and percent standard error of prediction (SEP). The study clearly shows that the hybrid (SARIMA-ANN) model is superior for forecasting the monthly wholesale prices and arrival series of tomato in the Gurugram market. The R (4.1.3) software is used for the analysis.

Keywords: Price and arrival forecasting, MAE, SARIMA, SARIMA-ANN, and SEP.

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural prices forecasts are intended to be useful for farmers, governments and agribusiness industries. The ability to accurately forecast the agricultural commodities price therefore an important concern in both policy maker and business circles. Time series approaches are commonly used to forecast prices. Time series forecasting is an important area in which future values of a variable are predicted based on previous observations of the variable. Much effort has gone into the development and improvement of time series forecasting models over the last few decades. Time series forecasting is an important area in which future values of a variable are predicted based on previous observations of the variable. Much effort has gone into the development and improvement of time series forecasting models over the last few decades. The Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model is a popular time series model. The ARIMA model's popularity stems from its statistical properties as well as the well-known Box-Jenkins methodology used in model construction. However, the main disadvantage of the ARIMA model is that it cannot capture nonlinear behavior. Although linearity is a

beneficial assumption and an effective tool in many aspects, it became straightforward in the early 1980s that estimating complex real-world problems with linear models is not always appropriate. Over the last two decades, a number of studies on nonlinearity of time series data has increasing rapidly.

The SARIMA model is a time series model used to deal with the seasonal and linear behavior of agricultural commodity price and arrival series. Kumar et al. (2011) used SARIMA model to forecast future tomato prices for the rainy season harvest period, August to October. Model identification and estimation for forecasting tomato prices using the SARIMA model were performed only after the price series were transformed to make them stationary. Adanacioglu and Yercan (2012) investigated seasonal tomato price variation and developed a SARIMA model to forecast monthly tomato prices at the wholesale level based on observed prices over a ten-year period. Keerthi and Naidu (2013) forecast monthly tomato prices using a univariate ARIMA model fitted with historical data. This model is useful when time series exhibit nonlinear dynamic behavior such as asymmetry, frequency amplitude dependence, and volatility clustering.

In this paper, the wholesale price and arrival series exhibit a combination of linear and nonlinear behavior, prompting the use of a hybrid model for predicting agricultural commodity prices and arrivals. In general, time series data exhibit both linear and nonlinear behavior; no single model can identify all of the characteristics of time series data. Zhang (2003) proposed a hybrid ARIMA and Neural Network (NN) model for time series forecasting. He concluded that the proposed hybrid methodology takes advantage of the unique strengths of ARIMA and ANN models in linear and nonlinear modelling. The primary goal of this paper is to compare the forecasting performance of the SARIMA and SARIMA-ANN models. In SARIMA-ANN model, the ability of the Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) model to deal with linear and seasonal behavior in series, combined with the ANN ability to deal with nonlinear behavior of a residual. Hybrid (SARIMA-ANN) model is a good combination to improve the prediction accuracy as compare to SARIMA for the monthly wholesale price and arrival series of tomato crop in Gurugram market.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Yusof and Kane (2012) investigated precipitation prediction using the SARIMA model in Golastan province and discovered that the seasonality measure in SARIMA is extremely useful in measuring precipitation.Patil et al. (2014) presented a study on price movement of Red gram *i.e.* seasonal variation, price volatility and co-integration among the major Red gram markets in Maharashtra. Jha and Sinha (2014) applied the ANN and ARIMA methodology for time series forecasting. The proposed feed-forward timedelay neural network can handle the dataset's nonlinearity. The proposed TDNN's performance was examined using the monthly wholesale price of oilseed in India. The research found that the proposed model outperformed the linear models. Reddy (2018) used the SARIMA model to forecast tomato price fluctuations based on demand and supply.

Table 1: Review of the literature on time series forecasting hybrid models.

Authors	Hybrid model	Field of study
Babu and Sure, 2016	ARIMA-ANN	Real-world time series
Moeeni et al., 2017	SARIMA-Genetic Programming	Monthly reservoir inflow forecasting
Mukaram and Yusof 2017	SARIMA-ANN	Solar radiation forecasting
Naveena et al., 2017	ARIMA-ANN	Price forecasting of Robusta Coffee in India
Suhermi et al., 2018	ARIMA-Deep Neural Network	Roll motion prediction
Velasco et al., 2018	ARIMA-ANN	Load forecasting
Chakraborty et al., 2019	ARIMA- NNAR	Dengue epidemics forecasting

Avub and Jafri (2020) worked in comparison of forecasting performance of ARIMA, ANN and hybrid (ARIMA-ANN) model for Karachi stock price. Yollanda and Devianto (2020) developed a hybrid SARIMA-ANN model to forecast tourist arrivals at Minangkabau International Airport. Udayshankar and Sharma (2020) identified the SARIMA $(1,0,0)(2,1,0)_{12}$ model as an adequate and suitable model for forecasting egg prices in Telangana. Gangshetty et al. (2021) used SARIMA (Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model for temperature prediction of Pune, Maharashtra. Wu et al. (2021) proposed a novel hybrid approach SARIMA + LSTM (seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average combined with long shortterm memory) to predicted daily tourist arrivals to Macau SAR, China.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Data set

The monthly wholesale price and arrival time series of tomato crop in Gurugram market in Haryana from January 2010 to December 2021 were used in the study. The first 132 observations (from January 2010 to December 2020) are used for model building and parameter estimation, while the next 12 observations (from January 2021 to December 2021) are used for post-validity checking. The dataset for the analysis was obtained from the website https://agmarknet.gov.in.

SARIMA model. SARIMA is a commonly used model for linear and seasonal time series analysis and forecasting. A time series has N total number of observations that is denoted by $\{y_t|t = 1, 2, ..., N\}$ is created by a SARIMA (p, d, q)× (P, D, Q)s process by following equation:

$$\begin{split} & \phi(B)(1-B)^{d}\phi(B^{s})(1-B^{s})^{D}y_{t} = c + \theta(B)\Theta(B)\varepsilon_{t} \\ & \text{Where } \phi(B) = 1 - \phi_{1}B - \phi_{2}B^{2} \dots \dots \phi_{p}B^{p} \\ & \phi(B^{s}) = 1 - \phi_{1}B^{s} - \phi_{2}B^{2s} \dots \dots - \phi_{p}B^{p} \\ & \theta(B) = 1 - \theta_{1}B - \theta_{2}B^{2} \dots \dots - \theta_{p}B^{p} \\ & \Theta(B^{s}) = 1 - \Theta_{1}B^{s} - \Theta_{2}B^{2s} \dots \dots - \Theta_{p}B^{p} \\ & \text{SARIMA} = ARIMA \underbrace{(p, d, q)}_{Non-seasonal part} \times \underbrace{(P, D, Q)_{s}}_{Seasonal part} \end{split}$$

B is back shift operator, s is number of observations in a year, $\phi(B)$ is the non-seasonal Auto-Regressive operator (AR) of order p, $\phi(B)$ is the Seasonal Auto-Regressive operator (SAR) of order P, $\theta(B)$ is the nonseasonal Moving Average operator (MA) of order q, (B) is of the Seasonal Moving Average operator (SMA) with order Q, d is order of non-seasonal differencing. -D is order of seasonal differencing.

 $\begin{cases} D = 0, \text{ time series has no seasonality effect} \\ D \ge 1, \text{ time series has seasonality effect} \\ - + \text{ is considered residual.} \end{cases}$

Identification, parameter estimation, diagnostic checking, and forecasting are all iterative steps in the SARIMA model building process. To make the series stationary during the identification step, a differencing transformation is required. The stationary process is required for the construction of the SARIMA model. When the observed time series exhibits trends and seasonal behavior. non-seasonal and seasonal differencing are used to remove the trend and seasonality before applying a SARIMA model. The autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) of the sample data are used to determine the order of the MA, SMA and AR, SAR terms in SARIMA model. The preferred model is then statistically tested to see if it accurately describes the series. If the P-value of its parameter is statistically significant, and its residuals are generally small, randomly distributed, and contain no useful information, the model can be used for forecasting.

Hybrid (SARIMA-ANN) model. Hybrid model is a combination of linear and nonlinear models that is typically used to improve forecast accutacy. In general, the mathematical form of combining linear and nonlinear models is as follows:

$$v_t = L_t + N_t + \varepsilon_t$$

Where L_t is a linear component and N_t is a nonlinear component of the model. This hybrid model is estimated in two steps. The first step is to model the linear component to obtain the residual, and then to apply a nonlinear model to this residual to deal with the nonlinear component. In this paper, the SARIMA model is used in the first step to handle the linear component. Assume the e_t (residual) is a nonlinear component obtained from the SARIMA model.

$$e_t = Y_t - \widehat{L_t}$$

Where \hat{L}_t is estimated value from linear SARIMA model at period t. Then, the second step, ANN model is used for modelling residual serie; from SARIMA at as follows:

$$e_t = f(e_{t-1}, e_{t-2} \dots e_{t-p}) + e_t = \widehat{e_t} + \varepsilon_t$$

Where \widehat{N}_t is estimated value and t is the residual of non-linear ANN model at period t. Hence, the forecast value of the hybrid ARIMA-ANN model is as follows:

$\widehat{Y}_t = \widehat{L}_t + \widehat{e}_t$

B. Models Performance Statistics

In this paper, two main statistics, including Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and percent standard error of prediction (SEP), are proposed to evaluate the forecasting performance of the SARIMA and SARIMA-ANN models, which are formulated as:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

$$SEP = \frac{100}{\bar{y}} RMSE, \quad where \ RMSE$$

$$= \boxed{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

Where y_t and $\hat{y_t}$ are the actual and predicted values for time t. n is the number of observations in validity set.

RESULT

There is a trend and seasonality in the tomato price and arrivals series, so both are non-stationary. Stationarity is a required condition for using the SARIMA model. The observed series is decomposed into three components: trend, seasonal, and random. By removing the estimated trend and seasonal components from the actual time series, the estimated random component is obtained. By removing the estimated seasonal component from observed price and arrival series, deseasonal time series are obtained. After one order nonseasonal difference of de-seasonal time series, the stationary series is obtained. Figures 2 and 3 show ACF/PACF plots of non-stationary and stationary price and arrival series. The ACF plot of a stationary series shows that the spikes are outside the 2 limits at lag 2 and 12. It indicates that the maximum possible order of q and Q for price series is 2 and 1, respectively. PACF for stationary series reveals that spikes outside the 2 limits occur at lags 2, 12, and 24, implying that the maximum possible order for p and P order is two. Next step, to analyze the goodness of fit, three

measures of goodness are used: the Akaike information criterion (AIC), the Root mean squared error (RMSE), and the Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE). Table 2 shows the values of these measures.



Fig. 1. Systematic diagram of Hybrid SARIMA-ANN model.







Fig. 3. ACF/PACF plots of arrival series.

SARIMA	AIC	RMSE	MAPE				
	Prices						
$(0,1,2)(0,1,1)_{12}$	1649.15	430.93	21.32				
$(2,1,0)(1,1,1)_{12}$	1652.55	432.58	19.04				
$(1,1,1)(1,1,1)_{12}$	1655.17	437.45	28.83				
$(2,1,1)(0,1,1)_{12}$	1654.53	432.71	28.97				
$(1,1,2)(0,1,1)_{12}$	1656.82	429.11	28.56				
$(1,1,2)(1,1,1)_{12}$	1644.38	410.51	17.21				
	Arrivals						
$(1,1,1)(0,1,1)_{12}$	1714.02	1152.41	36.16				
$(1,1,1)(1,1,0)_{12}$	1712.97	1171.57	37.69				
$(1,1,0)(1,1,0)_{12}$	1713.3	1159.45	35.97				
$(1,1,0)(0,1,1)_{12}$	1823.35	1259.29	37.29				
$(1,1,0)(0,1,0)_{12}$	1802.94	1271.41	37.75				
$(2,1,2)(0,1,0)_{12}$	1710.79	1132.28	34.05				
$(1,1,1)(\overline{0},1,1)_{12}$	1814.02	1152.41	38.16				

Table 2: SARIMA Model Goodness of Fit Measures.

Table 3: Estimated parameters of selected SARIMA model for training data set.

	Estimate	SE	Z value	P- value		
Prices						
AR1	0.29	0.13	2.23	0.02		
MA1	-0.34	0.12	-3.56	<0.01		
MA2	-0.57	0.11	-5.03	<0.01		
SAR1	-0.22	0.09	-2.19	0.02		
SMA1	0.97	0.21	-4.71	<0.01		
Arrivals						
AR1	-0.36	0.14	-2.47	0.01		
AR2	-0.51	0.07	-12.85	<0.01		
MA1	0.21	0.08	1.53	0.01		
MA2	0.70	0.11	7.19	<0.01		

The above parameters estimation of SARIMA (1,1,2) $(1,1,1)_{12}$ model for prices of tomato

$$(1 + 0.22B^{12})(1 - 0.29B)(1 - B)(1 - B^{12})y_t$$

= (1 + 0.34B¹ + 0.57B²)(1
- 0.97B¹²)\varepsilon_t

parameters estimation of SARIMA $(2,1,2)(0,1,0)_{12}$ model for arrivals of tomato $(1 + 0.36B + 0.51B^2)(1 - B)(1 - B^{12})y_t$ $= (1 - 0.21B^1 - 0.70B^2)\varepsilon_t$ SARIMA(1,1,2) $(1,1,1)_{12}$ and SARIMA(2,1,2) $(0,1,0)_{12}$ are selected for residual analysis as the best among the examined models with the lowest AIC, RMSE, and MAPE values and significance parameter estimation for tomato prices and arrivals series in Gurugram market. Fig. 4 and 5 show that the Ljung-Box statistic is non-significant, indicating that there is no autocorrelation in the residual series. As a result, these models are appropriate for forecasting prices and arrivals in the Gurugram market.



Fig. 5. Plot of Residuals from SARIMA Model for arrivals in Gurugram Market.

596



Fig. 6. Residual plots of fitted SARIMA models.

The residuals from the fitted model provide a clear hint for improvement. Figure 6 depicts residuals from selected models, which indicate nonlinearity. Because traces of nonlinearity behavior are discovered, an artificial neural network (ANN) model is used to model the residuals obtained from SARIMA. The ANN model used here is a three-lavered feed forward neural network that was trained for 10×106 epochs with a learning rate of 0.001 and a threshold of 0.01. Several practical considerations were used to determine the optimal number of neurons in the hidden layer. The number of nodes in the hidden layer is optimized using a trial-and-error method. The hidden layer with different neurons and activation functions was used to find the best fitted model. The networks with the lowest RMSE (Root mean squared error) and MAPE (mean absolute percentage error) in the testing set are chosen as the best ANN for the corresponding series. Table 4 displays the RMSE and MAPE values for the ANN model. Table 5 displays predicted values for price and arrival based on the best-fit SARIMA and SARIMA-ANN models.

Table 6 displays the performance statistics of the SARIMA and Hybrid (SRIMA-ANN) models for the validation data set with various forecast horizons of price and arrival series in terms of SEP ratio and MAE ratio.SEP and MAE ratios of both models, calculated as SEP (SARIMA)/SEP (SARIMA-ANN) and MAE (SARIMA)/MAE (SARIMA-ANN). If the SEP and MAE ratios are greater than one, the Hybrid SARIMA-ANN model outperforms the SARIMA model. Table 6 shows that SEP and MAE ratios greater than one for 6, 9, and 12 months ahead forecast indicate that the hybrid SARIMA-ANN model performed better, but SARIMA model performed better for 1 and 3 months ahead forecast for both series.

Input Layer	Number of Artificial neurons	Act. Fct.	RMSE	MAPE			
Prices							
12	4	Tanh	408.40	18.73			
12	5	Tanh	390.30	17.83			
12	8	Tanh	405.27	19.70			
12	9	Logistic	495.71	22.82			
12	10	Logistic	491.71	22.10			
12	11	Logistic	498.40	20.73			
	Arrivals						
12	3	Tanh	685.10	82.83			
12	4	Tanh	621.37	80.68			
12	6	Tanh	681.60	89.06			
12	8	Logistic	715.10	99.83			
12	9	Logistic	719.37	95.68			
12	10	Logistic	751.60	97.06			

Table 4: RMSE and MAPE values for the ANN model for residuals series of SARIMA

Table 5: Observed and predicted values of SARIMA and Hybrid model.

Month	Observed	SARIMA	Hybrid	Observed	SARIMA	Hybrid
	Price	Predicted	Predicted	Arrival	Predicted	Predicted
Jan-21	800.43	921.13	1016.855	1008	1167.92	1295.67
Feb-21	800.43	1102.89	1121.822	1008	1146.30	1199.07
March-21	804.35	1037.65	1148	775.8	1012.05	1226.33
April-21	804.35	1151.14	954.842	563.6	1067.33	854.96
May-21	509.86	882.74	639.347	837.2	1155.78	953.71
June-21	509.86	890.07	701.751	837.2	1523.26	1098.22

July-21	1410.4	1524.52	1310.764	708	1235.22	1021.45
Aug-21	1338.79	1410.57	1533.609	708	1134.51	932.62
Sept-21	3579.17	1883.37	2971.556	869.6	1274.00	1097.26
Oct-21	3579.17	2757.48	2873.821	869.6	1079.98	813.25
Nov-21	2811.88	2105.72	2354.068	1181.6	1365.12	1231.11
Dec-21	2070.22	2022.53	2125.765	1181.6	1581.29	1427.58

Table 6: Comparative results in terms of SEP and MAE ratios between SARIMA and Hybrid model.

Series	1 Month	3 Months	6 Months	9 Months	12 Months	
SEP ratio						
Prices	0.54	0.77	1.27	2.13	1.77	
Arrivals	0.56	0.55	1.37	1.49	1.53	
MAE ratio						
Prices	0.55	0.74	1.29	1.61	1.50	
Arrivals	0.56	0.57	1.27	1.43	1.54	

CONCLUSION

This study compared the modelling and forecasting performance of SARIMA and Hybrid (SARIMA-ANN) models using monthly wholesale price and arrival series of tomato crops in Gurugram market of Haryana. The goal of this study is short term forecast up to one year with different forecast horizons, such as 1, 3, 6, 9 and SARIMA(1,1,2)(1,1,1)₁₂ 12 months. and SARIMA $(2,1,2)(0,1,0)_{12}$ are the suitable models for capturing the linear pattern of price and arrival series, with the lowest AIC, RMSE, and MAPE values and significance parameter estimation. In comparison to the SARIMA and Hybrid models, the hybrid models provide better forecasting accuracy in terms of the lowest value of performance statistics such as MAE and SEP for 6, 9, and 12 months ahead forecast, whereas the SARIMA model performs better for 1 and 3 months ahead forecast. This study will assist farmers, market participants, and policymakers in making well-informed decisions about dealing with abnormalities in agricultural marketing in order to increase profit. We found a significant fluctuation in the series in this study, implying that nonlinear models like GARCH and ANN may be used to improve performance.

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful the editor, the managing editor, and the referee for their helpful comments on the paper. Special thanks to UGC-NFSC for their financial support, which assisted my research to be successful.

REFERENCES

- Adanacioglu, H. and M. Yercan, M.(2012). An analysis of tomato prices at wholesale level inTurkey: An application of SARIMA model. *Custose Agronegocio*, 8, 52-75.
- Ayub, S. and Jafri, Y. Z. (2020). Comparative Study of an ANN-ARIMA Hybrid model for Predicting Karachi stock price. *American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **10**(1), 1-9.doi:10.5923/j.ajms.20201001.01
- Babu, C.N. and Sure, P. (2016). Partitioning and Interpolation based Hybrid ARIMA–ANN model for time series

forecasting. *Sadhana*, **41**, 695–706. DOI:<u>10.1007/S12046-016-0508-5</u>

- Chakraborty, T., Chattopadhyay, S. and Ghosh, I. (2019). Forecasting dengue epidemics using a hybrid methodology. *Physica*, **527.** DOI:<u>10.1016/j.physa.2019.121266</u>
- Gangshetty, A., Kaur, G. and Maluje, U.S. (2021). Time series prediction of temperature in Pune using Seasonal ARIMA model. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, **10**(11), 235-240.
- Jha, G. K. and Sinha, K. (2014). Time-delay neural networks for time series prediction: An application to the monthly wholesale price of oilseeds in India. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 24, 563-571.
- Keerthi, P.K., and Naidu, G.M. (2013). Forecasting monthly prices of tomato in Madanapallimarket of Chittoor district. *Bioinfolet*, 10(1b), 201-203.
- Kumar and Chandar, S. (2019). fusion model of wavelet transform and adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system for stock market prediction. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 1- 9. DOI:<u>10.1007/s12652-019-01224-2</u>
- Kumar, T.L.M., H.S. Surendra, and Munirajappa, R.(2011.) Holt-winters exponential smoothing and seasonal ARIMA time-series technique for forecasting of onion price in Bangalore market. *Mysore journal of agricultural sciences*,**45(3)**, 602-607.
- Lan, H., Zhang, Ch., Hong, Ying-Yi., He, Y. and Wen, Shuli. (2019). Day-ahead Spatiotemporal Solar Irradiation Forecasting using Frequency based Hybrid Principal Component Analysis and Neural Network. *Applied Energy*, 247, 389–402. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.04.056
- Moeeni, H., Bonakdari, H. and Ebtehaj, Isa. (2017). Monthly reservoir inflow forecasting using a new hybrid SARIMA genetic programming approach. *Journal of Earth* System Science, **126**, 1–13. DOI:10.1007/s12040-017-0798-y
- Mukaram, M. Z. and Yusof, F. (2017). Solar radiation forecast using hybrid SARIMA And ANN model: A case study at several locations in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 346-350.
- Pushpa et al., Biological Forum An International Journal 14(4a): 591-599(2022)

- Naveena, K., S., Subedar., R., Santosha and Abhishek. S. (2017). Hybrid ARIMA-ANN modeling for forecasting the price of Robusta coffee in India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7, 1721-1726. DOI:10.20546/ijcmas.2017.607.207
- Patil, V.K., Tingre, A.S. and Chaudhari, D.J. (2014). Red gram price movement across major markets of Maharashtra. *InternationalResearch Journal of Agricultural Economics and Statistics*, 5(1), 70-75.
- Reddy, A.A. 2018. Price forecasting of tomatoes. International Journal of Vegetable Science, 1- 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19315260.2018.1495674</u>
- Suhermi, N., Prastyo, D.D. and Ali, B. (2018). Roll motion prediction using a hybrid deep learning and ARIMA model. *Procedia Computer Science*, **144**, 251–258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.10.526</u>
- Udayshankar, M. and Sharma, M.R. (2020). Forecasting of egg prices in Telangana using R-Software. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, **10(3)**, 44-46.
- Velasco, L.C.P., Polestico, D.L.L., Macasieb, G.P.O., Reyes, MBV. and Vasquez, J.F.B. (2018). Load forecasting using autoregressive integrated moving average and artificial neural network. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 9, 23– 39. DOI: <u>10.14569/IJACSA.2018.090704</u>

- Wu, D.C.W., He, L.J.L. and Tso, K.F.G. (2021). Forecasting tourist daily arrivals with a hybrid SARIMA– LSTM approach. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 45(1), 52-67.
- Yollanda, M. and Devianto, D. (2020). Hybrid model of seasonal ARIMA-ANN to forecast tourist arrivals through Minangkabau international airport. *Department of Mahematics, Andalas University, Padang*, 3(5), 755-765.
- Yuan, X., Chen, Ch., Jiang, M. and Yuan, Yanbin. (2019). Prediction interval of wind power using parameter optimized beta distribution-based LSTM model. *Journal of Applied Soft Computing*, 82. DOI:<u>10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105550</u>
- Yusof, F. and Kane, I.L. (2012). Modeling monthly rainfall time series using state space and SARIMA models. *International Journal of Current Research*,4(9), 155-200.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235784634

- Zhang, G. P. (2003). Time series forecasting using a hybrid ARIMA and neural network model. *Neurocomputing*, 50, 159-175.
- Zhang, Y., Chen, B., Pan, G. and Zhao, Y. (2019). A novel hybrid model based on VMD-WT and PCA-BP-RBF neural network for short-term wind speed forecasting. *Energy Conversion and Management*,195(01), 180– 197. DOI:10.1016/j.enconman.2019.05.005

How to cite this article: Pushpa, Joginder Kumar and Vikram (2022). Hybrid Sarima-Ann Model for Forecasting Monthly Wholesale Price and Arrival Series of Tomato Crop. *Biological Forum – An International Journal, 14*(4a): 591-599.