

Biological Forum – An International Journal

16(6): 159-163(2024)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Influence of Weed Management Treatments on Yield and Quality of Potato

 Yogita^{1*}, Davinder Singh², S.K. Dhankhar³, Todar Mal⁴, R.N. Sheokand⁵ and Satyawan Arya⁶
 ¹ Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Maharana Pratap Horticultural University Karnal (Haryana), India.
 ²Assistant Scientist, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, CCSHAU, Hisar (Haryana), India.
 ³Principal Scientist, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, CCSHAU, Hisar (Haryana), India.
 ⁴Assistant Scientist, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, CCSHAU, Hisar (Haryana), India.
 ⁵Assistant Professor, Computer Section, College of Basic Science and Humanities, CCSHAU, Hisar (Haryana), India.
 ⁶Assistant Economic Botanist, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCSHAU, Hisar (Haryana), India.

(Corresponding author: Yogita*) (Received: 09 April 2024; Revised: 26 April 2024; Accepted: 18 May 2024; Published: 15 June 2024) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: The present experiment was carried out at Research Farm of the Department of Vegetable Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during winter (*Rabi*) season of the year 2022-2023 and 2023-2024. The potato variety used for the investigation was - Kufri Bahar, which was grown with thirteen treatment different combinations of weed control in randomized block design and replicated three times. The data revealed that weed free treatment (T_{12}) showed superior values for plant height at 80 DAP, total tuber yield and dry matter content of foliage This treatment was statistically at par with T_{11} and T_6 . The dry weight of tubers, starch content, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar and total sugar content of potato tubers depicted no significant difference among the treatments. It was observed that there was increase in all these parameters in all treatments as compared to weedy check (control).

Keywords: Solanum tuberosum L., tubers, weed control, dry matter, sugars.

INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops, contributing to the overall food and nutritional security globally after wheat, maize and rice. It is one of the most important staple foods in the world. There are over 200 wild potato species (Bhullar *et al.*, 2015). It is a tuberous crop that comes from the genus Solanum, which is part of the Solanaceae family. The commonly cultivated potato is an autotetraploid (2n=4x=48) It originated in the high Andes region of South America, where Spanish conquerors transported it to Europe at the end of the 16th century. In the first half of the 17th century, it may have been brought to India by Portuguese or British traders (Pandey *et al.*, 2017).

The total production of 376 million tons of potatoes were produced world-wide in an area of 18.1 million hectares and world average yield was about 21 tonnes per hectare (FAO, 2021). In India, it is grown on an area of 2.21 million hectares having a production of 53.38 million tons (NHB, 2021). In Haryana, total area under potato production is 30,916 hectares having a production of 8,18,907 tonnes (Hortharyana, 2023).

Weeds are a major component among the many variables that limit potato production worldwide. Weeds not only hinder plant growth but also lower ultimate yields and lower tuber quality in potatoes. Effective weed control is essential for producing potatoes with high yields and excellent quality (Hutchinson, 2020).

The existence of weeds in potato fields requires more labour for harvesting and inter-cultivation. Weeds can interfere with irrigation and drainage systems and cause problems for machines during important phases such as harvesting and earthing up. As a result, several methods and herbicides have been developed and used throughout time to deal with the weed problems in potato farming (Lavlesh, 2016). This study aims to compare the effectiveness of chemical and nonchemical weed management techniques in potato, taking these factors into consideration.

Our hypothesis was that application of mulches and different herbicides could reduce weed infestation and promote growth, yield and quality of potato crop. The objective of the current study was to investigate the influence of different herbicides and mulches on quality parameters and yield of potato crop in order to provide economical and feasible weed control methods.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out at Research Farm of the Department of Vegetable Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during winter (*Rabi*) season of the year 2022-2023 and 2023-2024. The potato variety used for the investigation was - Kufri Bahar. The Experiment was laid out in randomized block design and replicated three times. The weed control treatment combinations were thirteen including weed free and weedy check. The plot size was 3.6 m × 2.4 m and spacing of plant to plant and row to row is 60 cm × 20 cm. The location- Hisar is situated at latitude of 29°10'N, longitude of 75°46'E and height of 215.2 meters above mean sea level and falls in semi-arid and sub-tropical region with dry and hot summer and severe cold in winter.

The pooled data was recorded for plant height at 80 DAP (cm), total tuber yield (q/ha) and quality parameters *viz.*, dry matter content of foliage (%), dry matter content of tubers (%), starch content (%), reducing sugar content in tubers (%) and total sugar content in tubers (%) have been presented in the tables presented below. The experiment was conducted in factorial

randomized block design. The data related to various parameters were statistically analyzed by using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Technique of Panse and Sukhatme (1987).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pooled analysis data for plant height at 80 DAP (cm) and total tuber yield (q/ha) presented in Table 1. The various weed control methods significantly affected plant height at 80 DAP and total tuber yield in both the years. The pooled data showed that plant height ranged from 45.9 to 58.6 cm. The highest plant height of 58.6 cm recorded in treatment T_{12} (Weed free) which was statistically at par with $T_6(57.7 \text{ cm})$, $T_7(56.9 \text{ cm})$ cm) and T₅ (56.2 cm), while the lowest (45.9 cm) was recorded with treatment T_{13} (Weedy check). These results are in accordance with Kumar et al. (2013) reported that metribuzin treatment resulted in the most significant growth metrics. Kumar et al. (2017) observed in their research experiment that the highest plant heights at various days after planting were achieved in the weed-free treatment, following that in different herbicide treatments. According to Abdallah et al. (2021), the herbicide metribuzin and double hoeing significantly improved all measured growth factors.

 Table 1: Effect of weed control treatments on plant height at 80 DAP (cm) and total tuber yield (q/ha) of potato.

| | Treatments | Time of Application | Plant height at 80 DAP | Total yield |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| T ₁ | Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 54.4 | 223.3 |
| T_2 | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 53.1 | 241.1 |
| T ₃ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 54.3 | 298.5 |
| T_4 | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ | PE | 53.6 | 251.2 |
| T 5 | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 56.2 | 265.9 |
| T ₆ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 57.7 | 306.7 |
| T ₇ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 56.9 | 277.2 |
| T ₈ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PoE | 45.4 | 187.5 |
| Т9 | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) <i>fb</i> Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE fb PoE | 47.6 | 204.5 |
| T ₁₀ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ fb Metribuzin 70% WP $(0.525 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ | PE fb PoE | 48.8 | 219.3 |
| T ₁₁ | Earthing up | 30 DAP | 54.4 | 314.8 |
| T ₁₂ | Weed free | | 58.6 | 326.0 |
| T ₁₃ | Weedy check | | 45.9 | 168.3 |
| | CD at 5% | | 2.5 | 20.9 |

*PE- Pre-emergence, PoE- Post-emergence, DAP- Days after planting

The range for total tuber yield varied from 168.3 to 326.0 q/ha. The combined data showed that the maximum total tuber yield (326.0 q/ha) was recorded with treatment T_{12} (Weed free) which was statistically at par with T_{11} (314.8 q/ha) and T_6 (306.7 q/ha). These findings are consistent with those of Kumar *et al.* (2013) reported that metribuzin application led to maximum growth parameters, yield attributes and

overall potato yield. Bhuller *et al.* (2015) stated that the weight and yield of potato tubers were notably greater in all treatments compared to the untreated control. According to Yadav *et al.* (2021), the weed-free treatment produced the maximum yield of potato, which was statistically at par with the pre-emergence application of metribuzin.

| content (%) of potato. | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Treatments | | Time of Application | Dry weight of foliage | Dry weight of tuber | Starch content | | |
| T ₁ | Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 10.9 | 17.8 | 14.2 | | |
| T ₂ | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 10.7 | 17.9 | 14.3 | | |
| T ₃ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 11.7 | 18.9 | 15.1 | | |
| T ₄ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC (0.150 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 10.8 | 18.1 | 14.5 | | |
| T ₅ | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 11.0 | 18.3 | 14.6 | | |
| T ₆ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 11.9 | 19.2 | 15.4 | | |
| T ₇ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ + Rice straw (6 t ha^{-1}) | PE | 11.2 | 18.7 | 15.0 | | |
| T ₈ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PoE | 10.0 | 17.5 | 14.0 | | |
| Т9 | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) fb Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE fb PoE | 10.1 | 17.7 | 14.2 | | |
| T ₁₀ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC (0.150 kg ha ⁻¹) <i>fb</i> Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE fb PoE | 10.5 | 17.7 | 14.2 | | |
| T ₁₁ | Earthing up | 30 DAP | 12.2 | 19.0 | 15.2 | | |
| T ₁₂ | Weed free | | 13.1 | 19.2 | 15.4 | | |
| T ₁₃ | Weedy check | | 9.3 | 15.9 | 12.7 | | |
| CD at 5% | | | 1.0 | NS | NS | | |

 Table 2: Effect of weed control treatments on dry weight of foliage (%), dry weight of tuber (%) and starch content (%) of potato.

*PE- Pre-emergence, PoE- Post-emergence, DAP- Days after planting

The data recorded for dry weight of foliage (%) has been presented in the Table 2. There was significant increase on the dry weight of foliage (%) for both the years. The pooled data revealed that dry weight of foliage was in the range 9.3 to 13.1 per cent. The maximum dry weight of foliage of 13.1% was recorded in treatment T_{12} (Weed free) which was statistically at par with T_{11} (12.2%). However, the treatment T_{13} (Weedy check) observed to have minimum (9.3%) for dry weight of foliage. Similar findings were obtained by Channappagoudar et al. (2007); Mondani et al. (2013); Sitangshu and Majumdar (2013). The data for dry weight of tubers (%) and starch content (%) of potato tubers have also been displayed in Table 2. The data depicted a no significant difference among the treatments of different weed control treatments on dry weight of tubers and starch content of potato tubers in both the years. The dry weight of tubers and starch content was in the range 15.9 to 19.2 per cent and 12.7 to 15.4 per cent, respectively. Although, there was no significant difference among different weed control treatments but it was observed that there was increase in dry matter and starch content of tubers in all weed control treatments as compared to weedy check (control). These results are in the findings of Sukhpreet and Aggarwal (2014). Channappagouder et al. (2008) demonstrated that metribuzin led to an elevation in overall starch levels in potatoes. Arora et al. (2009) observed that the highest starch content was detected in prometryne followed by mulching treatments following with significant starch levels and manual weeding resulted in the maximum tuber dry matter content. When compared to uncontrolled treatment, dry matter percentages of potato tubers were noticeably higher. Zarzecka *et al.* (2020) found that the dry matter content of potato tubers was not significantly affected by the type of herbicides used.

The pooled data analysis of reducing sugar content in tubers (%), non-reducing sugar content in tubers (%) and total sugar content in tubers (%) is displayed in Table 3. The results indicated no significant differences in all three *i.e.*, reducing sugar content, non-reducing sugar content and total sugar content in tubers among the various weed control treatments for either year. The combined data showed that reducing sugar content, non-reducing sugar content and total sugar content in tubers range varied from 0.18 to 0.45 per cent, 0.12 to 0.30 per cent and 0.48 to 0.66 per cent, respectively. When compared to the weedy check (control), it was discovered that the reducing sugar content and total sugar content in tubers increased among all weed control treatments, in spite of having no significant variations among various weed control treatments. The rise in total sugars or a specific sugar and dry matter content is inherited, but it is also influenced by several environmental circumstances, as stated by Ezekiel et al. (1999). Additionally, as mentioned by Shabba et al. (2007), a cultivar's hereditary traits include the kind and quantity of sugars. According to Gugała et al. (2018), there was only a little rise in the overall sugar content and no discernible effect of the sprayed herbicides they evaluated on the sugar content. The enzyme that catalyzes sucrose's conversion to glucose and fructose may have been activated, leading to the higher sugar levels that were detected.

 Table 3: Effect of weed control treatments on reducing, non-reducing and total sugar content (%) in tubers of potato.

| Treatments | | Time of Application | Reducing sugar | Non- reducing sugar | Total sugar |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| T ₁ | Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.57 |
| T ₂ | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.62 |
| T ₃ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE | 0.4 | 0.23 | 0.63 |
| T ₄ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ | PE | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.63 |
| T ₅ | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 0.42 | 0.17 | 0.59 |
| T ₆ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 0.45 | 0.21 | 0.66 |
| T ₇ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ + Rice straw (6 t ha ⁻¹) | PE | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.61 |
| T ₈ | Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PoE | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.61 |
| T9 | Pendimethalin 30% EC (1 kg ha ⁻¹) <i>fb</i> Metribuzin 70% WP (0.525 kg ha ⁻¹) | PE fb PoE | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.63 |
| T ₁₀ | Oxyfluorfen 23.5% EC $(0.150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ fb Metribuzin 70% WP $(0.525 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ | PE fb PoE | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.63 |
| T ₁₁ | Earthing up | 30 DAP | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.54 |
| T ₁₂ | Weed free | | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.55 |
| T ₁₃ | Weedy check | | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.48 |
| CD at 5% | | | NS | NS | NS |

*PE- Pre-emergence, PoE- Post-emergence, DAP- Days after planting

CONCLUSIONS

The study concluded that T_{12} (Weed free) showed superior values for plant height at 80 DAP, total tuber yield and dry matter content of foliage. This treatment was statistically at par with T_{11} and T_6 . The dry weight of tubers, starch content, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar and total sugar content of potato tubers depicted no significant difference among the treatments. It was observed that there was increase in all these parameters in all treatments as compared to weedy check (control).

Acknowledgements. The authors bestow their sincere obeisance to the Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar for providing the necessary facilities during the course of experiment.

Conflict of Interest. None.

REFERENCES

- Abdallah, I. S., Atia, M. A. M., Nasrallah, A. K., El-Beltagi, H. S., Kabil, F. F., El-Mogy, M. M. and Abdeldaym, E. A. (2021). Effect of new pre-emergence herbicides on quality and yield of potato and its associated weeds. *Sustainability*, *13*(9796), 1-17.
- Arora, A., Tomar, S. S. and Gole, M. K. (2009). Yield and quality of potato as influenced by weed management practices and their residual study in soil. *Agricultural Science Digest*, 29(2), 39-41.
- Bhullar, M. S., Kaur, S., Kaur, T. and Jhala, A. J. (2015). Integrated weed management in potato using straw mulch and atrazine. *Hort Technology*, 25(3), 335–339.
- Channappagoudar, B.B., Biradar, N. R., Bharmagoudar, T. D. and Koti, R. V. (2007). Influence of herbicides on morpho-physiological growth parameters in potato. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*, 20(3), 487-491.

- Channappagoudar, B. B., Biradar, N. R., Bharamagoudar, T. D. and Koti, R. V. (2008). Influence of herbicides on physiological and biophysical parameters in potato. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*, 21(1), 4-7.
- Ezekiel, R., Verma, S. C., Sukumaran, N. P. and Shekhawat, G. S. (1999). A Guide to Potato Processor in India. Technical Bulletin No. 48, Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla, India, pp. 14-39.
- FAO (2021). FAOSTAT Database. http://www.fao.org/faostat /Potatoes. Accessed April 2024
- Gugała, M., Zarzecka, K., Doł, ega H, Sikorska, A. (2018). Weed infestation and yielding of potato under conditions of varied use of herbicides and biostimulants. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 19,191–196.
- Hortharyana (2023). Horticulture Department, Government of Haryana. Statistical Database. https://hortharyana.gov.in/en/statistical-data. Accessed on June 2024
- Hutchinson, P. J. S. (2020). Weed management. In: Potato Production Systems. Springer Nature Switzerland AG. pp: 347–416.
- Kumar, C. C., Shrivastava, G. K., Kumar, C. A. and Dewangan, C. (2013). Effect of water management, weed and integrated nutrient management on yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*).*Trends in Biosciences*, 6(5), 544-546.
- Kumar, R., Bhatia, A. K. and Singh, D. (2017). Efficiency of different herbicides alone and their combination with optimum time of application to control weeds in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Haryana. *Bioscience Biotechnology Research of Asia*, 14(1), 453–460.
- Lavlesh (2016). Effect of manual and chemical methods of weed management on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Vegetable Science. G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar.122p.

Yogita et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 16(6): 159-163(2024)

162

- Mondani, F., Golzardi, F., Ahmadvand, G., Ghorbani, R. and Moradi, R. (2013). Influence of weed competition on potato growth, production and radiation use efficiency. *Not Sci Biol.*, 3(3), 42-52.
- NHB (2021). Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers' Welfare, *Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare*, Government of India.
- Pandey, N. K., Chakrabarti, S. K., Singh, B., Tiwari, J. K., Buckseth, T. and Rawat, S. (2017). Summer School on "Recent advances in Crop Improvement, Production and Post-Harvest Technology in Potato Research" during 18th July to 7th August, 2017 at ICAR-CPRI, Shimla.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1987). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Research Workers. ICAR Publications, New Delhi.
- Shabba, M. A., Stushnoff, C., McSay, A.E., Holm, D. and Davidson, R. (2007). Effect of temperature on storage properties, dormancy, soluble sugar content and α-

galactosidase activity of seven new potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivars. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 5(1), 116-121.

- Sitangshu, S. and Majumdar, B. (2013). Herbicidal effect on weed growth, crop yield and soil microbes in olitorius jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L). Journal of Tropical Agriculture, 51(1-2), 23-29.
- Sukhpreet, K. and Poonam, A. (2014). Studies on Indian potato genotypes for their processing and nutritional quality attributes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 3(8), 172-177.
- Yadav, S. K., Bag, T. K., Srivastava, A. K. and Yadav, V. P. (2021). Bio-efficacy of weed management practices in rainfed potato. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 53(1), 54–58.
- Zarzecka, K., Gugała, M., Sikorska, A., Grzywacz, K. and Niewegłowski, M. (2020). Marketable Yield of Potato and Its Quantitative Parameters after Application of Herbicides and Biostimulants. *Agriculture 10*, 49-55.

How to cite this article: Yogita, Davinder Singh, S.K. Dhankhar, Todar Mal, R.N. Sheokand and Satyawan Arya (2024). Influence of Weed Management Treatments on Yield and Quality of Potato. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *16*(6): 159-163.