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#### Abstract

The results of the present investigation indicated that, different levels of pruning severity  $D_{4}: \mathbf{2 . 0 ~ m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}, D_{5}: \mathbf{6 . 0} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{6 . 0 m}$ highlight the significant effect on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha under open based environment condition. The results of the study revealed that among the various pruning based treatment combinations the best $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ and $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ pruning intensity proved significantly superior over other pruning treatments for vegetative growth parameters. On other hand the results of the research study showed that among the various planting density treatments, the density i.e. $D_{4}: \mathbf{2 . 0} \mathrm{m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{5}: \mathbf{6 . 0} \mathrm{m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ conclude the significant effect and found more superior over other pruning treatments for their vegetative growth performance during rainy and winter season based research experiments. The interaction effect of different levels of pruning intensity and planting density was also found significant on vegetative growth parameter of Guava cv. Allahabad Surkha. The maximum increase plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, no. of branches per plant, plant spread ( $\mathbf{c m}$ ) (E-W and N-S), days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days), stem girth (cm), stem length (cm), leaf area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) and LAI (Index \%) was recorded best with $\mathbf{T}_{19}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{1 0 \%}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}: \mathbf{2 . 0} \mathbf{~ m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ and $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{1 0 \%}+\mathrm{D}_{5} 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$. It is concluded from the results obtained in research findings that $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ and $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ pruning intensity and planting density $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathbf{6 . 0} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{6 . 0} \mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathbf{2 . 0} \mathbf{m}$ $\times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ proved as best in terms of growth parameters of Allahabad Surkha Guava in Prayagraj AgroClimatic region.
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## INTRODUCTION

According to Singh et al. (2007), the guava (Psidium guajava L.) is a significant fruit crop in India and is known as the "Apple of the Tropics" or the "Poverty Man's Fruit". Both fresh consumption and processing are done using guava fruits. Due to their astringency, the roots, bark, leaves, and young fruits are frequently used to treat diarrhoea, dysentery, and gastroenteritis. According to Yadav (1990), the guava belongs to the family Myrtaceae, genus Psidium, which has about 153 species of plants, 20 of which produce edible fruits. The common guava, Psidium guajava, has so far been commercially and economically used. The continuing decline in the availability of cultivable land, rising energy, taxes, production cost and land cost together with the mounting demand of horticultural produce, have given thrust to the concept of high density planting of horticultural crops. It is an intensive form of
horticulture production which has high relevance to the nutritional security of our ever-increasing population. In general, guava is cultivated mainly through a traditional system, under which it is difficult to achieve desired levels of production because large trees provide low production per unit area and needs high labour inputs (Araujo et al., 1999; Reddy et al., 1999; Singh et al., 2003). High density planting in guava has been achieved through closer spacing. Under high density planting system where fruiting starts from first year, a precise level of pruning is required to make the balance between the vegetative and reproductive growth. Factors like improper training method adoption, competition for natural resources i.e., space, water, sun light and nutrients etc., influencing the productivity and quality of fruits in high density orchards of guava. However, appropriate canopy management or training method strongly mitigates the overall orchard yield reductions in plants adopted with high density planting
system Mishra and Goswami (2016). Therefore, pruning could prove to be the most effective method for eliminating rainy season crop and production of winter season guava which is superior in quality free from diseases and fetches high price as compared to rainy season crop Prakash et al. (2012). Pruning is defined as the art and science of cutting away a portion of the plant to improve the shape, vegetative growth, flowering, fruitfulness and to improve the quality of the product. It also leads to rejuvenation, better ventilation and higher penetration of sunlight and also become feasible in application of plant protection chemicals. Several workers have reported an increased yield, fruit size and qualitative attributes of guava as a result of pruning at different time intervals thus improvement is attributed to better light penetration into fruit bearing portions of the tree canopy. The results of investigation revealed that with the increase in severity of pruning, there was significant increase in shoot length Singh and Grover (2020). Pruning technique is used to minimize the disease and insect pest attack, mostly fruit fly infestation. Pruning is very important horticultural operation leads to regulate the crop with season. It increases the yield and quality of fruit it evades the flowering and fruiting of crop and gives the better canopy structure Choudhary et al. (2022).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment entitled "Influence of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha under open environment condition" was carried out at Horticulture Research Farm, Central Orchard, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, U.P., India during rainy and winter season of 2020-2021. The level of pruning intensities and planting density which is applied in this study is based on shoot pruning of lateral shoots,heading back, be-heading, de-heading pruning practices in guava plant. The various levels of pruning severity in this experiment was carried out at 1 year old wedge grafted plants of guava. A one year old wedge grafted guava plants which is (approx. 150 no. of plants) were purchased from fruit nursery block, Horticulture Experiment \& Training Centre, Khusrobagh, Prayagraj. The total no. of plants incorporated during research trail is about 105 plants whereas the rest of extra plants were used for gap filling and re-planting as per requirement and need. The research experiment is based under two way factorial randomized block design, it consist spacing factor on different levels of density wise treatments viz., $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ : 2.0 $\mathrm{m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}:$ $2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m} \& \mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ whereas the pruning factor consists different levels of pruning severity viz., $50 \%, 30 \%$ and $10 \%$. The experiment was laid out in $\{$ FRBD $\}$ with 20 treatments and each was replicated
thrice. Data was recorded on vegetative parameters viz., plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, no. of branches per plant, plant spread (cm) (E-W and N-S), days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days), stem girth $(\mathrm{cm})$, stem length $(\mathrm{cm})$, leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ and LAI (Index \%). The observed data was statistically analyzed with Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) by Steel and Torrie (1960). The standard error of mean (S.Em.) and Critical difference (CD) at $5 \%$ level of probability were worked out and presented in respective tables for interpretation of the results.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to plant height ( cm ) of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 1). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum plant height was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10(\%)(177.34 \& 192.18)$ which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. The data pertaining to number of leaves per plant of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 2). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum number of leaves per plant was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ : $10(\%)(113.49 \& 208.82)$ which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. The data pertaining to number of branches per plant of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 3). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum number of branches per plant was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10(\%)$ (14.04 \& 26.69) which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. The data pertaining to plant spread of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 4 \& 5). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum plant spread was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ : 10(\%) (81.50 \& 100.12 cm E-W) and ( 73.96 \& $1002.58 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{N-S}$ ) which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. Data pertaining to vegetative growth parameter of guava cv. Allahabad Surkha under different levels of plant density viz., $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times\right.$ $\left.3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ has been given in (Table 1-5). The effect of different levels of planting density had a significant influence on the increase in vegetative growth parameters of Guava cv. Allahabad Surkha.
The annual increase in plant growth was recorded minimum in closed spacing due to less light penetration into foliage and restricted apical growth, ultimately reducing plant growth and vigour. While working in different plant spacing of guava crop, similar reports have also been reported Lal et al. (2007); Pilania et al. (2010); Pratibha et al. (2013); Pal and Lal (2015). The shoot pruning severity overcomes apical dominance thus, increasing the activity of cytokinine which helps to promote growth in terms of stem diameter. In other hand, the stored carbohydrates in plants and available nutrients in soil have been utilized by plants, which
15(2): 420-430(2023)
might have been used for further growth of pruned shoots Marini (2014). Therefore, the severely pruned plants showed minimum annual increase in plant growth.
The maximum increase plant height ( cm ) at 120 DAT (154.73 \& 149.20), number of leaves per plant at 120 DAT (103.89 \& 182.74), No. of branches per plant (12.87 \& 24.51), plant spread (E-W) (66.70 \& 82.66) and plant spread (N-S) (69.22 \& and 85.18) was recorded in treatment $\mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m} \& \mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 6.0 m during rainy and winter season. As far interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant. The maximum plant height (195.08 \& 212.25), number of leaves per plant (119.11 \& 227.32) \& number of branches per plant ( $15.12 \& 29.1$ ) was found in $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%+\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ during rainy and winter season of research experiment. The interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on plant spread (E-S \& N-S). The maximum plant spread (83.28 \& 111.10 cm E-S \& $85.72 \& 113.54 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{N-S}$ ) was found in $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%+\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ during rainy and winter season of research experiment. In the present investigation, it was observed that early pruning result in more plant growth vice versa late pruning decrease the shoot growth. Similar findings were reported by Basu et al. (2007); Singh et al. (2001); Singh et al. (2001) further concluded that pruning might shift the allocation of metabolites from rainy season crop in favour of increased vegetative growth due to flower and fruit let removal as a result of pruning. Thus, the vegetative growth of guava seems to respond to variation in month of pruning operation. With an increase in severity of pruning the increase in plant height was less. Kumar and Rattanpal (2010) stated that this may be due to the fact that pruned trees were unable to make up the loss of growth caused by severe pruning in this short period. Similar views were reported by Mahesh et al. (2016); Kohli et al. (2017) in guava. The data pertaining days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days) of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 6). After perusal of the results it is clear stated that the maximum days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days) was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ : 10(\%) (32.99 \& 35.18) which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. It is clearly showed that the minimum days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days) was recorded in $\mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $4.0 \mathrm{~m}(30.51 \& 33.53)$ highly significant. The interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days). The maximum days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (24.41 \& 26.44 Days) was found in $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%\right.$ $\left.+\mathrm{D}_{4} 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ during rainy and winter season of
research experiment. New shoots emerged by early pruning. This may be due to removal of apical dominance. Similar finding were reported by Basu et al. (2007) who stated that the increase in severity of pruning encouraged early vegetative bud emergences. The result of the present finding is similar to the findings of Bhagawati et al. (2015) where they stated that in severe pruning, more nutrients available to vegetative bud and also may be due to more light interception that induces early sprouting of vegetative buds. The data pertaining to stem girth (cm) of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 6). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum Stem girth (cm) was found in $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30(\%) \&$ $P_{3}: 10(\%)(2.66 \& 5.86)$ which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. Further, it was noticed that the maximum stem girth ( 2.69 \& 6.03 cm ) was found in $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ : $2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ which is highly significant. The interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on stem girth (cm). The maximum stem girth ( $3.10 \& 6.81$ ) was found in $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%+\mathrm{D}_{4} 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ during rainy and winter season of research experiment. The data pertaining to stem length of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 6). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum stem length was found in $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10(\%)(29.47 \& 34.62)$ which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. Further, it was noticed that the maximum Stem length in $(28.41 \mathrm{~cm}$ \& 33.66) was found in $\mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ which is highly significant. The interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on stem length (cm). The maximum stem length ( $31.24 \& 37.05$ ) was found in $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%+\mathrm{D}_{4} 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ and $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right.$ $30 \%+\mathrm{D}_{4} 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ ) during rainy and winter season of research experiment. The results revealed that the shoot length increased upto May pruning and later decrease with delay in pruning time. However, with the increase in severity of pruning the shoot length increased. This may be attributed due to relatively less number of shoots and availability of more nutrients per shoots Bhagawati et al. (2015). The data pertaining to Leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ of guava under different dates of pruning has been given in (Table 6). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum Leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ was found in $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30 \% \& \mathrm{P}_{3}: 10 \%$ ( $84.47 \& 79.44$ ) which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. Further, it was noticed that the maximum leaf area ( $90.11 \& 85.28 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) was found in $\mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ which is highly significant. Interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on Leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$. The maximum Leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)(95.51 \& 91.73)$ was found in $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%+\mathrm{D}_{4} 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ during rainy and
winter season of research experiment. The data pertaining to LAI (Index \%) of guava under different levels of pruning has been given in (Table 6). After perusal of the results it is clear that the maximum LAI (Index \%) was found in $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50 \%(3.78 \& 5.28)$ which is higher significantly to other treatments during rainy and winter season of research experiment. Whereas the maximum LAI ( 5.44 and 6.81 index \%) was found in $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ during rainy and winter season. Interaction effect between different levels of planting density and pruning intensities was also significant on LAI (Index \%). The maximum LAI (Index \%) (5.72 \& 7.05) was found in $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} 10 \%+\mathrm{D}_{5} 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}\right)$ during rainy and winter season of research experiment. Proper control of vegetative growth is a prerequisite for high-density planting and without it there is
overcrowding and shading, which reduces flower-bud formation, fruit retention, fruit size and fruit colour. Control of apical growth must begin within the first year of planting and continue each year in high density planting (HDP). Since guava is highly responsive to pruning, topping and hedging in different periodicity. Pruning removes carbon starved, fruiting exhausted shoots and promotes new leaf growth to build up carbohydrates reserves for the next flowering and allows the sprouting of lateral buds, which ultimately influence the plant height, plant spread, plant volume and other vegetative characters of plants. This is in accordance with the findings of Dhaliwal et al. (2000); Kumar and Rattanpal (2010); Sah et al. (2015) in guava.

Table 1: Effect of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha during winter and rainy season under open based environment condition.

|  | Rainy Season |  |  | Winter Season |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial plant height (cm) | Plant height (cm) after pruning | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase plant } \\ \text { height (cm) at } 120 \\ \text { DAT } \end{gathered}$ | Initial plant height (cm) | Plant height (cm) after pruning | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase plant } \\ \text { height }(\mathrm{cm}) \text { at } 120 \\ \text { DAT } \end{gathered}$ |
| Pruning intensity: $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 00.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{1}: 50.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2}: 30.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 0.00$ | 45.73 | 45.73 | 100.05 | 100.05 | 100.05 | 118.71 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50(\%)$ | 88.27 | 44.13 | 138.02 | 138.02 | 69.01 | 98.65 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30(\%)$ | 89.43 | 62.60 | 159.45 | 159.45 | 111.61 | 142.79 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10(\%)$ | 91.52 | 82.37 | 177.34 | 177.34 | 159.60 | 192.18 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. ( $\pm$ ) | - | - | 0.768 | - | - | 0.718 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.543 | - | - | 0.508 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.561 | - | - | 1.459 |
| Plant Density $\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 77.90 | 58.12 | 142.15 | 142.15 | 109.32 | 136.10 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 77.23 | 57.58 | 141.75 | 141.75 | 108.58 | 135.34 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 81.55 | 60.78 | 145.08 | 145.08 | 111.46 | 139.01 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 88.90 | 65.87 | 154.73 | 154.73 | 117.94 | 149.20 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 68.11 | 51.20 | 134.86 | 134.86 | 103.05 | 130.78 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. ( $\pm$ ) | - | - | 0.859 | - | - | 0.802 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.607 | - | - | 0.567 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.745 | - | - | 1.631 |
| Interaction : Pruning intensity $\times$ Planting density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 49.55 | 49.55 | 106.65 | 106.65 | 106.65 | 125.15 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0+} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 48.05 | 48.05 | 102.32 | 102.32 | 102.32 | 122.49 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 44.75 | 44.75 | 102.84 | 102.84 | 102.84 | 120.75 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 43.97 | 43.97 | 98.07 | 98.07 | 98.07 | 116.34 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 42.32 | 42.32 | 90.36 | 90.36 | 90.36 | 108.84 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 89.61 | 44.80 | 134.77 | 134.77 | 67.38 | 95.61 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1+} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 88.18 | 44.09 | 136.87 | 136.87 | 68.44 | 97.39 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 90.03 | 45.02 | 136.54 | 136.54 | 68.27 | 96.97 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 100.78 | 50.39 | 149.62 | 149.62 | 74.81 | 108.53 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 72.74 | 36.37 | 132.31 | 132.31 | 66.16 | 94.73 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 85.49 | 59.85 | 155.99 | 155.99 | 109.19 | 138.26 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 86.24 | 60.37 | 157.39 | 157.39 | 110.17 | 139.45 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 94.68 | 66.28 | 160.57 | 160.57 | 112.40 | 142.86 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 103.11 | 72.17 | 176.16 | 176.16 | 123.32 | 159.69 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 77.61 | 54.32 | 147.13 | 147.13 | 102.99 | 133.69 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 86.95 | 78.26 | 171.18 | 171.18 | 154.06 | 185.36 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{17}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 86.44 | 77.80 | 170.43 | 170.43 | 153.38 | 182.01 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 96.74 | 87.07 | 180.35 | 180.35 | 162.31 | 195.44 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 107.73 | 96.95 | 195.08 | 195.08 | 175.57 | 212.25 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 79.75 | 71.78 | 169.65 | 169.65 | 152.68 | 185.84 |
| F-Test |  |  | S |  |  | S |
| S.Ed. (+) |  |  | 1.71 |  |  | 1.26 |
| C.D.at 0.5\% |  |  | 3.48 |  |  | 3.26 |

Table 2: Effect of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha during winter and rainy season under open based environment condition.

|  | Rainy Season |  |  | Winter Season |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial number of leaves per plant | number of leaves per plant after pruning | Increase number of leaves per plant at 120 DAT | Initial number of leaves per plant | number of leaves per plant after pruning | Increase number of leaves per plant at 120 DAT |
| Pruning intensity: $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 00.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{1}: 50.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2}: 30.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 0.00$ | 24.34 | 24.34 | 85.51 | 85.51 | 85.51 | 155.51 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50(\%)$ | 43.94 | 21.97 | 96.06 | 96.06 | 48.03 | 143.44 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30$ (\%) | 43.60 | 30.52 | 107.36 | 107.36 | 75.16 | 177.12 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10$ (\%) | 44.56 | 40.10 | 113.49 | 113.49 | 102.14 | 208.82 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. ( $\pm$ ) | - | - | 0.735 | - | - | 0.650 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.520 | - | - | 0.460 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.494 | - | - | 1.321 |
| Plant Density $\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 37.41 | 28.55 | 98.61 | 98.61 | 76.57 | 163.67 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 37.68 | 28.31 | 99.23 | 99.23 | 77.14 | 167.81 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 40.88 | 30.58 | 102.84 | 102.84 | 79.44 | 169.86 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 44.36 | 32.87 | 103.89 | 103.89 | 79.95 | 182.74 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 35.21 | 25.86 | 98.47 | 98.47 | 75.46 | 172.03 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. $\pm$ ) | - | - | 0.822 | - | - | 0.727 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.581 | - | - | 0.514 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.670 | - | - | 1.477 |
| Interaction: Pruning intensity $\times$ Plant Density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 28.17 | 28.17 | 86.64 | 86.64 | 86.64 | 157.38 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0+} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 27.28 | 27.28 | 91.24 | 91.24 | 91.24 | 162.00 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 24.53 | 24.53 | 86.53 | 86.53 | 86.53 | 156.61 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 22.37 | 22.37 | 82.97 | 82.97 | 82.97 | 148.78 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 19.35 | 19.35 | 80.15 | 80.15 | 80.15 | 152.78 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 38.70 | 19.37 | 91.34 | 91.34 | 45.67 | 127.86 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1+} \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 43.07 | 21.54 | 91.86 | 91.86 | 45.93 | 137.76 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 45.25 | 22.62 | 99.47 | 99.47 | 49.74 | 143.28 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 50.61 | 25.31 | 99.05 | 99.05 | 49.52 | 158.59 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 42.07 | 21.03 | 98.58 | 98.58 | 49.29 | 149.70 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 39.14 | 27.40 | 104.24 | 104.24 | 72.97 | 168.56 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 39.66 | 27.76 | 105.27 | 105.27 | 73.69 | 171.83 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 45.88 | 32.12 | 106.69 | 106.69 | 74.68 | 169.48 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 51.11 | 35.77 | 114.41 | 114.41 | 80.09 | 196.27 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 42.20 | 29.54 | 106.21 | 106.21 | 74.35 | 179.48 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 43.64 | 39.27 | 112.22 | 112.22 | 101.00 | 200.89 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{17}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 40.72 | 36.65 | 108.54 | 108.54 | 97.68 | 199.65 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 47.84 | 43.05 | 118.66 | 118.66 | 106.80 | 210.05 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 53.36 | 48.03 | 119.11 | 119.11 | 107.20 | 227.32 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 37.22 | 33.50 | 108.93 | 108.93 | 98.03 | 206.17 |
| F-Test |  |  | S |  |  | S |
| S.Ed. (+) |  |  | 1.64 |  |  | 1.45 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% |  |  | 3.34 |  |  | 2.95 |

Table 3: Effect of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha during winter and rainy season under open based environment condition.

|  | Rainy Season |  |  | Winter Season |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial No. of branches per plant | No. of branches per plant after pruning | Increase No. of branches per plant | Initial No. of branches per plant | No. of branches per plant after pruning | Increase No. of branches per plant |
| Pruning intensity: $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 00.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{1}: 50.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2}: 30.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 0.00$ | 1.57 | 1.57 | 6.72 | 6.72 | 6.72 | 14.73 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50(\%)$ | 2.64 | 1.82 | 13.66 | 13.65 | 9.05 | 25.09 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30(\%)$ | 2.71 | 1.87 | 13.85 | 13.85 | 8.53 | 25.43 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10(\%)$ | 2.70 | 1.83 | 14.04 | 14.04 | 8.81 | 26.69 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. $\pm$ ) | - | - | 0.173 | - | - | 0.420 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.122 | - | - | 0.297 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 0.352 | - | - | 0.853 |
| Plant Density $\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 2.24 | 1.67 | 11.69 | 11.69 | 7.67 | 23.07 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 2.22 | 1.69 | 11.86 | 11.86 | 7.75 | 23.09 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 2.65 | 1.96 | 12.46 | 12.46 | 8.78 | 23.09 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 2.91 | 2.14 | 12.87 | 12.87 | 10.01 | 24.51 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 2.01 | 1.41 | 11.47 | 11.46 | 7.18 | 21.18 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. ( $\pm$ ) | - | - | 0.193 | - | - | 0.469 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.137 | - | - | 0.332 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 0.393 | - | - | 0.953 |
| Interaction : Pruning intensity $\times$ Planting density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 1.91 | 1.91 | 6.99 | 6.99 | 6.99 | 18.75 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 1.79 | 1.79 | 6.95 | 6.95 | 6.95 | 16.41 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 1.62 | 1.62 | 6.98 | 6.98 | 6.98 | 14.97 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 1.45 | 1.45 | 6.52 | 6.52 | 6.52 | 13.09 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 1.06 | 1.06 | 6.17 | 6.17 | 6.17 | 10.45 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 2.40 | 1.75 | 12.34 | 12.34 | 8.90 | 23.71 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 2.33 | 1.64 | 14.07 | 14.07 | 9.29 | 26.54 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 2.89 | 1.97 | 14.11 | 14.11 | 9.44 | 24.63 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 3.17 | 2.21 | 14.84 | 14.84 | 9.86 | 26.84 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 2.41 | 1.52 | 12.95 | 12.91 | 7.78 | 23.73 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 2.34 | 1.43 | 13.13 | 13.13 | 7.39 | 24.06 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 2.42 | 1.85 | 13.29 | 13.29 | 7.43 | 23.67 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 3.02 | 2.08 | 14.59 | 14.59 | 9.30 | 26.10 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 3.39 | 2.37 | 14.98 | 14.98 | 11.11 | 28.94 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 2.40 | 1.62 | 13.28 | 13.28 | 7.40 | 24.38 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 2.30 | 1.57 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 7.40 | 25.75 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{17}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 2.34 | 1.47 | 13.14 | 13.14 | 7.33 | 25.72 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 3.08 | 2.16 | 14.15 | 14.15 | 9.41 | 26.66 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 3.64 | 2.51 | 15.12 | 15.12 | 12.56 | 29.17 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 2.15 | 1.44 | 13.48 | 13.48 | 7.37 | 26.16 |
| F-Test |  |  | S |  |  | S |
| S.Ed. (+) |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.93 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | 1.90 |

Table 4: Effect of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha during winter and rainy season under open based environment condition.

|  | Rainy Season |  |  | Winter Season |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial plant spread (cm) (East to west) | Plant spread (cm) (East to west) after pruning | Increase plant spread (cm) (East to west) | Initial plant spread (cm) (East to west) | Plant spread (cm) (East to west) after pruning | Increase plant spread (cm) (East to west) |
| Pruning intensity: $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 00.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{1}: 50.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2}: 30.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 0.00$ | 20.67 | 20.67 | 44.22 | 44.22 | 44.22 | 59.53 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50(\%)$ | 18.47 | 8.57 | 51.79 | 51.79 | 25.90 | 58.66 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30(\%)$ | 19.35 | 10.83 | 61.68 | 61.68 | 43.18 | 77.08 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10$ (\%) | 19.82 | 16.99 | 71.50 | 71.50 | 64.35 | 100.12 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. (+) | - | - | 0.637 | - | - | 0.519 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.450 | - | - | 0.367 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.294 | - | - | 1.054 |
| Plant Density $\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 15.36 | 10.85 | 46.98 | 46.98 | 36.36 | 64.18 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 18.76 | 13.57 | 48.88 | 48.88 | 37.85 | 66.58 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 20.46 | 14.61 | 60.70 | 60.70 | 47.00 | 76.62 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 18.95 | 14.49 | 63.24 | 63.24 | 49.12 | 79.19 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 24.37 | 17.81 | 66.70 | 66.70 | 51.74 | 82.66 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. (+) | - | - | 0.712 | - | - | 0.580 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.503 | - | - | 0.410 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.446 | - | - | 1.178 |
| Interaction : Pruning intensity $\times$ Planting density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 13.33 | 13.33 | 35.07 | 35.07 | 35.07 | 47.59 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 21.11 | 21.11 | 36.84 | 36.84 | 36.84 | 50.36 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 22.40 | 22.40 | 47.17 | 47.17 | 47.17 | 63.72 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 23.06 | 23.06 | 49.86 | 49.86 | 49.86 | 65.38 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 23.47 | 23.47 | 52.17 | 52.17 | 52.17 | 70.58 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 15.83 | 7.57 | 41.66 | 41.66 | 20.83 | 52.98 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 15.83 | 7.94 | 44.43 | 44.43 | 22.21 | 54.84 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 19.52 | 7.56 | 55.76 | 55.76 | 27.88 | 61.13 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 17.22 | 8.67 | 56.51 | 56.51 | 28.25 | 61.39 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 23.97 | 11.10 | 60.61 | 60.61 | 30.31 | 62.94 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 15.44 | 8.61 | 52.71 | 52.71 | 36.89 | 69.00 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 17.37 | 7.41 | 52.44 | 52.44 | 36.71 | 70.34 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 19.53 | 11.36 | 64.69 | 64.69 | 45.29 | 77.43 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 19.45 | 11.83 | 67.85 | 67.85 | 47.50 | 82.62 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 24.96 | 14.96 | 70.72 | 70.72 | 49.50 | 86.02 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 16.83 | 13.89 | 58.47 | 58.47 | 52.63 | 87.15 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{17}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 20.72 | 17.80 | 61.82 | 61.82 | 55.64 | 90.79 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 20.40 | 17.11 | 75.19 | 75.19 | 67.67 | 104.19 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 16.06 | 14.41 | 78.74 | 78.74 | 70.86 | 107.38 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 25.08 | 21.72 | 83.28 | 83.28 | 74.96 | 111.10 |
| F-Test |  |  | S |  |  | S |
| S.Ed. (+) |  |  | 1.42 |  |  | 1.16 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% |  |  | 2.89 |  |  | 2.35 |

Table 5: Effect of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha during winter and rainy season under open based environment condition.

|  | Rainy Season |  |  | Winter Season |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial plant spread (cm) (North to South) | Plant spread (cm) (North to South) after pruning | Increase Plant spread (cm) (North to South) | Initial plant spread (cm) (North to South) | Plant spread (cm) (North to South) after pruning | Increase Plant spread (cm) (North to South) |
| Pruning intensity: $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 00.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{1}: 50.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2}: 30.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 0.00$ | 23.08 | 23.08 | 46.63 | 46.63 | 46.63 | 61.94 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50(\%)$ | 21.14 | 11.24 | 54.46 | 54.46 | 28.56 | 61.32 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30(\%)$ | 21.71 | 13.20 | 64.05 | 64.05 | 45.54 | 79.45 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10$ (\%) | 22.28 | 19.45 | 73.96 | 73.96 | 66.81 | 102.58 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. (+) | - | - | 0.637 | - | - | 0.483 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.450 | - | - | 0.341 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.294 | - | - | 0.981 |
| Plant Density $\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 17.83 | 13.32 | 49.45 | 49.45 | 38.82 | 66.65 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 21.28 | 16.08 | 51.40 | 51.40 | 40.37 | 69.10 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 22.88 | 17.03 | 63.12 | 63.12 | 49.42 | 79.04 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 21.40 | 16.95 | 65.69 | 65.69 | 51.57 | 81.65 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 26.89 | 20.34 | 69.22 | 69.22 | 54.26 | 85.18 |
| F-Test | - | - | S | - | - | S |
| S.Ed. (+) | - | - | 0.712 | - | - | 0.540 |
| S.Em | - | - | 0.503 | - | - | 0.832 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | - | - | 1.446 | - | - | 1.097 |
| Interaction : Pruning intensity $\times$ Planting density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 15.79 | 15.79 | 37.53 | 37.53 | 37.53 | 50.05 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 23.73 | 23.73 | 39.46 | 39.46 | 39.46 | 52.98 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 24.54 | 24.54 | 49.31 | 49.31 | 49.31 | 65.86 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 25.28 | 25.28 | 52.08 | 52.08 | 52.08 | 67.60 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 26.08 | 26.08 | 54.78 | 54.78 | 54.78 | 73.19 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 18.81 | 10.55 | 44.64 | 44.64 | 23.81 | 55.96 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 18.28 | 10.39 | 46.88 | 46.88 | 24.66 | 57.29 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 22.44 | 10.48 | 58.68 | 58.68 | 30.80 | 64.05 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 19.69 | 11.14 | 58.98 | 58.98 | 30.72 | 63.86 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 26.49 | 13.62 | 63.13 | 63.13 | 32.83 | 65.46 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 17.76 | 10.93 | 55.03 | 55.03 | 39.21 | 71.32 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 19.74 | 9.78 | 54.81 | 54.81 | 39.08 | 72.71 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 21.68 | 13.51 | 66.84 | 66.84 | 47.44 | 79.58 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 21.91 | 14.29 | 70.31 | 70.31 | 49.96 | 85.08 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 27.48 | 17.48 | 73.24 | 73.24 | 52.02 | 88.54 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 18.94 | 16.00 | 60.58 | 60.58 | 54.74 | 89.26 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{17}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 23.35 | 20.43 | 64.45 | 64.45 | 58.27 | 93.42 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 22.87 | 19.58 | 77.66 | 77.66 | 70.14 | 106.66 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 18.72 | 17.07 | 81.40 | 81.40 | 73.52 | 110.04 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 27.52 | 24.16 | 85.72 | 85.72 | 77.40 | 113.54 |
| F-Test |  |  | S |  |  | S |
| S.Ed. (+) |  |  | 1.42 |  |  | 1.08 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% |  |  | 2.89 |  |  | 2.19 |

Table 6: Effect of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha during winter and rainy season under open based environment condition.

|  | Days to $1^{\text {st }}$emergence of newshoots per plant (Days) |  | Stem girth (cm) |  | Stem length in (cm) |  | Leaf area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAI } \\ \text { (Index \%) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rainy | Winter | Rainy | Winter | Rainy | Winter | Rainy | Winter | Rainy | Winter |
| Pruning intensity: $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 00.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{1}: 50.0 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2}: 30.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}: 0.00$ | 43.26 | 46.12 | 2.08 | 4.46 | 20.26 | 25.07 | 79.52 | 72.40 | 3.32 | 4.62 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}: 50(\%)$ | 34.42 | 37.29 | 2.41 | 5.66 | 25.45 | 30.29 | 84.01 | 72.86 | 3.78 | 5.28 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}: 30(\%)$ | 33.70 | 36.10 | 2.66 | 5.78 | 26.01 | 31.10 | 84.47 | 78.52 | 3.70 | 4.94 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}: 10$ (\%) | 32.99 | 35.18 | 2.62 | 5.86 | 29.47 | 34.62 | 83.97 | 79.44 | 3.65 | 4.87 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | NS | S | S |
| S.Ed. ( $\pm$ ) | 0.389 | 0.220 | 0.034 | 0.066 | 0.308 | 0.313 | 1.452 | 3.689 | 0.099 | 0.113 |
| S.Em | 0.275 | 0.156 | 0.024 | 0.047 | 0.218 | 0.221 | 1.027 | 2.608 | 0.070 | 0.080 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | 0.791 | 0.447 | 0.069 | 0.134 | 0.626 | 0.637 | 2.950 | - | 0.201 | 0.229 |
| Plant Density $\mathrm{D}_{1}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{2}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{3}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{D}_{4}: 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}: 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 38.55 | 40.03 | 2.30 | 5.30 | 22.55 | 27.05 | 78.39 | 72.15 | 2.32 | 3.62 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 38.09 | 40.64 | 2.34 | 5.23 | 23.13 | 28.03 | 79.65 | 67.56 | 2.94 | 4.19 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 33.99 | 37.71 | 2.59 | 5.64 | 28.33 | 33.66 | 86.38 | 80.90 | 3.13 | 4.53 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 30.51 | 33.53 | 2.69 | 6.03 | 28.41 | 33.47 | 90.11 | 85.28 | 4.23 | 5.49 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 39.34 | 41.46 | 2.30 | 5.01 | 24.08 | 29.16 | 80.45 | 73.14 | 5.44 | 6.81 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S.Ed. ( $\pm$ ) | 0.435 | 0.246 | 0.038 | 0.074 | 0.344 | 0.350 | 1.623 | 3.689 | 0.111 | 0.126 |
| S.Em | 0.308 | 0.174 | 0.027 | 0.052 | 0.244 | 0.248 | 1.148 | 2.608 | 0.078 | 0.089 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | 0.885 | 0.500 | 0.077 | 0.149 | 0.700 | 0.712 | 3.299 | 7.496 | 0.225 | 0.257 |
| Interaction : Pruning intensity $\times$ Planting density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 40.91 | 42.94 | 2.13 | 4.70 | 19.57 | 23.82 | 76.79 | 72.02 | 2.27 | 3.49 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 41.32 | 44.44 | 2.17 | 4.59 | 20.24 | 24.83 | 78.33 | 70.68 | 2.90 | 4.12 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 42.94 | 46.06 | 2.00 | 4.40 | 22.97 | 27.62 | 81.50 | 73.95 | 3.10 | 4.43 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 44.60 | 48.14 | 2.03 | 4.49 | 20.25 | 25.30 | 81.05 | 74.05 | 3.20 | 4.44 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 46.54 | 49.04 | 2.08 | 4.13 | 18.28 | 23.80 | 79.91 | 71.32 | 5.11 | 6.63 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 38.96 | 41.52 | 2.06 | 5.44 | 22.48 | 26.36 | 80.17 | 69.93 | 2.34 | 3.78 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 37.68 | 39.47 | 2.09 | 5.46 | 21.21 | 25.15 | 79.89 | 51.80 | 3.31 | 4.75 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 33.01 | 36.83 | 2.70 | 5.90 | 31.18 | 37.33 | 88.37 | 81.68 | 3.43 | 5.25 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 27.13 | 30.73 | 2.79 | 6.31 | 31.16 | 35.91 | 91.45 | 86.15 | 4.55 | 5.91 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 35.33 | 37.91 | 2.41 | 5.20 | 21.20 | 26.69 | 80.19 | 74.72 | 5.27 | 6.71 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 37.40 | 37.01 | 2.49 | 5.40 | 20.46 | 25.06 | 79.48 | 73.10 | 2.31 | 3.62 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 36.29 | 39.26 | 2.56 | 5.40 | 22.16 | 27.10 | 81.77 | 74.40 | 2.68 | 3.88 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 30.86 | 34.94 | 2.82 | 6.08 | 29.14 | 34.47 | 86.94 | 83.59 | 3.48 | 4.72 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{14}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 25.91 | 28.80 | 2.85 | 6.52 | 30.97 | 37.05 | 92.41 | 89.19 | 4.38 | 5.61 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{15}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 38.06 | 40.50 | 2.57 | 5.52 | 27.33 | 31.83 | 81.73 | 72.31 | 5.65 | 6.86 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{16}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ | 36.92 | 38.66 | 2.52 | 5.66 | 27.69 | 32.95 | 77.10 | 73.55 | 2.37 | 3.57 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{17}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ | 37.06 | 39.39 | 2.53 | 5.48 | 28.90 | 35.03 | 78.60 | 73.37 | 2.85 | 3.99 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ | 29.14 | 33.01 | 2.84 | 6.17 | 30.02 | 35.23 | 88.70 | 84.38 | 2.51 | 3.73 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{19}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ | 24.41 | 26.44 | 3.10 | 6.81 | 31.24 | 35.60 | 95.51 | 91.73 | 4.80 | 6.01 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{20}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$ | 37.42 | 38.38 | 2.12 | 5.20 | 29.52 | 34.30 | 79.96 | 74.19 | 5.72 | 7.05 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | NS | NS | S | S |
| S.Ed. (+) | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.014 | 0.68 | 0.700 | 3.24 | 7.34 | 0.22 | 0.25 |
| C.D. at 0.5\% | 1.76 | 0.99 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 1.40 | 1.42 | - | - | 0.45 | 0.51 |

## CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded from the results obtained in research findings that 10 and $30 \%$ pruning intensity and planting density i.e. $\mathrm{D}_{5} 6.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4} 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ was found to be most effective to improve growth parameters like plant height $(\mathrm{cm})$, number of leaves per plant, no. of branches per plant, plant spread (cm) (E-W and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ ), days to $1^{\text {st }}$ emergence of new shoots per plant (in days), stem girth (cm), stem length (cm), leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ and LAI (Index \%) of Guava cv. Allahabad Surkha.

## FUTURE SCOPE

The experiment entitled "Influence of different levels of plant density and pruning intensity on vegetative growth of newly planted Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Surkha under open environment condition" was conducted under open environment of Pryagraj Agro Climatic conditions hence, it may be repeated to confirm the findings of the present investigation for considerable effect on vegetative growth parameter and canopy management for the awareness and skilled scientific knowledge about balance between vegetative growth phase and reproductive development phase which may help to maintain canopy, plant structure, light interception, shoot growth, to have less wood and moreover increase in plant nutrient uptake for the efficiency and efficacy in terms of foliage development and more number of fruits on plant.
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