

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(6): 159-163(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Morphological Characterization of some *Colocasia* (*Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott) genotypes of North- East India

Ramdeen Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, S. Alam<sup>1</sup>, D.B. Phookan<sup>1</sup>, N.S. Barua<sup>2</sup>, Prakash Kalita<sup>3</sup> and Partha Jyoti Borah<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat (Assam), India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat (Assam), India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Crop Physiology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat (Assam), India.

(Corresponding author: Ramdeen Kumar\*)

(Received: 17 March 2023; Revised: 24 April 2023; Accepted: 02 May 2023; Published: 20 June 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: A morphological study was conducted in Assam state in 2017-18 to compare fifteen varieties of Taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott). The aim of this study was to provide plant taxonomists with valuable information that could assist in distinguishing and defining these varieties. The results revealed that the petiole length was highest (103.40 $\pm$ 68.40cm) in Moh-Khuti, whereas the least was in Ghoti-Kachu (56.80 $\pm$ 32.60cm). The plant girth ranged from 17.48cm (Moh-Khuti) to 13.28cm (Red Garo), while the number of leaves ranged from (4.67) Bor-Kachu to AAU-Col-32 (2.93). This research highlights the distinctive and distinguishing morphological features that can aid in the identification and description of various varieties of *C. esculenta*. Furthermore, it offers supplementary data that could potentially contribute to resolving the existing taxonomic debate surrounding colocasia. The outcomes of this study may ultimately facilitate the accurate delimitation of *C. esculenta*.

Keywords: Colocasia, Diagnostic characters, Morphological characters, Plant taxonomy.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Taro (Colocasia esculenta (L) Schott. var. antiquorum) known as eddoe type or Arvi belongs to the monocotyledonous family Araceae, whose member is known as aroid (Van Wyk, 2005). The knowledge of variability of Colocasia esculenta is limited. Morphological study on genotypes of taro becomes a necessity because morphological characters are the strongest tools used in taxonomic classification of plants and this makes its application very crucial (Ezeabara et al., 2015). Taro is an important tuber crop and is grown mostly as subsistence crop throughout the tropics and sub-tropics. It is believed that the origin of domesticated taro is from 'wild type' C. esculenta var. aquatilis, which is from North East India or South East Asia (Matthews, 1991). Taroholds significant importance as staple food worldwide and is considered the fourteenth most consumed vegetable (Rao et al., 2010). In India, it is cultivated extensively with major growing regions including Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Punjab, West Bengal, Assam, Uttarakhand, Orissa, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu (Misra and Chowdhury 1997). Assam being part of the biodiversity-rich North East India, exhibits no variability in colocasia varieties presenting opportunities for selection and evaluation. Hence, this study aimed to assess fifteen Colocasia genotypes based on crucial morphological characters. Colocasia plants relies on the similarities and differences among them primarily based on the characters they possess. By providing additional morphological information, this study contributes to resolving the ongoing controversy

in the taxonomy of *colocasia*. Consequently, it could potentially facilitate the delimitation of *C. esculenta* (Ezeabara *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, taro is recognized for its medicinal properties offering benefits against tuberculosis, ulcers and fungal infections (Singh *et al.*, 2012).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Site of genotypes collection.** The materials for the present investigation comprised of 15 genotypes of *Colocasia esculenta* var. *stolonifera* collected from different parts of North eastern regions of the country. The list of the materials and the sources from where they were collected are given in Table 1.

| Sr. No. | Genotype name | Place of collection |
|---------|---------------|---------------------|
| 1.      | Red Garo      | Tura                |
| 2.      | Mohkhuti      | Kokrajar            |
| 3.      | Koni Kachu    | Jorhat              |
| 4.      | Naga -2       | Mokokchung          |
| 5.      | Kaka-Kachu    | Jorhat              |
| 6.      | Ghoti-Kachu   | Jorhat              |
| 7.      | Ahina         | Jorhat              |
| 8.      | Domor Dima    | Dhupdhora           |
| 9.      | Arunchal-7    | Pasighat            |
| 10.     | Bor-Kachu     | Moran               |
| 11.     | AAU-Col-46    | Diphu               |
| 12.     | AAU-Col-32    | Diphu               |
| 13.     | Garo          | Tura                |
| 14.     | Karbianglong  | Diphu               |
| 15      | Boga Ahina    | Jorhat              |

Table 1: List of genotypes.

**Location.** The experimental site was situated at an elevation of 86.8 meters above mean sea level, positioned geographically at latitude  $26^{\circ}45$ 'N and longitude  $94^{\circ}12$ 'E.

**Details of the experiment.** The experiment consisted of fifteen different *colocasia* genotypes, which were treated as individual treatments. Each treatment was replicated three times, resulting in a total of three repetitions. The size of each individual plot was 2.4 meters by 2.25 meters with spacing of 60 centimeters by 45 centimeters between plants.

To ensure optimal growth and yield, all recommended cultivation practices were followed throughout the experiment. The field experiment was organized using Randomized Block Design, with the genotypes randomly assigned to the blocks. This design helps minimize the impact of any external factors that could influence the results.

# The detailed specifications of the experiment are provided below:

# Design and layout

| Location             | Experimental Farm, Department of<br>Horticulture,<br>AAU, Jorhat |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Variety              | 15   |  |  |
| Replication          | Three  |  |  |
| Design of Experiment | Randomized Block Design (RBD)                                    |  |  |
| Individual Plot Size | $2.4 \text{ m} \times 2.25 \text{ m}$                            |  |  |
| Spacing              | $60 \text{ cm} \times 45 \text{ cm}$                             |  |  |
| Number of Plot       | 45   |  |  |
| Time of planting     | March, 2017  |  |  |

Morphological study. The morphological characterization of the taro cultivars was conducted using the descriptors developed by IPGRI (International Plant Genetic Resources Institute) in 1999. The vegetative data of the plants were recorded at 30-day intervals starting from the time of planting. These descriptors provide standardized framework for assessing and documenting the morphological traits of the taro cultivars under study. The petiole length, plant girth, no of leaf and petiole colour, leaf colour measurements were done in the monthly interval. The measurements in the study were conducted on the meristem of the third fully opened active leaves and the petioles from their base. For petiole measurement, the starting point was the ligulae (the basal part of the leaf) up to the base of the leaf. The observations and measurements of plant parts were performed using an eye lens thread and ruler to ensure accuracy. Additionally, digital photographs of the overall habit of the plants and specific plant parts were taken using Sony DSC-W230 digital camera.

**Statistical analysis.** The collected data was analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Randomized Block Design, following method proposed by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The purpose of the ANOVA was to determine the sources of variation in the data and estimate the appropriate degrees of freedom for each factor.

The ANOVA model used in this analysis is as follows:

 $Yij = \mu + gi + vj + eij$ 

Where, Yij represents the observed value of the response variable for the ith genotype in the jth replication.

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  represents the overall mean,

gi represents the effect of the ith genotype,

vj represents the effect of the jth replication,

eij represents the random error term associated with the ith genotype in the jth replication.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Variation in plant habit. Significant differences were observed for all the plant growth characters among all the different taro genotype evaluated. Among all the cultivars of taro, petiole length at 120 DAP (Table 2) was recorded maximum in cultivar Moh-Khuti (127.13 cm) and it was on par with other cultivars viz., Naga -2 (115.27 cm), Bor-Kachu (98.93 cm) and Kaka- Kachu (95.9 cm). While, minimum petiole length was recorded in Arunchal-7 (56.07 cm) and significant differences were noticed. Among all the cultivars of taro, plant girth at 150 DAP (Table 3) was recorded maximum in cultivar Moh-Khuti (17.48cm) and it was on par with other cultivars viz., Bor-Kachu (17.17 cm), Kaka-Kachu (16.58cm) and AAU-col-46 (16.55). While, minimum plant girth was recorded in Red-Garo (13.21 cm) and significant differences were noticed among all the cultivars of taro. Number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> at 150 DAP (Table 4) were recorded in cultivar Bor-Kachu (4.7) and it was on par with other cultivars viz., Moh-Khuti (4.3), Ahina (4.0) and Kaka-Kachu (3.9). While, minimum number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in AAU-col-32 (2.9).

Colocasia is an important part in supplying substantial quantity of vegetable towards the daily need of the most people of eastern region of India. Among the growth attributes, greater petiole length and more number of leaves are preferable. The number of functional leaves at 30 days' interval differed significantly for the entire period of growth under each genotype. The number of functional leaves increased rapidly with different magnitude for different genotypes up to about 180 (DAP) days after planting which was observed Bor-Kachu followed by a gradual decline till maturity. This decline in number of leaves may be attributed to the diversion of the stored food materials for the production of cormel. Onwueme (1978) also reported that the shoot growth declines as result of reduction in leaf number, while studying the physiological factors controlling yield of tannia. Similar trend was reported by Sivam (1983).

Variation in leaf Characteristics. A variation among different taro genotypes based on leaf colour was observed. In this study different genotypes expressed (Table 5) green and dark green and light green leaf colour. MohKhuti, Ahina, Arunchal-7, Bor-Kachu, Boga-Ahina expressed light green colour. Koni-Kachu, Naga-2, Ghoti-Kachu, AAU-Col-46, expressed green colour. Red Garo, Garo, Karbi-Anglong, Kaka-Kachu, Domor-Dima, AAU-Col-32 expressed dark green colour. The variation observed in leaf colour among the taro cultivars can be attributed to multiple factors. One possible reason is the increased levels of chlorophyll A

Kumar et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(6): 159-163(2023)

and B, as mentioned in the work by Taiz and Zeiger (1991). These pigments are responsible for the green colouration in leaves and play crucial role in photosynthesis. Furthermore, the variation in leaf color can also be influenced by genetic factors. Leaf color is a trait that is genetically controlled and it serves as valuable characteristic for describing different genotypes, as highlighted in the research conducted by Lebot et al. (2010). Genetic variations in the expression of pigments and other related biochemical processes can lead to differences in leaf coloration among taro cultivars. In summary, the variation in leaf colour among taro cultivars can be attributed to both physiological factors, such as chlorophyll levels and genetic factors that control the expression of leaf colour.

**Variation in petiole Characteristics.** A variation among taro genotypes based on petiole was observed. In this study different genotypes expressed green and purple petiole colour. Red Garo, Ghoti-Kachu, Garo, Karbi-Anglong expressed purple colour. Whereas MohKhuti, Koni-Kachu, Naga-2, Kaka-Kachu Ahina, Domor-Dima. Arunchal-7, Bor-Kachu, AAU-Col-46, AAU-Col-32, Boga-Ahina genotypes expressed green petiole colour. The wide range of variation observed in various traits of *Colocasia esculenta* indicates the importance of conservation and research efforts for taro (Beyene, 2013, Vinutha *et al.*, 2015). It highlights the need for careful consideration and attention to preserving the diverse genetic resources of taro in the country.

| Table 2: Petiole length (cm) at different days after planting. |  |  |          |  |     |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------|--|-----|--|--|
|  |  |  | 100 0 10 |  | 10/ |  |  |

| Genotype      | <b>30 DAP</b> | 60 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 150 DAP | 180 DAP | 210 DAP |
|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Red Garo      | 19.47         | 53.40  | 81.40  | 84.3    | 65.90   | 54.60   | 44.33   |
| Moh Khuti     | 33.00         | 78.00  | 97.07  | 127.1   | 103.40  | 83.03   | 68.40   |
| Koni Kachu    | 23.53         | 52.67  | 78.07  | 86.2    | 76.03   | 62.40   | 47.10   |
| Naga -2       | 38.13         | 78.47  | 92.87  | 115.3   | 81.50   | 64.08   | 62.00   |
| Kaka Kachu    | 31.27         | 72.33  | 83.30  | 95.9    | 71.93   | 61.97   | 48.88   |
| Ghoti Kachu   | 28.67         | 74.20  | 79.20  | 93.0    | 56.80   | 50.17   | 32.60   |
| Ahina         | 39.33         | 74.73  | 81.87  | 87.6    | 73.27   | 66.93   | 48.23   |
| Domor Dima    | 21.07         | 49.80  | 65.27  | 72.9    | 60.30   | 66.67   | 64.03   |
| Arunchal-7    | 29.33         | 56.53  | 71.67  | 56.1    | 53.93   | 72.80   | 46.07   |
| Bor Kachu     | 30.60         | 71.20  | 86.00  | 98.9    | 87.03   | 71.13   | 59.12   |
| AAU-Col-46    | 16.97         | 55.47  | 80.47  | 91.5    | 76.87   | 66.87   | 56.43   |
| AAU-Col-32    | 25.23         | 58.93  | 80.13  | 89.4    | 65.87   | 58.47   | 44.97   |
| Garo          | 29.47         | 71.67  | 81.33  | 88.5    | 72.00   | 57.47   | 47.83   |
| Karbi Anglong | 25.80         | 52.07  | 72.27  | 67.1    | 66.87   | 58.80   | 53.77   |
| Boga Ahina    | 26.00         | 58.27  | 71.80  | 70.9    | 69.07   | 67.20   | 63.37   |
| Mean          | 27.86         | 63.85  | 80.18  | 88.3    | 72.05   | 64.17   | 52.48   |
| S.Ed(±)       | 3.97          | 6.69   | 4.10   | 11.098  | 7.82    | 10.35   | 10.39   |
| CD5%          | 6.76          | 11.38  | 6.98   | 18.86   | 13.29   | 17.59   | 17.67   |

Table 3: Plant girth (cm) at different days after planting.

| Genotype      | 30<br>DAP | 60<br>DAP | 90<br>DAP | 120 DAP | 150 DAP | 180 DAP | 210 DAP |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Red Garo      | 6.05      | 11.81     | 12.01     | 12.39   | 13.21   | 11.50   | 9.85    |
| Moh Khuti     | 8.37      | 13.65     | 14.69     | 16.85   | 17.48   | 14.32   | 13.34   |
| Koni Kachu    | 7.06      | 12.86     | 13.62     | 14.54   | 15.86   | 11.88   | 9.32    |
| Naga -2       | 9.11      | 11.67     | 12.83     | 14.65   | 15.98   | 12.52   | 10.20   |
| Kaka Kachu    | 8.41      | 12.52     | 14.09     | 15.58   | 16.58   | 12.64   | 11.12   |
| Ghoti Kachu   | 7.59      | 10.57     | 12.59     | 13.15   | 14.74   | 11.45   | 10.52   |
| Ahina         | 8.51      | 12.25     | 13.85     | 14.58   | 15.86   | 11.89   | 10.15   |
| Domor Dima    | 8.13      | 10.93     | 13.08     | 14.59   | 16.13   | 11.81   | 9.82    |
| Arunchal-7    | 8.91      | 10.89     | 12.34     | 13.86   | 15.98   | 11.85   | 9.75    |
| Bor Kachu     | 9.01      | 12.25     | 14.15     | 16.48   | 17.17   | 13.96   | 11.89   |
| AAU-Col-46    | 8.85      | 12.16     | 14.16     | 15.58   | 16.55   | 12.15   | 9.85    |
| AAU-Col-32    | 8.08      | 11.80     | 12.43     | 13.74   | 14.85   | 10.82   | 9.14    |
| Garo          | 8.86      | 12.52     | 13.86     | 14.57   | 15.89   | 12.25   | 9.33    |
| Karbi Anglong | 8.08      | 11.55     | 12.78     | 13.53   | 14.58   | 13.13   | 10.85   |
| Boga Ahina    | 7.93      | 10.55     | 12.46     | 14.25   | 15.22   | 10.29   | 9.15    |
| Mean          | 8.20      | 11.86     | 13.26     | 14.56   | 15.74   | 12.16   | 10.29   |
| S.Ed(±)       | 0.007     | 0.007     | 0.006     | 0.007   | 0.006   | 0.007   | 0.007   |
| CD5%          | 0.013     | 0.013     | 0.010     | 0.012   | 0.011   | 0.012   | 0.011   |

| Genotype      | 30<br>DAP | 60<br>DAP | 90<br>DAP | 120 DAP | 150 DAP | 180 DAP | 210 DAP |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Red Garo      | 2.00      | 3.27      | 2.87      | 2.53    | 3.13    | 2.47    | 2.27    |
| Moh Khuti     | 2.47      | 4.07      | 3.40      | 3.13    | 4.33    | 3.47    | 3.13    |
| Koni Kachu    | 2.20      | 4.00      | 3.53      | 3.27    | 3.27    | 2.93    | 2.53    |
| Naga -2       | 2.33      | 4.00      | 3.60      | 2.80    | 3.60    | 3.00    | 2.93    |
| Kaka Kachu    | 2.20      | 4.40      | 3.60      | 3.00    | 3.87    | 3.13    | 2.67    |
| Ghoti Kachu   | 2.27      | 4.07      | 3.60      | 2.73    | 3.67    | 2.80    | 2.87    |
| Ahina         | 2.67      | 3.93      | 3.47      | 3.00    | 4.00    | 3.20    | 3.13    |
| Domor Dima    | 2.27      | 4.00      | 3.07      | 3.20    | 3.73    | 3.20    | 3.00    |
| Arunchal-7    | 2.33      | 3.07      | 2.73      | 2.53    | 3.60    | 3.33    | 2.73    |
| Bor Kachu     | 2.73      | 5.47      | 3.67      | 3.07    | 4.67    | 4.00    | 3.93    |
| AAU-Col-46    | 1.67      | 3.20      | 3.13      | 2.47    | 3.40    | 2.33    | 2.07    |
| AAU-Col-32    | 2.07      | 3.67      | 3.00      | 2.27    | 2.93    | 2.60    | 3.00    |
| Garo          | 2.20      | 4.40      | 3.20      | 3.40    | 3.60    | 3.40    | 2.73    |
| Karbi Anglong | 2.07      | 3.20      | 3.20      | 3.07    | 3.47    | 2.73    | 2.93    |
| Boga Ahina    | 2.40      | 3.27      | 3.00      | 3.00    | 3.80    | 3.07    | 2.80    |
| Mean          | 2.26      | 3.87      | 3.27      | 2.90    | 3.67    | 3.04    | 2.85    |
| S.Ed(±)       | 0.20      | 0.34      | 0.27      | 0.28    | 0.29    | 0.23    | 0.26    |
| CD5%          | 0.34      | 0.57      | 0.46      | 0.48    | 0.50    | 0.39    | 0.45    |

Table 4: Number of leaves per plant at different days after planting.

Table 5: Variation in petiole colour and leaf colour their different taro cultivars.

| Constance |               | Morphological Traits |             |  |  |  |
|-----------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|           | Genotypes     | Petiole colour       | Leaf colour |  |  |  |
| 1.        | Red Garo      | Purple               | Dark green  |  |  |  |
| 2.        | MohKhuti      | Green                | Light green |  |  |  |
| 3.        | Koni-Kachu    | Green                | Green       |  |  |  |
| 4.        | Naga -2       | Green                | Green       |  |  |  |
| 5.        | Kaka Kachu    | Green                | Dark green  |  |  |  |
| 6.        | Ghoti-Kachu   | Purple               | Green       |  |  |  |
| 7.        | Ahina         | Green                | Light green |  |  |  |
| 8.        | Domor-Dima    | Green                | Dark green  |  |  |  |
| 9.        | Arunchal-7    | Green                | Light green |  |  |  |
| 10.       | Bor-Kachu     | Green                | Light green |  |  |  |
| 11.       | AAU-Col-46    | Green                | Green       |  |  |  |
| 12.       | AAU-Col-32    | Green                | Dark green  |  |  |  |
| 13.       | Garo          | Purple               | Dark green  |  |  |  |
| 14.       | Karbi-Anglong | Purple               | Dark green  |  |  |  |
| 15.       | Boga-Ahina    | Green                | Light green |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. An overview of experimental field AAU, Jorhat, Assam.

#### CONCLUSIONS

This study addressed the existing knowledge gap by providing comprehensive information on morphology of fifteen varieties of Colocasia esculenta var. stolonifera found in the northeastern state of India. Prior to this research, there was lack of detailed morphological data on these varieties. Furthermore, the study presented compelling evidence that indicates close relationship between C. esculenta var. stolonifera and C. esculenta var. esculenta. The findings of the study also identified specific diagnostic characters for distinguishing Moh-Khuti and Ghoti-Kachu, two particular varieties within the species. Conspicuous diagnostic characters observed in the petiole length was highest (103.40±68.40cm) in Moh-Khuti, whereas the least was Ghoti-Kachu (56.80±32.60cm). The plant girth ranged from 17.48cm (Moh-Khuti) to 13.28cm (Red Garo), while the number of leaves ranged from (4.67) Bor-Kachu and least AAU-Col-32 (2.93). This study has provided valuable information regarding diagnostic and differential morphological characters that can aid in the identification and description of various varieties of C. esculenta. Notably, it was found that the cultivar Bor-Kachu exhibited the maximum number of leaves per plant followed by Moh-Khuti and Ahina. Additionally, Moh-Khuti displayed the longest petiole length with Naga-2 following closely behind. Plants are typically categorized into groups based on their similarities and differences in various traits including petiole length, plant girth, number of leaves, leaf color and petiole colour. These distinctive morphological characteristics play significant role in the classification and taxonomy of Colocasia varieties. By providing additional morphological information, this study contributes to resolving the ongoing controversies in the taxonomy of Colocasia. The obtained data can potentially aid in the delimitation of C. esculenta by facilitating the identification and differentiation of specific varieties within the species.

Acknowledgment. The scope of *Colocasia* in north east India have much diversity in different morphological characters and nutritional value which is needs for identification of best performing variety. The authors gratefully acknowledge the advisory committee and faculty members of the Department of Horticulture, Department of Crop Physiology and Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics at Assam Agricultural University for their valuable support and encouragement throughout the study. Their guidance played a crucial role in ensuring the successful completion of this research. The authors also extend their sincere appreciation to the taro farmers of North East India for their cooperation and willingness to provide the cultivars used in this study. Their contribution was essential in obtaining the necessary plant materials and conducting the research effectively. **Conflict of Interest.** None.

#### REFERENCES

- Beyene, T. M. (2013). Morpho-agronomical characterization of Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) accessions in Ethiopia. *Plant*, 1(1), 1-9.
- Ezeabara, C. A. Okeke, C. U., Amadi, J. E., Izundu, A. I., Aziagba, B. O., Egboka, P. T. and Udechukwu, C. D. (2015). Morphological comparison of five varieties of *Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott in Anambra State, South eastern Nigeria. *American J. Pl. Sci.*, *6*, 2819-2825.
- IPGRI (1999). Descriptors for Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- Lebot, V., Hartati, S., Hue, N. T., Viet, N. V., Nghia, N. H., Okpul, T., Pardales, J., Prana, M. S. Prana, T. K., Thongjiem, M., Krieke, C. M., Van, H. J. E., Yap, T. C. and Ivancic, A. (2010). Characterizing taro using isozymes and morphoagronomic descriptors In: The Global Diversity of Taro: Ethnobotany and Conservation. *Biodiversity International*, 39-55.
- Matthews, P. J. (1991). A possible tropical wild type taro (Colocasia esculenta var. aquatilis). Indo Pacific Prehistory Association Bulletin, 11, 69-81.
- Misra, R. S. and Chowdhury, S. R. (1997). Phytophthora Leaf Blight Disease of Taro. p. 32.
- Onwueme, I. C. (1978). The tropical tuber crops, John Willey and Sons, New York, p. 199.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural workers. Indian council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.
- Rao, R.V., Matthews, P. J., Eyzaguirre, P. B. and Hunter, D. (2010). The global diversity of *C. esculenta*: ethnobotany and conservation. *Biodiversity Int.*, Rome.
- Singh, S., Singh, D. R., Faseela, F., Kumar, N., Damodaran, V. and Srivastava, R. C. (2012). Diversity of 21 taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott.) accessions of Andaman islands. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, 59, 821-829.
- Sivam, P. (1983). Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Symp. Inter. Soc. Trop. Root crops.CIP, Lima, Peru, pp. 103-107.
- Taiz, L. and Zeiger, E. (1991). Plant physiology. Benyamin/Cumming. Tokyo.
- Van Wyk, B. E. (2005). Food plants of the world: Identification, culinary uses and nutritional value. Briza Publications, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Vinutha, K. B., Devi, A. A. and Sreekumar, J. (2015). Morphological characterization of above ground characters of taro (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott.) accessions from North East India. *Journal of Root Crops*, 41(1), 3-11.

How to cite this article: Ramdeen Kumar, S. Alam, D.B. Phookan, N.S. Barua, Prakash Kalita and Partha Jyoti Borah (2023). Morphological Characterization of some *Colocasia (Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott) genotypes of North-East India. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(6): 159-163.