

Biological Forum – An International Journal

16(7): 144-152(2024)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Performance of Nutricereals and their Varieties under Varied Sowing Windows

Mohod Aishwarya Anilrao<sup>1\*</sup>, T.S. Sukanya<sup>2</sup>, M.N. Thimmegowda<sup>3</sup>, Sneha M.A.<sup>4</sup> and Chaithra C.<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, UASB, GKVK, Bangalore (Karnataka), India. <sup>2</sup>Professor (Agronomy) PC unit, AICRP on small millet UAS GKVK, Bangalore (Karnataka), India. <sup>3</sup>Professor and Head, AICRP on Agrometeorology, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore (Karnataka), India. <sup>4</sup>Junior Research Fellow AICRP on small millets, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore (Karnataka), India. <sup>5</sup>Senior research Fellow AICRP on small millets, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore (Karnataka), India.

(Corresponding author: Mohod Aishwarya Anilrao\*) (Received: 26 April 2024; Revised: 17 May 2024; Accepted: 10 June 2024; Published: 15 July 2024) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: A field trial was conducted during the kharif seasons of 2021 and 2022, at University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, Karnataka. The aim of the study was to investigate the agronomic performance of two varieties each in foxtail millet, proso millet and little millet across three sowing windows viz., second fortnight of august  $(W_1)$ , first fortnight of September  $(W_2)$  and second fortnight of september  $(W_3)$ . There were 18 treatments with three replications each, tested in a factorial randomized design. In the study, significant variations were observed in absolute growth rate, crop growth rate and relative growth rate. Sowing during August second fortnight has recorded higher absolute growth rate (0.4810 g/day), crop growth rate (10.05 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day), relative growth rate (0.0174 g/g/day) at 60 DAS-at harvest. Among the crops, higher absolute growth rate (0.4286 g/day), relative growth rate (0.0185 g/g /day) and crop growth rate (9.33 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) were recorded in foxtail millet at 60 DAS-at harvest. Among varieties DHFt 109-3, GPUP-21 and GPUL-6 recorded higher AGR, CGR and RGR than GPUF-3, GPUP-28 and DHLM-36-3 at 60 DAS-at harvest. Foxtail millet consistently displayed higher values in several parameters, with DHFt-109-3 sown during second fortnight of august was showing superior performance in terms of AGR (0.5348 g/day), CGR (10.96 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) and RGR (0.0207 g/g/day) at 60 DAS-at harvest. Proso millet demonstrated the early maturation, while little millet showed relatively late performance across different sowing windows.

Keywords: Absolute growth rate, growth, interaction, small millets, sowing windows, yield.

# INTRODUCTION

Millet crops have long history of cultivation of more than 5000 year (Prabhakar et al., 2018; Sukanya et al. 2023). Now a days millets are called as nutricereals because they are rich nutricereals in which sorghum and pearl millet are major millets and millets such as finger millet, Foxtail millet, Proso millet kodo millet and little millet barnyard millet and Brountop millet are minor millets. These small millets have gained attention for their nutritional benefits, including being gluten-free, rich in micronutrients, and having a low glycemic index. Millets are described as "miracle crops" because of their numerous advantages, including their use as food and food products with added value, forage, contribution to agro-diversity, low nutrient requirements, greater carbon sequestration (C<sub>4</sub> plants), ability to prevent erosion in arid regions and confirmation of adequate supply of food and nutrition for smallholders who live in harsh environmental condition (Sukanya et al. 2022). They are considered important in addressing issues related to food security and nutrition, particularly in regions where they are traditionally grown. Additionally, these millets contribute to biodiversity and sustainable agriculture due to their ability to grow in diverse environmental conditions with minimal inputs. As a result, there has been a renewed interest in promoting the cultivation and consumption of small millets for both nutritional and environmental reasons. Moreover, as  $C_4$  plant, millets sequester carbon, thereby adding to  $CO_2$ reduction opportunities, contribute to improved agrobiodiversity by their diversity and allow mutually beneficial intercropping with other vital crops. In view of this background current research was formulated to study the growth and yield potential of foxtail millet Proso millet, little millet and their varieties in late *kharif* sowing windows.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field trials were conducted at Zonal Agricultural Research Station (ZARS), University of Agricultural Sciences (UAS), Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra (GKVK), Bangaluru. during *Kharif* season of 2021 and 2022. The experimental site is located in Eastern Dry Zone (Zone-V) of Karnataka and found between 12° 51' N Latitude and 77° 35' E Longitude at an altitude of 930 m above Mean Sea Level (MSL). The soil of the

experimental site was red sandy loam. Composite soil samples have been drawn at random from the experimental site before experimentation and analyzed for physico- chemical properties. The soil of the experimental site was slightly acidic in reaction (pH 5.95) with low electrical conductivity (0.22 ds/m) and low organic carbon content (0.36 %). The soil was low in available nitrogen (249.7 kg/ha), high in available phosphorus (71.80 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> kg/ha) and medium in available potassium (180.40 K<sub>2</sub>O kg/ha). The Experiment was carried out with 3 factors laid in Factorial Randomized Complete Block Design replicated thrice. Treatments were of three sowing windows (August 2<sup>nd</sup>, September 1<sup>st</sup> and September 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight), three crops (foxtail millet, Proso millet and little millet) and two varieties (Foxtail millet: GPUF-3 and DHFt 109-3; Proso millet: GPUP-28 and GPUP-21; Little millet: GPUL-6 and DHLM 36-3).

## Absolute Growth Rate AGR (g/day)

It indicates the dry weight increase per unit time and expressed in gram per day. It was calculated by using the following formula given by (Radford 1967). AGR = $W_2$ - $W_1$ / $t_2$ - $t_1$ 

 $AGK = W_2 - W_1/t$ Where,

 $W_1$  and  $W_2$ = Total dry matter per plant (g) at time  $t_1$ and  $t_2$ , respectively.  $t_2-t_1$ = Time interval

# Crop Growth Rate CGR (g/m<sup>2</sup>/day)

It is the absolute growth rate per unit ground area and

expressed as gram per m<sup>2</sup> per day

It was worked out by adopting the formula of Watson (1952).

 $CGR = W_2 - W_1 / t_2 - t_1 1 / P$ Where,

 $W_1$  and  $W_2$ =Total dry matter per plant (g) at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively.  $t_2$ - $t_1$ = Time interval between two stages(days).

P = Ground area covered by the plant  $(m^2)$ 

#### **Relative Growth Rate RGR (g/g/day)**

Relative growth rate was calculated by the formula of Radford (1967) and expressed as g/g/day

RGR 
$$(g/g/day) = \frac{\log_e W_2 - \log_e W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where,

 $W_1$  and  $W_2$ =Total dry matter per plant (g) at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively  $t_2$ - $t_1$  = Time interval between two stages(days).

## Net Assimilation Rate NAR (g/dm<sup>2</sup>/day)

NAR is the rate of increase in the dry matter per unit leaf area per unit time. NAR was calculated according to Gregory (1926) as

NAR = 
$$W_2$$
- $W_1/t_2$ - $t_1 \times LogeL_2$ - $LogeL_1/L_2$ - $L_1$   
Where.

 $L_1$  and  $W_1$ = Leaf area and dry weight of the plant respectively at the time  $t_1 L_2$  and  $W_2$  = Leaf area and dry weight of the plant respectively at the time  $t_2$ .

Absolute growth rate (g/day) of small millets as influenced by sowing windows and varieties.

		0 - 30 DAS	5		30- 60 DAS	5	60	DAS - harv	est
Treatments	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled
			So	wing Wind	ow (W)				
$W_1$	0.1489	0.1538	0.2258	0.3223	0.3268	0.4880	0.3589	0.2441	0.4810
$W_2$	0.1131	0.1169	0.1716	0.3033	0.3101	0.4618	0.2731	0.3150	0.4306
W <sub>3</sub>	0.0747	0.0772	0.1133	0.3009	0.3044	0.4549	0.2359	0.2336	0.3527
S.Em ±	0.0004	0.0004	0.0006	0.0072	0.0070	0.0106	0.0040	0.0031	0.0049
CD at 5%	0.0012	0.0012	0.0018	0.0208	0.0201	0.0304	0.0115	0.0088	0.0141
	-			Crops (C	<u>()</u>				
C1	0.1237	0.1279	0.1877	0.3638	0.3715	0.5534	0.2975	0.2623	0.4286
C2	0.1137	0.1175	0.1725	0.3098	0.3121	0.4670	0.2927	0.2562	0.4208
C3	0.0992	0.1025	0.1505	0.2529	0.2579	0.3843	0.2777	0.2742	0.4148
S.Em ±	0.0004	0.0004	0.0006	0.0072	0.0070	0.0106	0.0040	0.0031	0.0049
CD at 5%	0.0012	0.0012	0.0018	0.0208	0.0201	0.0304	0.0115	0.0088	0.0141
				Varieties (	<b>(V</b> )		-		
$V_1$	0.1095	0.1132	0.1661	0.3040	0.3073	0.4593	0.2902	0.2633	0.4219
$V_2$	0.1149	0.1188	0.1743	0.3137	0.3203	0.4772	0.2884	0.2651	0.4209
S.Em ±	0.0004	0.0004	0.0005	0.0059	0.0057	0.0086	0.0033	0.0025	0.0040
CD at 5%	0.0010	0.0010	0.0015	0.0170	0.0164	0.0249	0.0094	0.0072	0.0115
	-		Sowing V	Window (W	) × Crops (C			-	
$W_1C_1$	0.1560	0.1612	0.2366	0.3784	0.3856	0.5748	0.3936	0.2517	0.5194
$W_1C_2$	0.1470	0.1519	0.2229	0.3124	0.3208	0.4770	0.3300	0.2408	0.4503
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.1436	0.1484	0.2178	0.2760	0.2741	0.4122	0.2327	0.2192	0.3423
$W_2C_1$	0.1224	0.1264	0.1856	0.3688	0.3753	0.5597	0.2375	0.1974	0.3362
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.1160	0.1199	0.1760	0.3004	0.3065	0.4567	0.3531	0.2400	0.4731
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.1010	0.1043	0.1532	0.2408	0.2486	0.3690	0.2375	0.2841	0.3796
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	0.0928	0.0959	0.1408	0.3442	0.3536	0.5257	0.2657	0.2978	0.4146
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.0782	0.0808	0.1186	0.3167	0.3090	0.4673	0.2874	0.3313	0.4531
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.0531	0.0548	0.0805	0.2419	0.2508	0.3718	0.2661	0.3159	0.4241
S.Em ±	0.0007	0.0008	0.0011	0.0125	0.0121	0.0183	0.0069	0.0053	0.0085
CD at 5%	0.0021	0.0022	0.0032	0.0361	0.0349	0.0527	0.0199	0.0152	0.0244
			Sowing W	indow (W)	× Varieties (	<b>V</b> )			
$W_1V_1$	0.1473	0.1523	0.2235	0.3302	0.3329	0.4980	0.2284	0.2320	0.3444
$W_1V_2$	0.1504	0.1554	0.2281	0.3144	0.3208	0.4780	0.2434	0.2352	0.3610
$W_2V_1$	0.1115	0.1152	0.1691	0.2885	0.2985	0.4427	0.3639	0.2382	0.4830
$W_2V_2$	0.1148	0.1186	0.1741	0.3182	0.3218	0.4809	0.3538	0.2501	0.4789

$W_3V_1$	0.0697	0.0721	0.1058	0.2933	0.2905	0.4372	0.2783	0.3199	0.4382
$W_3V_2$	0.0797	0.0823	0.1208	0.3085	0.3183	0.4726	0.2679	0.3101	0.4229
S.Em ±	0.0006	0.0006	0.0009	0.0102	0.0099	0.0150	0.0056	0.0043	0.0069
CD at 5%	0.0017	0.0018	0.0026	0.0294	0.0285	0.0431	0.0162	0.0124	0.0199
			Cro	ps (C) × Var	ieties (V)				
C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.1229	0.1269	0.1863	0.3346	0.3307	0.4980	0.2771	0.2686	0.4114
$C_1V_2$	0.1246	0.1288	0.1890	0.3930	0.4122	0.6088	0.2784	0.2798	0.4183
$C_2V_1$	0.1100	0.1137	0.1668	0.3036	0.3076	0.4594	0.2972	0.2617	0.4281
$C_2V_2$	0.1175	0.1214	0.1782	0.3161	0.3166	0.4746	0.2881	0.2507	0.4135
$C_3V_1$	0.1027	0.1062	0.1558	0.232	0.2836	0.4205	0.2985	0.2648	0.431
$C_3V_2$	0.0957	0.0989	0.1451	0.2738	0.2321	0.3481	0.2964	0.2597	0.4262
S.Em ±	0.0006	0.0006	0.0009	0.0102	0.0099	0.0150	0.0056	0.0043	0.0069
CD at 5%	0.0017	0.0018	0.0026	0.0294	0.0285	0.0431	0.0162	0.0124	0.0199
		So	wing Window	(W) × Crop	os (C) × Var	ieties (V)		-	
$W_1C_1V_1$	0.1547	0.1598	0.2346	0.3776	0.3750	0.5638	0.2234	0.2760	0.3614
$W_1C_1V_2$	0.1573	0.1626	0.2386	0.3792	0.3962	0.5858	0.4118	0.2460	0.5348
$W_1C_2V_1$	0.1413	0.1460	0.2144	0.3108	0.3187	0.4741	0.2359	0.2052	0.3385
$W_1C_2V_2$	0.1526	0.1577	0.2315	0.3140	0.3229	0.4799	0.2391	0.1897	0.3340
$W_1C_3V_1$	0.1460	0.1509	0.2214	0.3021	0.3051	0.4561	0.2259	0.2147	0.3333
$W_1C_3V_2$	0.1412	0.1459	0.2142	0.2499	0.2432	0.3682	0.2395	0.2236	0.3513
$W_2C_1V_1$	0.1224	0.1264	0.1856	0.3290	0.3315	0.4960	0.3427	0.2136	0.4495
$W_2C_1V_2$	0.1223	0.1264	0.1856	0.4086	0.4191	0.6234	0.3172	0.2679	0.4512
$W_2C_2V_1$	0.1144	0.1182	0.1734	0.2815	0.2999	0.4406	0.3737	0.2437	0.4955
$W_2C_2V_2$	0.1177	0.1216	0.1785	0.3192	0.3131	0.4727	0.3325	0.2363	0.4506
$W_2C_3V_1$	0.0977	0.1010	0.1482	0.2549	0.2640	0.3914	0.3754	0.2574	0.5041
$W_2C_3V_2$	0.1043	0.1077	0.1582	0.2267	0.2333	0.3466	0.2516	0.2923	0.3977
$W_3C_1V_1$	0.0915	0.0946	0.1388	0.2972	0.2856	0.4342	0.2650	0.3162	0.4231
$W_3C_1V_2$	0.0941	0.0973	0.1428	0.3913	0.4215	0.6171	0.2664	0.2793	0.4060
$W_3C_2V_1$	0.0743	0.0768	0.1127	0.3184	0.3041	0.4634	0.2821	0.3363	0.4503
$W_3C_2V_2$	0.0821	0.0849	0.1246	0.3150	0.3138	0.4713	0.2928	0.3262	0.4559
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.0627	0.0648	0.0951	0.2644	0.2819	0.4141	0.2878	0.0627	0.0648
$W_3C_3V_2$	0.0434	0.0449	0.0659	0.2194	0.2197	0.3294	0.2444	0.0434	0.0449
S.Em ±	0.0011	0.0011	0.0016	0.0177	0.0172	0.0259	0.0098	0.0075	0.0120
CD at 5%	0.0030	0.0031	0.0045	0.0510	0.0493	0.0746	0.0281	0.0215	0.0345

Factor A -  $W_1$ : August 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight;  $W_2$ : September 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight;  $W_3$ : September 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight Factor B -  $C_1$ : Foxtail millet;  $C_2$ : Proso millet;  $C_3$ : Little millet

Factor C - V<sub>1</sub>: GPUF 3 /GPUP 28 / GPUL6; V<sub>2</sub>: DHFt 109-3/ GPUP 21 / DHLM 36-3

Pooled data indicated that the crop sown during second fortnight of august recorded significantly higher absolute growth rate of 0.2258, 0.4880 and 0.4810g/day at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively followed by the crop sown at first fortnight of September (0.1716, 0.4618, 0.4306 g/day at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively). Significantly lower AGR was recorded in second fortnight of September (0.1133, 0.4549, 0.3527 g/day at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS- harvest, respectively).

Among the different small millets, higher AGR was recorded in foxtail millet (0.1877, 0.5534 and 0.4286 g/day) followed by Proso millet (0.1725, 0.4670,0. 0.4208 g/day) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively. Lower AGR was observed in little millet (0.1505, 0.3843 and 0.4148 g/day) at these growth stages. Among varieties, DHFt-109-3, GPUP 21 and GPUL-6 were found significantly superior over GPUF-3, GPUP-28 and DHLM-36-3 at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest.

There was significant interaction found between sowing windows and crops 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest. Among different combinations, sowing of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded significantly higher AGR (0.2366, 0.5748, 0.5194 g/day) followed by sowing of foxtail millet

during first fortnight of September (0.1856,0.5597 and 0.3362 g/ day).

The interaction effect between sowing windows and varieties was found significant in which, the second fortnight of august and variety  $V_2$  has recorded significantly higher AGR (0.2281, 0.4780 and 0.3610 g/day, respectively), which was followed by second fortnight of august and variety  $V_1$  (0.2235, 0.4980 and 0.483 g/day) and significantly the lowest AGR was observed with second fortnight of September and  $V_1$  (0.1058, 0.4372 and 0.361 g/day) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively.

There was significant interaction between crop and variety. Among different combinations, DHFt-109-3 of foxtail millet has recorded significantly higher AGR (0.1890, 0.6088 and 0.431g/day) which was followed by GPUF 3 variety of foxtail millet (0.1863, 0.4980 and 0.4281 g/day, respectively). Significantly lower AGR (0.1451, 0.4205 and 0.4135 g/day) was recorded in GPUL-6 of little millet at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively

Overall interaction between sowing windows, crops and varieties found significant in all growth stages of crop. At 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS- harvest, the combination of sowing of DHFt 109-3 variety of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded

significantly higher AGR (0.2386, 0.5858 and 0.5348 g/day) which was on par with GPUF-3 of foxtail millet sown in second fortnight of august and significantly lower AGR was observed with combination of DHLM 36-3 variety of little millet during second fortnight of September (0.0659, 0.3249 and 0.0449 g/day) which was on par with combination sowing of variety of little millet during second fortnight of September GPUL-6. Absolute growth rate (AGR) primarily depends on the

Absolute growth rate (AGR) primarily depends on the total dry matter production per hill. AGR was lower

during the initial crop growth stage (30 DAS), it reached maximum at 60 DAS and thereafter progressively declined towards maturity. Greater AGR was mainly attributed to increased leaf number, leaf area and vegetative growth of plant which leads to higher photosynthesis ability and higher availability of soil nutrients. These results validate the findings of Nasim *et al.* (2012); Jadipujari *et al.* (2023) ; (Sukanya *et al.* (2023) who also indicated the positive effects of nitrogen and sowing window on AGR of Quinoa crop.

Trootmente		0 - 30 DAS	5		30- 60 DAS			60 DAS – harvest			
Treatments	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled		
			Sow	ing Windov	v (W)						
W <sub>1</sub>	4.96	5.13	5.04	10.74	10.89	10.82	7.86	7.79	10.05		
$W_2$	3.77	3.90	3.83	10.11	10.34	10.22	11.96	8.14	9.80		
<b>W</b> <sub>3</sub>	2.49	2.57	2.53	10.03	10.15	10.09	9.10	10.50	7.82		
S.Em ±	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.13	0.10	0.10		
CD at 5%	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.69	0.67	0.68	0.38	0.29	0.29		
				Crops (C)							
C <sub>1</sub>	4.12	4.26	4.19	12.13	12.38	12.25	9.26	9.14	9.33		
C <sub>2</sub>	3.79	3.92	3.85	10.33	10.40	10.37	9.76	8.54	9.20		
C <sub>3</sub>	3.31	3.42	3.36	8.43	8.60	8.51	9.92	8.74	9.15		
S.Em ±	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.13	0.10	0.10		
CD at 5%	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.69	0.67	0.68	0.38	0.29	0.29		
				Varieties (V	)						
V <sub>1</sub>	3.65	3.77	3.71	10.13	10.24	10.19	9.67	8.78	9.23		
$V_2$	3.83	3.96	3.90	10.46	10.68	10.57	9.61	8.84	9.22		
S.Em ±	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.11	0.08	0.08		
CD at 5%	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.57	0.55	0.56	0.31	0.24	0.24		
				indow (W) :							
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	5.20	5.37	5.29	12.61	12.85	12.73	13.12	8.39	10.75		
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	4.90	5.06	4.98	10.41	10.69	10.55	8.87	10.53	9.7		
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	4.79	4.95	4.87	9.20	9.14	9.17	7.92	9.47	8.69		
$W_2C_1$	4.08	4.21	4.15	12.29	12.51	12.40	9.58	11.04	10.31		
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	3.87	4.00	3.93	10.01	10.22	10.11	11.00	8.03	9.51		
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	3.37	3.48	3.42	8.03	8.29	8.16	7.76	7.31	7.53		
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	3.09	3.20	3.15	11.47	11.79	11.63	11.77	8.00	9.88		
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	2.61	2.69	2.65	10.56	10.30	10.43	8.86	9.93	9.39		
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.77	1.83	1.80	8.06	8.36	8.21	7.92	6.58	7.25		
S.Em ±	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.42	0.40	0.41	0.23	0.18	0.18		
CD at 5%	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.20	1.16	1.18	0.66	0.51	0.5		
			Sowing Wir	ndow (W) ×	Varieties (V	)					
$W_1V_1$	4.91	5.08	4.99	10.48	10.69	10.59	12.13	7.94	10.04		
$W_1V_2$	5.01	5.18	5.10	11.01	11.00	11.05	11.79	8.34	10.06		
$W_2V_1$	3.72	3.84	3.78	9.62	9.95	9.78	8.93	10.34	9.63		
$W_2V_2$	3.83	3.95	3.89	10.61	10.73	10.67	9.28	10.66	9.97		
$W_3V_1$	2.32	2.40	2.36	9.78	9.68	9.73	7.61	7.73	7.67		
W <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	2.66	2.74	2.70	10.28	10.61	10.45	8.11	7.84	7.98		
S.Em ±	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.19	0.14	0.14		
CD at 5%	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.98	0.95	0.96	0.54	0.41	0.41		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
CCD(-1-2/1-2)		- ' fl	ng windows and varieties.
$\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W} W$	Growth of small millers a	s infillencea ny sowi	ng windows and varieties
	giowen of sinan innices a	5 milliocu by 50m	ing withdows and varieties.

			Crops	(C) × Varie	ties (V)				
C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	4.10	4.23	4.16	11.15	11.02	11.09	9.91	8.72	9.32
$C_1V_2$	4.15	4.29	4.22	13.10	13.74	13.42	9.95	8.83	9.39
$C_2V_1$	3.67	3.79	3.73	10.12	10.25	10.19	9.88	8.66	9.27
$C_2V_2$	3.92	4.05	3.98	10.54	10.55	10.54	9.28	9.33	9.3
$C_3V_1$	3.42	3.54	3.48	9.13	9.45	9.29	9.24	8.95	9.09
$C_3V_2$	3.19	3.30	3.24	7.73	7.74	7.73	9.6	8.36	8.98
S.Em ±	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.19	0.14	0.14
CD at 5%	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.98	0.95	0.96	0.54	0.41	0.41
		Sov	ving Window (V	W) × Crops	(C) × Varie	eties (V)			
$W_1C_1V_1$	5.16	5.33	5.24	12.59	12.50	12.54	12.51	8.58	10.55
$W_1C_1V_2$	5.24	5.42	5.33	12.64	13.21	12.92	13.73	8.2	10.96
$W_1C_2V_1$	4.71	4.87	4.79	10.36	10.62	10.49	10.57	8.93	9.75
$W_1C_2V_2$	5.09	5.26	5.17	10.47	10.76	10.62	10.57	8.93	9.75
$W_1C_3V_1$	4.87	5.03	4.95	10.07	10.17	10.12	8.83	10.54	9.69
$W_1C_3V_2$	4.71	4.86	4.79	8.33	8.11	8.22	8.88	9.31	9.09

Biological Forum – An International Journal 16(7): 144-152(2024)

$W_2C_1V_1$	4.08	4.21	4.15	10.97	11.05	11.01	9.59	10.23	9.91
$W_2C_1V_2$	4.08	4.21	4.15	13.62	13.97	13.79	9.76	10.87	10.32
$W_2C_2V_1$	3.81	3.94	3.88	9.38	10.00	9.69	11.08	7.88	9.48
$W_2C_2V_2$	3.92	4.05	3.99	10.64	10.44	10.54	8.15	10.83	9.49
$W_2C_3V_1$	3.26	3.37	3.31	8.50	8.80	8.65	7.98	7.45	7.72
$W_2C_3V_2$	3.48	3.59	3.53	7.56	7.78	7.67	7.86	6.84	7.35
$W_3C_1V_1$	3.05	3.15	3.10	9.91	9.52	9.71	8.39	9.74	9.06
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	3.14	3.24	3.19	13.04	14.05	13.55	9.4	11.21	10.31
$W_3C_2V_1$	2.48	2.56	2.52	10.61	10.14	10.38	7.45	9.2	8.32
$W_3C_2V_2$	2.74	2.83	2.78	10.50	10.46	10.48	11.42	7.12	9.27
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	2.09	2.16	2.12	8.81	9.40	9.10	7.53	7.16	7.34
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	1.45	1.5	1.47	7.31	7.32	7.32	7.97	6.32	7.15
S.Em ±	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.59	0.57	0.58	0.33	0.25	0.25
CD at 5%	0.10	0.10	0.10	1.70	1.64	1.67	0.94	0.72	0.71

Factor A - W<sub>1</sub>: August 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight; W<sub>2</sub>: September 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight; W<sub>3</sub>: September 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight

Factor B - C1: Foxtail millet; C2: Proso millet; C3: Little millet

Factor C - V1: GPUF 3 /GPUP 28 / GPUL6; V2: DHFt 109-3/ GPUP 21 / DHLM 36-3

Crop growth rate (CGR) was lower during the initial crop growth stage (30 DAS), it reached maximum during 31-60 DAS thereafter declined towards harvest. The data pertaining to effect of sowing windows and varieties of small millets on crop growth rate (CGR) recorded at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest.

Pooled data indicated that the crop sown during second fortnight of august recorded significantly higher crop growth rate (5.04, 10.82 and 10.05 g/ m<sup>2</sup>/day at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively) followed by the crop sown at first fortnight of September (3.83, 10.22 and 9.80 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively. Significantly lower CGR was recorded in second fortnight of September (2.53, 10.09 and 7.82 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DASharvest, respectively. Among the different small millets, higher CGR was recorded in foxtail millet  $(4.19, 12.25 \text{ and } 9.33 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{day})$  followed by Proso millet (3.85, 10.37 and 9.20 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS- harvest, respectively. Lower CGR was observed in little millet (3.36, 9.92 and 9.15 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively) at 30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 60 DAS-harvest. Among varieties, DHFt-109-3, GPUP 21 and GPUL-6 were significantly superior over GPUF-3, GPUP-28 and DHLM-36-3 at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively.

There was a significant interaction found between sowing windows and crops 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS- harvest. Among different combinations sowing of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded significantly higher CGR (5.29, 12.73 and 10.75 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively) followed by sowing of foxtail millet during first fortnight of September (4.15, 12.40 and 10.31 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively.).

The interaction between sowing windows and varieties was found significant in which second fortnight of august and  $V_2$  has recorded significantly higher CGR (5.10, 11.05 and 10.06 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively) which was followed by second fortnight of august and variety

 $V_1$  (4.99, 10.59 and 10.04 g/m²/day). Significantly lower CGR was observed with second fortnight of September and variety  $V_2$  (2.36, 9.73 and 7.67 g/m²/day) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively.

There was significant interaction between crop and variety. Among different combinations DHFt-109-3 of foxtail millet has recorded significantly higher CGR (4.22, 13.42 and 9.39 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively) which was followed by GPUF 3 variety of foxtail millet (4.16,11.9 and 9.32 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively). Significantly lower CGR was recorded in DHLM 36-3 variety of little millet (3.24, 7.73 and 8.98 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day) at 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively.

Overall interaction between sowing windows, crops and varieties found significant in all growth stages of crop. At 0-30 DAS, 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS- harvest, the combination of sowing of DHFt 109-3 variety of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded significantly higher CGR (5.33, 12.92 and 10.96 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively) which was followed GPUF-3 of foxtail millet sown in second fortnight of august and significantly lower CGR was observed with combination of DHLM 36-3 variety of little millet during second fortnight of September (1.47, 7.32 and 7.15 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively). Awais et al. (2015) opined that improvement in the CGR could be attributed to more vegetative growth due to increased photosynthesis at higher nitrogen rates in early sown crops than later sown crops. These results validate the findings of Nasim et al. (2012); Mondal et al. (2017) reported that greater LAI caused higher light interception which further enhanced CGR in mustard crop. Higher LAI was in august second fortnight therefore; larger LAI can intercept 95% of sunlight then plant gets optimum crop growth rate and also greater light interception stimulates CGR which in turn increases total dry matter and LAI. Decrease of CGR with the delay sowing might be due to reduction of total dry matter production. Similar results were reported by Vyshnavi et al. (2023).

Relative growth rate (g/g/day) of small millets as influenced by sowing windows and varieties

	Treatments	30- 60 DAS	30- 60 DAS		
Anih	rao et al.,	Biological Forum – An International Journal	16(	7): 144-152(2024)	148

	2021	2022	Pooled	2021	2022	Pooled
		S	owing Window (W)	-		
W <sub>1</sub>	0.0544	0.0537	0.0541	0.0176	0.0176	0.0174
W <sub>2</sub>	0.0431	0.0429	0.0432	0.0173	0.0173	0.0165
$W_3$	0.0382	0.0377	0.0379	0.0148	0.0148	0.0145
S.Em ±	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001
CD at 5%	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004
			Crops (C)			
C <sub>1</sub>	0.0461	0.0458	0.0460	0.0191	0.0191	0.0185
C <sub>2</sub>	0.0448	0.0442	0.0445	0.0163	0.0163	0.0157
C <sub>3</sub>	0.0447	0.0444	0.0443	0.0141	0.0141	0.0142
S.Em ±	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001
CD at 5%	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004
			Varieties (V)	-		
$V_1$	0.0445	0.0441	0.0443	0.0164	0.0164	0.0160
V <sub>2</sub>	0.0459	0.0455	0.0457	0.0167	0.0167	0.0163
S.Em ±	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001
CD at 5%	0.0012	0.0011	0.0012	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004
		Sowing	Window (W) × Crops (C	2)	1	1
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	0.0577	0.0577	0.0577	0.0203	0.0203	0.0202
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.0462	0.0458	0.046	0.0167	0.0167	0.0164
W1C3	0.0406	0.0406	0.0406	0.0134	0.0134	0.0143
$W_1C_3$ $W_2C_1$	0.054	0.0525	0.0532	0.0211	0.0211	0.0145
$\frac{W_2C_1}{W_2C_2}$	0.0425	0.0323	0.0332	0.0211	0.0211	0.0198
$W_2C_2$ $W_2C_3$	0.0423	0.0423	0.0424	0.0139	0.0159	0.0133
	0.0514	0.051	0.0512		0.0173	
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>				0.0173		0.0173
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.0408	0.0405	0.0407	0.0151	0.0151	0.0148
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.0357	0.0348	0.0352	0.0139	0.0139	0.0137
S.Em ±	0.0009	0.0008	0.0009	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003
CD at 5%	0.0025	0.0024	0.0025	0.0009	0.0009	0.0007
			/indow(W)×Varieties(			
$W_1V_1$	0.0524	0.0522	0.0523	0.0173	0.0175	0.0169
$W_1V_2$	0.0563	0.0553	0.0558	0.0181	0.0181	0.0182
$W_2V_1$	0.0425	0.0426	0.0426	0.0167	0.0167	0.0162
$W_2V_2$	0.0437	0.0432	0.0435	0.0178	0.0178	0.0167
$W_3V_1$	0.0373	0.0369	0.0371	0.0142	0.0142	0.0141
$W_3V_2$	0.039	0.0385	0.0387	0.0153	0.0153	0.0149
S.Em ±	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002
CD at 5%	0.0021	0.0020	0.0020	0.0008	0.0008	0.0006
		Sowing Window	(W) × Crops (C) × Var	ieties (V)		
$C_1V_1$	0.0481	0.0485	0.0483	0.0185	0.0185	0.0177
C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.0485	0.0486	0.0485	0.0197	0.0197	0.0193
$C_2V_1$	0.0445	0.0437	0.0441	0.0159	0.0159	0.0152
C <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.0452	0.0447	0.0449	0.0168	0.0168	0.0162
C <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.0442	0.0431	0.0436	0.0148	0.0148	0.0150
C <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.0409	0.0401	0.0405	0.0134	0.0134	0.0135
S.Em ±	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002
CD at 5%	0.0021	0.0020	0.0020	0.0008	0.0008	0.0006
		Sowing Window	v (W) × Crops (C) × Var	ieties (V)	1	1
$W_1C_1V_1$	0.0546	0.0558	0.0552	0.0128	0.0135	0.0195
$W_1C_1V_2$	0.0653	0.0662	0.0657	0.0198	0.0198	0.0207
$\frac{W_1C_1V_2}{W_1C_2V_1}$	0.0481	0.0463	0.0472	0.0175	0.0175	0.0171
$\frac{W_1C_2V_1}{W_1C_2V_2}$	0.0501	0.0403	0.0497	0.0199	0.0199	0.0191
$\frac{W_1C_2V_2}{W_1C_3V_1}$	0.0435	0.0429	0.0432	0.0182	0.0182	0.0151
	0.0408	0.0429	0.0432	0.0132	0.0182	0.0109
$W_1C_3V_2$	0.0408	0.041	0.0409	0.014	0.014	0.0140
$W_2C_1V_1$						
$W_2C_1V_2$	0.0555	0.0534	0.0544	0.0223	0.0223	0.0204
$W_2C_2V_1$	0.0428	0.0428	0.0428	0.0164	0.0164	0.0167
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.0437	0.0424	0.0431	0.0172	0.0172	0.0167
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.0385	0.0384	0.0384	0.0151	0.0151	0.0142
	0.0372	0.0371	0.0372	0.0149	0.0149	0.0135
$W_2C_3V_2$	0.0409	0.04	0.0405	0.0147	0.0147	0.0143
$W_3C_1V_1$		0.0516	0.0520	0.0209	0.0209	0.0198
	0.0525			0.0151	0.0151	0.0142
$W_3C_1V_1$	0.0525 0.0387	0.0386	0.0386	0.0151	0.0151	0.01.12
$\frac{W_3C_1V_1}{W_3C_1V_2}$			0.0386	0.0153	0.0153	0.0151
$\frac{W_{3}C_{1}V_{1}}{W_{3}C_{1}V_{2}}\\ \frac{W_{3}C_{2}V_{1}}{W_{3}C_{2}V_{1}}\\ \frac{W_{3}C_{2}V_{2}}{W_{3}C_{2}V_{2}}$	0.0387	0.0386				
$ \begin{array}{c} W_{3}C_{1}V_{1} \\ W_{3}C_{1}V_{2} \\ W_{3}C_{2}V_{1} \\ W_{3}C_{2}V_{2} \\ W_{3}C_{3}V_{1} \end{array} $	0.0387 0.0414 0.0374	0.0386 0.0421 0.0368	0.0417 0.0371	0.0153	0.0153 0.0126	0.0151 0.0131
$\frac{W_{3}C_{1}V_{1}}{W_{3}C_{1}V_{2}}\\ \frac{W_{3}C_{2}V_{1}}{W_{3}C_{2}V_{1}}\\ \frac{W_{3}C_{2}V_{2}}{W_{3}C_{2}V_{2}}$	0.0387 0.0414	0.0386 0.0421	0.0417	0.0153 0.0126	0.0153	0.0151

Factor A - W<sub>1</sub>: August 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight; W<sub>2</sub>: September 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight; W<sub>3</sub>: September 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight Factor B - C<sub>1</sub>: Foxtail millet; C<sub>2</sub>: Proso millet; C<sub>3</sub>: Little millet Factor C - V<sub>1</sub>: GPUF 3 /GPUP 28 / GPUL6; V<sub>2</sub>: DHFt 109-3/ GPUP 21 / DHLM 36-3

Pooled data indicated that the crop sown during second fortnight of august recorded significantly higher relative growth rate (0.0541 and 0.0174 g/g/day at 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively) followed by the crop sown at first fortnight of September (0.0432 and 0.0165 g/g/day). Significantly lower RGR was recorded in second fortnight of September (0.0379 and 0.0145 g/g/day) at 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively. Among the different small millets, higher RGR was recorded in foxtail millet (0.0460 and 0.0185 g/g/day) followed by Proso millet (0.0445 and 0.0157 g/g/day) at 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest,

respectively). Lower RGR was observed in little millet (0.0443 and 0.0142 g/g/day) at 31-60 DAS and 60 DAS-harvest. Among varieties, DHFt-109-3, GPUP 21 and GPUL-6 were significantly superior over GPUF-3, GPUP-28 and DHLM-36-3 at 31-60 DAS and 60 DAS-harvest.

There was a significant interaction found between sowing windows and crops at 31-60 DAS and 61 DASharvest. Among different combinations, the sowing of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded significantly higher RGR (0.0577 and 0.0202 g/g/day) followed by sowing of foxtail millet during first fortnight of September (0.0532 and 0.0198 g/g/day).

The interaction effect between sowing windows and varieties was found significant in which second fortnight of august and variety DHFt 109-3 has recorded significantly higher RGR (0.0558 and 0.0182 g/g/day), which was followed by second fortnight of august and variety GPUF 3 and significantly lower RGR was observed with second fortnight of September and variety GPUL 6 (0.0523 and 0.0169 g/g/day) at 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, respectively.

There was significant interaction between crop and variety. Among different combinations DHFt-109-3 of foxtail millet has recorded significantly higher RGR

(0.0485 and 0.0193 g/g/day) which was followed by GPUF 3 variety of foxtail millet (0.0483 and 0.0177/g/g/day). Significantly lower RGR was recorded in DHLM 36-3 of little millet (0.0405 and 0.0135 g/g/day) at 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS- harvest, respectively.

Overall interaction between sowing windows, crops and varieties found significant in all growth stages of crop. At 31-60 DAS and 61 DAS-harvest, the combination of sowing of DHFt 109-3 variety of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded significantly higher RGR (0.0657 and 0.0207g/g/day) which was on par with GPUF-3 of foxtail millet sown in second fortnight of august and significantly lower RGR was observed with combination sowing of DHLM 36-3 variety of little millet during second fortnight of September (0.0333 and 0.0129 g/g/day).

Sowing during II fortnight of august in foxtail millet, Proso millet, little millet resulted in higher RGR may be attributed to favorable climatic conditions in terms of temperature and photoperiod and rainfall like climatic factors during different growth stages. These findings were in corroboration with Patel *et al.* (2004); Reddy (2007) also opined that the growth and growth attributes among the varieties attributed to genetic potentiality of the genotypes.

Net assimilation rate  $(g m^2/d)$  of small millets as influenced by sowing windows and varieties.

	30-60 DAS							
Treatments	2021	2022	Pooled					
	Sowing	Window (W)						
W <sub>1</sub>	0.001168226	0.001121442	0.001144834					
$W_2$	0.001028003	0.000999959	0.001013981					
W <sub>3</sub>	0.001016563	0.000933398	0.000996993					
S.Em ±	6.2766	9.61533	6.02971					
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS					
	Cr	ops (C)						
C <sub>1</sub>	0.001139556	0.001088673	0.001114114					
$C_2$	0.001081687	0.001048449	0.001065068					
C <sub>3</sub>	0.000991548	0.000917676	0.000976625					
S.Em ±	2.1839	3.34559	2.098					
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS					
	Vari	ieties (V)						
$V_1$	0.001061891	0.001025202	0.001043547					
$V_2$	0.00107997	0.00101133	0.001060325					
S.Em ±	1.78316	2.73167	1.71301					
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS					
	Sowing Windo	w (W) × Crops (C)						
W <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	0.000949703	0.000786523	0.00093415					
$W_1C_2$	0.001086808	0.001059495	0.001073151					
$W_1C_3$	0.001013178	0.000954176	0.000983677					
$W_2C_1$	0.000986304	0.000955179	0.000970742					
W <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.001093802	0.001061027	0.001077414					
$W_2C_3$	0.001003903	0.000983671	0.000993787					
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	0.001038638	0.001011327	0.001024983					
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	0.00123806	0.001145496	0.001191778					
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	0.00122798	0.001207501	0.001217741					
S.Em ±	3.78265	5.79474	3.63384					
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS					
	Sowing Window	$V(W) \times Varieties(V)$						
$W_1V_1$	0.001065672	0.000935399	0.00104456					
W <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.000967453	0.000931397	0.000949425					
$W_2V_1$	0.001003722	0.00098954	0.000996631					
W <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.001052283	0.001010378	0.001031331					
W <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.001170514	0.001109051	0.001139783					
W <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.001165938	0.001133832	0.001149885					

S.Em ±	3.08852	4.73138E	2.96702
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS
	Sowing Window (W) ×	Crops (C) × Varieties (V)	
$C_1V_1$	0.000972426	0.000826174	0.000943325
$C_1V_2$	0.00101067	0.001009178	0.001009924
$C_2V_1$	0.00117045	0.001124042	0.001147246
$C_2V_2$	0.001108663	0.001053303	0.001080983
$C_3V_1$	0.001097032	0.001083773	0.001090403
$C_3V_2$	0.001066342	0.001013125	0.001039733
S.Em ±	3.08852	4.73138	2.96702
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS
	Sowing Window (W) ×	Crops (C) × Varieties (V)	
$W_1C_1V_1$	0.00102638	0.000706986	0.000998757
$W_1C_1V_2$	0.000873025	0.000866059	0.000869542
$W_1C_2V_1$	0.001109353	0.001080384	0.001094868
$W_1C_2V_2$	0.001064263	0.001038605	0.001051434
$W_1C_3V_1$	0.001061285	0.001018826	0.001040055
$W_1C_3V_2$	0.000965071	0.000889526	0.000927299
$W_2C_1V_1$	0.000934359	0.000895887	0.000915123
$W_2C_1V_2$	0.001038249	0.001014471	0.00102636
$W_2C_2V_1$	0.00107362	0.001085747	0.001079683
$W_2C_2V_2$	0.001113984	0.001036307	0.001075145
$W_2C_3V_1$	0.001003188	0.000986986	0.000995087
$W_2C_3V_2$	0.001004617	0.000980356	0.000992487
$W_3C_1V_1$	0.00095654	0.00087565	0.000916095
$W_3C_1V_2$	0.001120736	0.001147005	0.001133871
$W_3C_2V_1$	0.001328378	0.001205996	0.001267187
$W_3C_2V_2$	0.001147741	0.001084997	0.001116369
$W_3C_3V_1$	0.001226624	0.001245509	0.001236067
W <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	0.001229336	0.001169493	0.001199415
S.Em ±	5.34948	8.195	5.13903
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS

Factor A - W<sub>1</sub>: August  $2^{nd}$  fortnight; W<sub>2</sub>: September  $1^{st}$  fortnight; W<sub>3</sub>: September  $2^{nd}$  fortnight Factor B - C<sub>1</sub>: Foxtail millet; C<sub>2</sub>: Proso millet; C<sub>3</sub>: Little millet

Factor C - V1: GPUF 3 /GPUP 28 / GPUL6; V2: DHFt 109-3/ GPUP 21 / DHLM 36-3

Net assimilation rate was recorded at 31-60 DAS. NAR has not significantly influenced by sowing windows, crops and varieties However numerically higher in early sown crops. Interactions between sowing windows and crops, windows and varieties, crops and varieties, sowing window, crops and varieties were found non-significant. This may be attributed to environmental factors such as light, temperature, water availability, and nutrient supply. If these factors are not optimal, NAR may not be significant. These findings are in line with Jadipujari *et al.* (2023).

### CONCLUSIONS

Sowing during August second fortnight has recorded higher absolute growth rate, crop growth rate, relative growth rate while lower absolute growth rate, crop growth rate relative growth rate was recorded in September second fortnight sowing. Among the crops, higher AGR, RGR and CGR were recorded in foxtail millet whereas, lower absolute growth rate, crop growth rate and relative growth rate was recorded in little millet. Among varieties DHFt 109-3, GPUP-21 and GPUL-6 recorded higher AGR, CGR and RGR than GPUF-3, GPUP-28 and DHLM-36-3.

The combination of sowing of DHFt 109-3 variety of foxtail millet during second fortnight of august has recorded significantly higher AGR, CGR and RGR. Lower AGR, CGR and RGR was observed with combination sowing of DHLM 36-3 variety of little millet during second fortnight of September at 60 DAS- harvest. NAR showed non-significant responses with respect to sowing windows, crops and varieties.

# FUTURE SCOPE

The optimum sowing window for nutricereals is normally June to July but rainfall is not always adequate for normal sowing window moreover, rainfall is becoming uncertain in changing climate scenarios Therefore to get remunerative returns in aberrant weather conditions need to shift on growing nutricereals. Though nutricereals are being called as contingent crops, scientific study during late *kharif* is necessary. As climate is region specific, there is need to study the response of different varieties for different climatic regions of country. Nutrient and irrigation requirement of millets is need to be studied in detail.

Acknowledgement. The experiment was planned and carried out by Mohod Aishwarya Anilrao, Dr. Sukanya T.S., M. N. Thimmegowda., M.R. Anand, R. Krishnamurthy, G. Anand Manegar. The manuscript was written by Mohod Aishwarya Anilrao with input from Sukanya T.S., M. N. Thimmegowda., M.R. Anand, G. Anand Manegar, R. Krishnamurthy from Department of soil science and agricultural chemistry supported for lab analysis during this study. Mohod Aishwarya Anilrao, Ph.D. Scholar acknowledges guide, AICRP on small millets scheme other members' and friends for moral support during the conduct of research. **Conflict of Interest.** None.

### REFERENCES

Anilrao et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 16(7): 144-152(2024)

- Awais, M., Wajid, A., Ahmad, A., Farrukh, M. S., Muhammad Bashir, U., Saeed, J., Hussain, U. and Rahman, M. (2015). Nitrogen fertilization and narrow plant spacing stimulates sunflower productivity. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 20(1), 99-108.
- Gregory, P. G. (1926). The effect of climatic conditions on growth of barley. *Annals of botany*, 40, 1-26.
- Jadipujari, J., Anand, S. R., Nagangoudar, M. B., Rao, G. E. and Kalyana Murthy K. N. (2023). Performance of Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) under varied sowing windows and planting patterns. *Environment Conservation Journal*, 24(2), 208-217.
- Mondal, T., Datta, J. K. and Mondal, N. K. (2017). Chemical fertilizer in conjunction with biofertilizer and vermicompost induced changes in morphophysiological and bio-chemical traits of mustard crop. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*, 3(16), 135–144.
- Nasim, W., Ahmad, A. H., Hammad M. Chaudhary, H. and Muhammad, F. H. M. (2012). Effect of nitrogen on growth and yield of sunflower under semi-arid conditions of Pakistan. *Pakistan journal of botany*, 44(2), 639-648.
- Patel, K. S., Patel, P. G., PATEL, G. N., Patel, J. K. and Pathak, H. C. (2004). Feasibility of drip irrigation in castor, *Ricinus communis L.* under sandy loam soil of North Gujarat. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 21(1), 194-195.
- Prabhakar, S. D., Shrivastava, T. D. and Trivedi, S.

H. 2018). Millet cultivation in India: history and trends. *International Journal of Home Science*, *10* (12), 212-215.

- Radford, P. J. 1967. Growth analysis formulae and their use abuse. *Crop Science*, 8(5), 171-175.
- Reddy, B. V. S., Reddy, P. S., Kumar, A. A. and Ramaiah, B. (2007). Variation in the quality parameters of sweet sorghum across different dates of sowing. *Journal of SAT Agricultural Research*, 5(1), 1-3.
- Sukanya, T. S., Prabhakar, Krishne Gowda, K. T., Swarna, R., Hariprasanna, K. and Tonapi, V. A. (2022). Good Agronomic Practices for Higher Yield in Small Millets, ICAR - All India Coordinated Research Project on Small Millets, ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad.
- Sukanya, T. S., Ajaykumar, A., Sathya, K., Chaithra, C., Narayanan, A. L., Anand, M.R., Kishore, K., Shyam, M. and Nag, N. K. (2023), Nutricereals Role in Indian Agriculture, Food and Nutritional Security: A Review. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 57(2), 1–10.
- S. Vyshnavi, K. Indudhar Reddy, S. G. Mahadevappa and G. Kiran Reddy (2023). Effect of Dates of Sowing and varieties on Growth Parameters of Groundnut Crop during rabi Season. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(8a), 51-57.
- Watson, D. J. (1952). The physiological basis of variation in yield. Advances in Agronomy., 4, 101-145.

**How to cite this article:** Mohod Aishwarya Anilrao, T.S. Sukanya, M.N. Thimmegowda, Sneha M.A., Chaithra C (2024). Performance of Nutricereals and their Varieties under Varied Sowing Windows. *Biological Forum – An International Journal, 16*(7): 144-152.