

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(3): 391-396(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Short-term Zinc Biofortification effects on Morpho-economic Parameters of Rice in Rice-wheat Sequence in Inceptisols of Jammu, J&K

Gulzar Ahmad Bhat¹, Renu Gupta^{2*} and Haziq Shabir¹

¹Research Scholar, Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Chatha (Jammu & Kashmir), India. ²Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Chatha (Jammu & Kashmir), India.

(Corresponding author: Renu Gupta*)

(Received: 16 January 2023; Revised: 15 February 2023; Accepted: 21 February 2023; Published: 22 March 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: In order to address the issue of zinc deficiencies in rice crops, which are common in Jammu soils (known as inceptisols), different types and levels of zinc fertilizers are applied to the rice crop to determine their effectiveness in such soil conditions. The goal is to find the most suitable zinc fertilizer sources and application methods for such crop. A field trial comprising three different sources of zinc viz. zinc sulphate, zinc oxide and zinc chelate each with two levels of zinc (Zn), were applied both as soil and foliar application in rice cultivar (Pusa-1121). The experiment was carried out during the monsoon season of 2020 and 2021 at field research station of Division of Soil Science and Agricultural chemistry SKUAST-Chatha, Jammu. The treatment combination consisted of seven treatments viz. T₁-Soil Application of ZnSO_{4.7H2}O (12.5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar Spray 1.6 %), T₃-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 0.50 %), T4-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 0.65 %), T5-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 1.0 %), T₆-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA-chelate 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 1.5 %) and T_7 – Control and were replicated thrice in randomized complete block design. Each plot measured 3.0 m \times 2.0 m (6 m²), with plant spacing of 20 cm \times 10 cm between rice seedlings. Fertilizers were applied on basis as per the recommended nutrient requirement of basmati Pusa-1121 (N:P:K). Short term effect of zinc biofortification was studied on morpho-economic parameters of rice viz., plant height, Number of effective tillers, grain yield, straw yield and dry matter accumulation at harvest. Zn EDTA-chelate at 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ along with foliar application @ 1.5 % proved to best treatment and recorded significantly higher plant height, effective tillers m⁻², grain yield, straw yield and dry matter accumulation. The percentage increase of 16.7, 18.82, 10.10, 12.3 and 15.43 were registered among all respective parameters as compared to control, whereas treatment T₂-Soil Application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O 15 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 2.5 %), and T₅-Soil Application of Zn -EDTA 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 1.0 %) were statistically at par with treatment T₆-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA-chelate 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray 1.5 %).

Keywords: Zinc, Biofortification, Morphoeconomic parameters, Yield attributes.

INTRODUCTION

Bhat et al.,

Rice is the primary source of sustenance for over half of the global population, with cultivation occurring over an area of approximately 158.8 million hectares and an annual production of 751.9 million tons (FAO, 2017). India is the largest producer of rice after China, contributing to 21.5% of global rice production. Rice occupies 25% of the total cropped area in India, contributing 40-43% to total food grain production and is an essential element in the national food and livelihood security system. In India, rice is grown on 43.19 million hectares, with an annual productivity of 110.15 million tons and a yield of 2.55 quintals per hectare (Annual Report, 2017-18).

The state of Jammu and Kashmir has been growing rice as the most important staple food crop from time immemorial. The total rice area of the state is around 0.28 million hectares, with an annual production of 0.55 million tons and a yield of 2.1 tons per hectare (DES,

2018). Rice, specifically Oryza sativa L., is the staple food for 50% of the global population. In the financial year 2019, the yield of rice across India was estimated to be approximately 2.6 thousand kilograms per hectare. The increasing Indian population demands more production of rice across the country. However, rice grain is relatively lower in some micronutrients, such as Zinc (Zn), compared to other staple crops, including wheat, maize, and legumes. Zn and Iron (Fe) deficiency in rice can be mitigated through fertilization, which is deemed a cost-effective method to alleviate Zn and Fe malnutrition (Zhou et al., 2012). Application of Zn fertilization to cereal crops enhances productivity and grain Zn concentration, thereby contributing to the grain's nutritional value for human consumption. Researchers have reported the use of (ZnSO₄ at 25 kg ha^{-1} + 0.5% foliar application) which produced remarkably higher numbers of effective tillers meter-2, grains panicle⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, and length of the panicle (cm) in comparison to other treatments (Barua 15(3): 391-396(2023) **Biological Forum – An International Journal** 391

and Saikia 2018). Basal soil application of 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ produced a higher grain yield (50.05 q ha⁻¹) than foliar spray of 0.2% ZnSO₄ at two different stages, namely panicle initiation and flowering stage, as compared to the control (Sinha et al., 2018). Zinc application at 20-25 kg ha-1 to maize has also been reported (Ariraman et al., 2022). Furthermore, combined application of ZnSO₄, FeSO₄, and foliar application of Zn-EDTA, Fe-EDTA (Rao et al., 2019) has been reported in the literature. (Pedler et al., 2000), zinc plays a crucial role in connecting certain enzymes such as alcohol dehydrogenase, carbonic anhydrase, and superoxide dismutase. In addition to aiding in plant enzyme formation, zinc also activates numerous enzymatic reactions. It is evident that zinc is a vital nutrient for enzymatic processes. The scarcity of Zinc is more widespread, particularly in the northern region of India where rice and wheat are cultivated in rotation. Rice, grown in submerged conditions, has a higher requirement for Zinc as the availability of other nutrients increases under flooded conditions, thereby decreasing the availability of Zinc to crops. Recent estimates indicate that almost half of the world's population suffers from a shortage of Zinc, which could be attributed to the widespread scarcity of Zinc in soils worldwide (Cakmak, 2008). Approximately 49 percent of soils in India have a lack of Zinc, and this number is expected to increase to 63 percent by 2025 Arunachalam et al. (2013). Saha et al. (2013) conducted a study and found that the application of Zinc through soil and foliar methods resulted in a noteworthy increase in plant height of rice crops when compared to the control. The study results also indicated that there was a height increase of 3.6% and 7.3% with soil and foliar applications of Zinc. respectively, in comparison to the control.

The present study aimed to investigate the short-term effect of zinc-biofortification on morpho-economic parameters of rice, an essential cereal worldwide. As the world's population continues to increase, the demand for rice production rises. However, the nutritional value of rice must be enhanced, particularly concerning the micronutrient content. Zinc fertilization can provide a cost-effective and practical method to alleviate zinc deficiency in rice and improve the nutritional value of this crucial staple food crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted in research farm at main campus of the SKUAST-Jammu, J and K (UT), located in the North-Western plains of Jammu, near to the Shivalik range of hills, at 32°39'33"N latitude and 74°48'45"E longitude, at an elevation of 332 metres above mean sea level. The experiment consisted of 7 treatments wherein various zinc sources were applied as both Soil and foliar such as, zinc sulphate heptahydrate, zinc oxide and zinc chelated. Each treatment was replicated thrice, in a randomized block design. (Table 1). Each plot measured 3.0 m \times 2.0 m (6 m²), separated by 0.5 m bund, with plant spacing of 20 cm \times 10 cm between rice seedlings. Fertilizers were applied on basis as per the recommended nutrient requirement of Basmati cultivar -Pusa-1121 (N:P:K 45:30:15). Various morpho-economic plant parameters viz., plant height, No of effective tillers, grain yield, straw yield and dry matter accumulation were recorded and mean values were calculated for two years at harvest .The aim of our study was to look over the direct effects of different sources and methods of zinc application on plant parameters and also their effects on vield attributes in rice crop. The outcomes, of this research will help us to attenuate the zinc deficiency in rice crop and also helps us to ameliorate the zinc use efficiencies in paddy.

Sr. No.	Treatment combinations
T ₁	RDF + Soil Application of $ZnSO_4.7H_2O$ (12. 5 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (1.6 %).
T ₂	RDF + Soil Application of ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar.S (2.5 %).
T ₃	RDF + Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) +Foliar. S (0.50 %).
T_4	RDF + Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) +Foliar. S (0.65 %).
T ₅	RDF + Soil Application of Zn -EDTA (10Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.0%).
T ₆	RDF + Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.5 %).
T ₇	RDF (Control)

Table 1: Treatment details of Zinc fertilizers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant Height. There was significant difference in plant height amongst the treatments (Fig. 1). The maximum plant height was obtained in treatment T₆ (122.37) cm and exhibited 15.7 % increase in plant height as compared to control where, no zinc was applied. The treatment no T₆ (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray of 1.5 %). Treatment was significantly superior amongst all treatments and was statistically at par with T₅ (soil Application of Zn - EDTA (10 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray of 1.0 %) and T₂ (Soil Application of Zn-SO₄.7H₂O 15 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray of 2.5 %). The plant height increased significantly with

combined soil and foliar application of zinc Chelate along with recommended dose of fertilizers. It might be due to availability of sufficient nutrients that promotes improved crop growth and better root development. The Zn accelerates the enzymatic activity and auxin metabolism in crops. The results are in agreement with Khan *et al.* (2007); Humaira *et al.* (2015); Ram *et al.* (2011); Boonchuay *et al.* (2013); Mandal *et al.* (2009); Sudhagar *et al.* (2019) stated that the significant increase in plant height might be because of the internodes elongation and vigorous root growth, resulted in increase in protein synthesis and cell growth.



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{T_1-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (12.5 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (1.6 \%). \textbf{T_2-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (2.5 \%). \textbf{T_3-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.50 \%). \textbf{T_4-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.65 \%). \textbf{T_5-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (10Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.0 \%). \textbf{T_6-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.5 \%). \textbf{T_7-Control (RDF)}. \end{array}$

Fig. 1. Effect of zinc biofortification on plant height (cm) in rice crop.

Effective tillers m⁻². The data furnished in the (Fig. 3) revealed that the maximum no of effective tillers per meter square was observed in treatment T₆ (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray of 1.5%) is 360.32 unit with respect to the treatment no T₇ (control) is 292.54 units and reveals 18.82 %increase with respect to T₇ (control) where, no zinc was applied. The treatment no T₆ was significantly superior to the rest of the treatments and at par with treatment no T₅ (Soil Application of Zn -EDTA 10kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray of 1.0 % and treatment T₂ (Soil Application of

ZnSO₄.7H₂O 15 kgha⁻¹ + Foliar. S 2.5 %. The above result may be due to the soil and foliar application of zinc chelate which facilitated more number of effective tillers m⁻² in rice crop (Mustafa *et al.*, 2013); Rehman *et al.* (2012); Sudhagar *et al.* (2019) observed that the increase in no. of effective tillers m⁻² might be due to increased photo synthetic rate, excessive accumulation of sucrose, glucose and fructose in leaves and also fixation of nitrogen which may support in increased physiological parameters of the plant.



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{T_1-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (12.5 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (1.6 \%). \textbf{T_2-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (2.5 \%). \textbf{T_3-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.50 \%). \textbf{T_4-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.65 \%). \textbf{T_5-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (10Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.0 \%). \textbf{T_6-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.5 \%). \textbf{T_7-Control (RDF).} \end{array}$

Fig. 2. Effect of zinc biofortification on Effective tillers m⁻² in rice crop.

Grain Yield. While as in terms of grain yield the above Fig. 3 shows that the highest grain yield was obtained in treatment T_6 (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray of 1.5%) was 36.18 quintals per hectare with respect of the control T_7 is 26.17 and an increase over 10.10 % with respect of the control where, no zinc and iron were applied. The T_6 treatment was significantly on higher side to the rest of the treatments and at par with T_5 Soil Application of Zn - EDTA (10 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray of (1.0 %) and T_2 (Soil Application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O (15 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar

spray 2.5 %). It might be due to the soil and foliar application of zinc chelate. This result is in arrangement with the finding of Karak *et al.* (2005); Barua and Saika (2018); Cakmak (2008); Saha *et al.* (2013); Sudhagar *et al.* (2019) also found that it may be due to the catalytic or stimulatory effect of zinc on most of the physiological and metabolic process of plants and biosynthesis of IAA, which indirectly results in initiation of primordial reproductive part and portioning of photosynthetic towards them which ultimately promotes the grain yield.



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{T_1-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (12.5 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (1.6 \%). \textbf{T_2-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (2.5 \%). \textbf{T_3-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.50 \%). \textbf{T_4-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.65 \%). \textbf{T_5-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (10Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.0 \%). \textbf{T_6-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.5 \%). \textbf{T_7-Control (RDF)}. \end{array}$

Fig. 3. Effect of Zinc biofortification on grain Yield (q ha⁻¹) in rice crop.

Straw Yield. The highest straw yield shown by the Fig. 4 indicates that significantly higher straw yield in treatment T₆ (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray of 1.5% is 56.19 units while as shows higher straw yield in other treatments with respect to T₇ (control), where, no zinc and iron were applied. The T₆ treatment was significantly on higher side to the rest of the treatments but on par with treatment no T₅ (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (10 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray of 1.0%) and T₂ (Soil Application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O (15 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar. S 2.5%. The treatment no T₆ shows an increase in This may be due

to the to better performance of growth and yield parameters through adequate availability of major and micro nutrients in soil, which in turn, favorably influenced physiological processes and build-up of photosynthates, and these results are in close conformity with the Tabassum *et al.* (2013). The commendatory effect of Zn-EDTA on the proliferation of roots thus helps in increasing the uptake of the plants nutrients from the soil supplying in to the aerial parts of the plant and ultimately enhancing the overall growth of the plant (Barua and Sakia 2018); Cakmak (2008); Saha (2013); Sudhagar *et al.* (2019).



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{T_1-Soil Application of ZnSO_4.7H_2O (12. 5 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (1.6 \%). \textbf{T_2-Soil Application of ZnSO_4.7H_2O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (2.5 \%). \textbf{T_3-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.50 \%). \textbf{T_4-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.65 \%). \textbf{T_5-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (10Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.0 \%). \textbf{T_6-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.5 \%). \textbf{T_7-Control (RDF)}. \end{array}$

Fig. 4. Effect of zinc biofortification on Straw Yield (q ha⁻¹) in rice crop.



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{T_1-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (12.5 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (1.6 \%). \textbf{T_2-Soil Application of ZnSO4.7H_2O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar. S (2.5 \%). \textbf{T_3-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.50 \%). \textbf{T_4-Soil Application of ZnO (5 kg /hectare) + Foliar. S (0.65 \%). \textbf{T_5-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (10Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.0 \%). \textbf{T_6-Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 Kg/ha) + Foliar spray of (1.5 \%). \textbf{T_7-Control (RDF).} \end{array}$

Fig. 5. Effect of zinc biofortification on dry matter accumulation (q ha⁻¹) in rice crop.

Dry matter Accmulation. The data pertaining to dry matter production was furnished in the (Fig. 5) stated that the best treatment in terms of dry matter production was treatment T₆ with respect of the all other treatments. The highest dry matter production was found in T₆ (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 kg ha-¹) + Foliar spray of 1.5%) is 122.70 units with respect to the treatment no T_7 (control) is 103.77 units while as in percentage it was of 15.43 % with respect to the control. Treatment T₆ was significantly best treatment but was at par with treatment T₁ (Soil Application of $ZnSO_4.7H_2O$ (12. 5 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray (1.6 %), T₂ (Soil Application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O (15 kg /ha) + Foliar spray (2.5 %) and T₅ (Soil Application of Zn -EDTA 10 kg ha^{-1} + Foliar spray of 1.0 %). The combined application of both soil and foliar of zinc chelate had helped towards balanced availability of nutrients throughout the crop growth period. The enhanced availability of nutrients especially zinc under aerobic conditions might have leaded to better accumulation of photosynthates in the form of dry matter. These results were in accordance with Pal et al. (2008); Karak (2005); Dhaliwal et al. (2009); Khan et al. (2012); Naik and Das (2008); Sudhagar et al. (2019). Observed that RDF as soil application along with Zn-EDTA resulted in distribution of nutrients within the rice plant that occurs through xylem and re-translocation in phloem which increases vegetative tissue formation resulted in improved photosynthetic activity which exhibit boosted growth of the plant parts and increase in dry matter.

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment T₆ (Soil Application of Zn-EDTA (12.5 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray of 1.5%) in terms of plant parameters as well under yield attributes, but was statistically at with treatment T₅ (Soil Application of Zn -EDTA 10 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar spray of 1.0%). However, treatment T₁ (Soil Application of ZnSO₄.7H₂O (12.5 kg ha⁻¹) + Foliar spray (1.6%) also shows better performance under such conditions, so it has equally potential to perform better in terms zinc biofortification point of view and also zinc sulphate is economically viable from farmers point of view as it easily available and cheapest among all the zinc fertilizers.

However, in order to ensure the success of zinc biofortification interventions, it is important to implement policies such as providing financial incentives to farmers, offering capacity building and awareness programs for farmers, seed multipliers, and rural communities to educate them on balanced crop fertilization (micronutrients such as Zn), Additionally, subsidizing Zn fertilizer products for farmers and also foliar application should be at the precise time when the crop is in need of it, Zinc fertilizer soil application should be added with the organic manure so as to increase the efficiency of such fertilizers.

Acknowledgement I am grateful for the valuable guidance and support provided by my guide in this study, as well as their assistance in analyzing my results. Additionally, I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Vikas Sharma and Haziq Shabir for aiding me in preparing this paper.

Conflict of Interest. Zinc plays a crucial part in enhancing the overall potential of cereal crops, and conducting such kind

of research can aid in reducing zinc deficiency in the population masses, which suffers its deficiency as well as ensuring an equilibrium of zinc in the soil.

REFERENCES

- Annual Report (2017-18). Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare (Govt. of India), p.3.
- Ariraman, M., Singaravelu, G., Chinnadurai, C., Vanniarajan, C. and Santhi, R. (2022). Effect of Zinc Application on Maize Yield and Nutrient Uptake. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 1-9.
- Arunachalam, P., Kannan, P., Prabhaharan, J., Prabukumar, G., & Kavitha, Z. (2013). Response of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) genotypes to soil fertilization of micronutrients in alfisol conditions. *Electronic* Journal of Plant Breeding, 4(1), 1043-1049.
- Barua, D. and Saikia, M. (2018). Agronomic biofortification in rice varieties through zinc fertilization under aerobic condition. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 52(1), 89-92.
- Boonchuay, P., Cakmak, I., Rerkasem, B. and Prom-U-Thai, C. (2013). Effect of different foliar zinc application at different growth stages on seed zinc concentration and its impact on seedling vigor in rice. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 59(2), 180-188.
- Cakmak, I. (2008). Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification? *Plant and Soil*, 302(1), 1-17.
- DES. (2018). Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance.
- Dhaliwal, S. S., Sadana, U. S., Manchanda, J. S. and Dhadli, H. S. (2009). Biofortification of wheat grains with zinc and iron in Typic Ustochrept soils of Punjab. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers*, 5(11), 13-20.
- FAO (2017). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rice Monitor Vol. XX issue no. 1, April, 2017.
- Humaira, T. S., Muhammad, J., Muhammad, A., Hmid, U. S., Saleem, U and Sahib, A. (2015). Effect of zinc on physico-chemical parameters of hydroponically grown rice varieties. *Middle East Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4(3), 395-403.
- Karak, T., Singh, U. K., Das, S., Das, D. K. and Kuzyakov, Y. (2005). Comparative efficacy of ZnSO4 and Zn-EDTA application for fertilization of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 51(3), 253-264.
- Khan, M. U., Qasim, M. and Khan, I. (2007). Effect of Zn fertilizer on rice grown in different soils of Dera Ismail Khan. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, 23(4), 1033-1040.
- Khan, P., Yousuf, M. M., Imtiaz, M., Depar, N., Aslam M., Muhammad, S. M. and Shah, J. A. (2012). Determining the zinc requirements of rice genotype Sarshar evolved at NIA, Tandojam. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, 28, 1-8.
- Mandal, L., Maiti, D. and Bandyopadhyay, P. (2009). Response of zinc in transplanted rice under integrated nutrient management in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. ORYZA-An International Journal on Rice, 46(2), 113-115.
- Mustafa, G., Ehsanullah, N., Akbar, S. A., Qaisrani, A., Iqbal, H., Khan, Z., Jabran, K., Chattha, A. A., Trethowan, R., Chattha, T. and Atta, B. M. (2013). Effect of Zinc application on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences, 5(6), 530-535.

Bhat et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15

15(3): 391-396(2023)

- Naik, S. K. and Das, D. K. (2008). Relative performance of chelated zinc and zinc sulphate for lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 81(3), 219-227.
- Pal, S., Datta, S. P., Rattan, R. K. and Singh, A. K. (2008). Diagnosis and amelioration of iron deficiency under aerobic rice. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 31(5), 919 – 940.
- Pedler, J. F., Parker, D. R. and Crowley, D. E. (2000). Zinc deficiency- included phytosiderophores released by the Triticaceae is not consistently expressed in solution culture. *Journal of Planta*, 211, 120-126.
- Ram, U. S., Srivastava, V. K., Sabha, J. and Singh, S. K. (2011). Effect of N, P, K, Zn, Fe and FYM on nutrient uptake and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, 29(3), 1210-1213.
- Rao, S., G.B., Immanuel, R.R., Ramesh, S., Baradhan, G. and Sureshkumar, S. M. (2019). Effect of zinc and iron fertilization on growth and development of rice. *Plant Archives*, 19(2), 1877-1880.
- Rehman, F., Aziz, T., Farooq, M., Wakeel, A. and Rengel, Z. (2012). Zinc nutrition in rice production system: A review. *Plant and Soil*, 361, 203-226.

- Saha, B., Saha, S., Roy, P. D., Hazra, G. C. and Das, A. (2013). Zinc fertilization effects on agromorphological and quality parameters of commonly grown rice. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 11(1), 105-120.
- Sinha, C., Ambika, T. and Ravi, S. K. (2018). Effect of zinc fertilization on yield, economics, iron and zinc content in grain and soil available Fe and Zn under alternate wetting and drying condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(1), 1172-1174.
- Sudhagar Rao G. B., R. Rex Immanuel, S. Ramesh, G. Baradhan, and Sureshkumar S. M. (2019). Effect of Zinc and Iron Fertilization on Growth and Development of Rice. Plant Archives, Vol. 19, Supplement 2, pp. 1877-1880.
- Tabassum, S., Khamparia, R. S. and Singh, S. (2013). Effect of zinc and organic manures on yield attributes and yield of rice. *Journal of Bioinfolet*, 10(3A), 879-881.
- Zhou, X. B., Huang, J. G., Zhou, Y. X. and Shi, W.M. (2012). The mechanism of rhizosphere phosphorus activation of two wheat genotypes with different phosphorus efficiency. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11, 1579-1591.

How to cite this article: Gulzar Ahmad Bhat, Renu Gupta and Haziq Shabir (2023). Short-term Zinc Biofortification Effects on Morpho-economic Parameters of Rice in Rice-wheat Sequence in Inceptisols of Jammu, J&K. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(3): 391-396.