

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(1): 264-267(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Yield Attributing Traits and Economic Efficacy of Pineapple as Influenced by **Plant Growth Regulators and Micronutrients**

Bijay Kumar Baidya¹*, Kundan Kishore², Deepa Samant², S.N. Dash¹, R.K. Panda³, Ankita Sahu⁴ and Kuldeep K. Shukla¹

¹Department of Fruit Science and Horticulture Technology, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar (Odisha), India. ²Central Horticultural Experiment Station (ICAR-IIHR), Aiginia, Bhubaneswar (Odisha), India. ³Department of Plant Physiology, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar (Odisha), India.

⁴ICAR-Central Institute for Women in Agriculture, Bhubaneswar (Odisha), India.

(Corresponding author: Bijay Kumar Baidya*)

(Received: 16 November 2022; Revised: 18 December 2022; Accepted: 28 December, 2022; Published: 14 January, 2023)

(Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: An experiment was conducted to study the influence of growth regulators and micronutrients on yield attributing traits and economic efficacy of pineapple (Ananas comosus L.) cv. MD-2. Challenges faced by pineapple growing farmers are not getting optimum and uniform-sized fruits required for high yield which leads to fetching lesser prices in the market and the fruits are also of no export standards. Therefore, the study was conducted during 2020-21 and 2021-22 to find out the effective concentration of plant growth regulators and micronutrients as well as their combination for obtaining a high vield of pineapple fruits. In the present study, it was observed that the application of growth regulators and micronutrients at the flower initiation stage was found beneficial for increasing the yield of pineapple fruits. While the combined spraying of NAA 200 mg L⁻¹ along with boron 100 mg L⁻¹ and zinc 100 mg L^{-1} at the flower initiation stage was beneficial for getting higher fruit yield (35.98 ton ha⁻¹) and maximizing the gross return (\mathbf{x} 8.16 lakhs ha⁻¹) as well as net return (\mathbf{x} 4.57 lakhs ha⁻¹) with a high benefitcost ratio (2.33) of pineapple cv.MD-2.

Keywords: Plant growth regulators, micronutrients, NAA (naphthalene acetic acid), GA (gibberellic acid), BR (brassinosteroid), boron, zinc, yield, economics, pineapple, MD-2.

INTRODUCTION

In the Bromeliaceae family, the pineapple (Ananas comosus L.) is one of the most important commercial fruits in the world and is believed to have originated in Brazil (Sharma et al., 2022). India is the fifth largest producer of pineapple with 0.11 MHA hectares area and 17.99 mt productions with productivity of 16.8 t ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2020). It is cost-effective to use plant growth regulators due to their effectiveness at very low concentrations. The importance of growth regulators in regulating plant growth and development is now widely acknowledged. The plant growth regulator such as naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), gibberellic acid (GA), and brassinosteroids (BR) are useful to increase fruit weight and ultimately increase fruit yield (Singh and Chohan 1984; Shinde et al., 2008; Pal et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Li and Sun, 2010). Apart from PGRs, micronutrients also play a vital role in crop yield and the quality of pineapple. According to Prof. R.K. Nayak (Associate Professor, Soil Science, OUAT), the soil of Odisha suffers from micronutrient deficiency especially boron and zinc (Rout, 2019). There is no doubt elements like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potash play a vital role in promoting plant vigour and production but micronutrients like Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu, and B are also equally important, despite their requirement in micro quantities (Yadav and Solanki 2015). A sufficient amount of micronutrient application is necessary for better plant growth which ultimately results in a higher yield, better flowering, and higher fruit set (Ram and Bose 2000) whereas its deficiency leads to a reduction in the productivity of fruit crops (Kumar 2002; Zagade et al., 2017). Hence, an attempt has been made to modify the growth in terms of fruit weight and size by use of appropriate plant growth regulators and micronutrients with proper doses in pineapple to increase yield with economic benefit.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field trial on pineapple cv. MD-2 was conducted at Central Horticultural Experiment Station, Aignia, Bhubaneswar, India during 2020-21 and 2021-22. Pineapple was planted in a double-row system of planting $(60 \times 70 \times 90 \text{ cm})$. The experiment consisted of 14 treatments, and foliar application of the plant growth regulators and micronutrients was done at the

Baidya et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(1): 264-267(2023)

flower initiation stage. The experiment was arranged in a randomized completed block design (RBCD) with three replications using 25 plants per replication. The treatments were comprised of T_1 – control; T_2 – B 100 mg L⁻¹, T₃ - NAA 100 mg L⁻¹; T₄ - NAA 200 mg L⁻¹; T₅ - NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L^{-1} ; T₆ - NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L^{-1} ; T₇ - GA 50 mg L^{-1} ; T₈ - GA 100 mg L⁻¹; T₉ – GA 50 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹; T₁₀ - GA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹; T₁₁ - BR 2 mg L ¹; T_{12} - BR 4 mg L⁻¹; T_{13} - BR 2⁻¹ + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L^{-1} ; $T_{14} - BR 4 + B 100 + Zn 100 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$. The cost of production was calculated considering labour charges, cost of manures, fertilizers, growth regulators, micronutrients, suckers, and other inputs used for raising the crops. The net return was computed as the difference between the gross return and the cost of production. The benefit-cost ratio was calculated by dividing the gross return by the cost of production.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 reveals that yield of pineapple was significantly influenced by the application of growth regulators and micronutrients. In the main crop, the maximum fruit yield (40.97 ton ha⁻¹) was recorded under treatment T6 $(NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L^{-1})$ followed by treatment T_5 (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* 38.01 ton ha⁻¹, whereas, the minimum yield of 29.83 ton ha⁻¹ was observed in T_1 (control). A similar pattern was observed in the ratoon crop, where the maximum fruit yield (30.99 ton ha⁻¹) was recorded under treatment T_6 (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mgL⁻¹) followed by treatment T_5 (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L^{-1}) *i.e.* 29.96 ton ha⁻¹, whereas, the minimum yield of 22.38 ton ha⁻¹ was observed in T_1 (control). Therefore, from the mean data, it was observed that the maximum yield (35.98 ton ha⁻¹) was recorded in treatment T_6 followed by treatment T_5 (29.96 ton ha⁻¹), and the minimum yield was recorded in treatment T_1 (26.11 ton ha⁻¹). This might be due to the effect of NAA attributed to the increase in cell division and cell elongation through the enlargement of vacuoles and the loosening of cell walls after increasing the plasticity of the cell wall which results in bigger fruits ultimately increasing the fruit yield (Ranjan et al., 2003; Agrawal and Dikshit 2008). These findings are in accordance with the results obtained by Nkansah et al. (2012) in mango, Srivastava et al. (2009) in aonla, Yadav et al. (2021) in ber, and Prajapati et al. (2016) in custard apple. Spraying of micronutrients such as zinc along with NAA might have also increased the fruit weight and it is evident that zinc increases the biosynthesis of auxin (Mašev and Kutáčcek, 1966; Malik et al., 2000). According to Shireen et al. (2018), boron also plays a vital role in increasing fruit size which might be due to the increase in cell division and carbohydrate metabolism. Therefore, it may be concluded that the combined role of NAA, boron, and zinc might be the reason for increasing the fruit size which results in higher fruit yield in pineapple. A similar observation was reported by Kumari and Deb (2018) who reported that there is an increase in fruit weight when pineapple fruits are treated with a combined formulation of zinc and boron. These findings were supported by Amorim *et al.* (2013); Yong-hong lin and Jen-hshuan chen (2011) in pineapple, Baiea *et al.* (2015) in mango, Ghanta *et al.* (1992) as well as Pant and Lavania (1998) in papaya.

The data (Table 2) of the main crop (2021) revealed that the highest cost of production (₹ 4.87 lakhs ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T_{10} (GA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) followed by the treatment T_8 (GA 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* \gtrless 4.85 lakhs ha⁻¹, and T₉ (GA 50 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 4.65 lakhs ha⁻¹. While the lowest cost of cultivation (₹ 4.33 lakhs ha⁻¹) was recorded in control (T_1) . Similarly, in the case of the ration crop (2022), the highest cost of production was recorded in T_{10} *i.e.* ₹ 3.13 lakhs ha⁻¹ followed by T_8 *i.e.* ₹ 3.11 lakhs ha^{-1,} and T₉ *i.e.* ₹ 2.91 lakhs ha⁻¹ and lowest in fruits with no treatment or control (T₁) *i.e.* ₹ 2.59 lakhs ha⁻¹. Therefore, the mean data for two years presented in Table 2 reveals that the highest cost of production was recorded in T_{10} (GA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 4.00 lakhs ha⁻¹ followed by the treatment T_8 (GA 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 3.98 lakhs ha⁻¹, and T₉ (GA 50 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 3.78 lakhs ha⁻¹ and the lowest cost of cultivation was recorded in control (T₁) *i.e.* ₹ 3.46 lakhs ha⁻¹.

The gross return of the treatments influenced by plant growth regulators and micronutrients is present in Table 2. In the main crop (2021), the highest gross return per hectare *i.e.* \gtrless 9.29 lakhs ha⁻¹ was obtained in treatment T_6 (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) followed by treatment T_5 (NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 8.62 lakhs ha⁻¹. While the lowest gross return was recorded in control (T₁₀) *i.e.* \gtrless 6.77 lakhs ha⁻¹. Similarly in the ratoon crops (2022), the highest gross return was observed in T₆ (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 7.03 lakhs ha⁻¹ followed by T_5 (NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 6.80 lakhs ha⁻¹ and the lowest was observed in T₁ (control) *i.e.* ₹ 5.08 lakhs ha . Therefore, the mean data reveals that the highest gross return was recorded in T_6 (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 8.16 lakhs ha⁻¹ followed by T_5 $(NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L^{-1})$ *i.e.* ₹ 7.71 lakhs ha⁻¹ and the lowest was observed in T₁ (control) *i.e.* \gtrless 5.92 lakhs ha⁻¹.

The most important aspect of economics is the net return and the benefit/cost (B/C) ratio is presented in Table 2. In the main crop (2021), it is observed that maximum net return *i.e.* $\mathbf{\xi}$ 4.83 lakhs ha⁻¹, and B/C ratio *i.e.* 2.08 was obtained in treatment T₆ (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) followed by T₅ (NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) with a net return of $\mathbf{\xi}$ 4.18 lakhs ha⁻¹ and B/C ratio of 1.94. While the lowest net return and B/C ratio were recorded in T₁₁ (BR 2 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* $\mathbf{\xi}$ 2.40 lakhs ha⁻¹ and 1.54 respectively. Similarly in the ratoon crops (2022), maximum net return *i.e.* $\mathbf{\xi}$ 4.31 lakhs ha⁻¹, and B/C ratio *i.e.* 2.58 was obtained in treatment T₆ (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) followed by T₅ (NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) with a net return of $\mathbf{\xi}$ 4.09 lakhs ha⁻¹ and B/C ratio of 2.51. While the lowest net return and B/C ratio were

recorded in T₁₁ (BR 2 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 2.44 lakhs ha⁻¹ and 1.90 respectively. Therefore in mean data, the highest net return and B/C ratio was recorded in T₆ (NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 4.57 lakhs ha⁻¹ and 2.33 respectively followed by T₅ (NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 4.13 lakhs ha⁻¹ of net return with B/C ratio of 2.23 and the lowest was observed in T₁₁ (BR 2 mg L⁻¹) *i.e.* ₹ 2.42 lakhs ha⁻¹ with B/C ratio of 1.72. It is clearly observed that fruits treated with NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100 mg L⁻¹ showed maximum gross return

and net return which resulted in a high B/C ratio. It might be due to the increase in fruit weight due to the interaction effect of NAA, boron, and zinc as discussed earlier in this paper. The results confirm the findings of Sarkar *et al.* (2022), Prajapati *et al.* (2016), and Thorat *et al.* (2018). It is observed that the lowest net return and B/C ratio was observed in fruits treated with brassinosteroid 2 mg L⁻¹, it is due to the high cost of brassinosteroid and no significant increase in fruit weight compared to control.

Table 1: Influence of PGRs and micronutrients on yield (tons ha⁻¹) of pineapple.

Treatments (mg L ⁻¹)	Yield (tons ha ⁻¹)							
	2021	2022	Mean					
T ₁ - Control	29.83	22.38	26.11					
T ₂ - B 100 + Zn 100	31.32	23.98	27.65					
T ₃ – NAA 100	34.82	26.11	30.47					
T ₄ – NAA 200	36.30	28.56	32.43					
$T_5 - NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100$	38.01	29.96	33.99					
T ₆ -NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100	40.97	30.99	35.98					
T ₇ – GA 50	33.90	25.55	29.72					
T ₈ – GA 100	34.10	25.64	29.87					
T ₉ - GA 50 + B 100 + Zn 100	36.51	28.83	32.67					
$T_{10} - GA \ 100 + B \ 100 + Zn \ 100$	36.82	29.06	32.94					
T ₁₁ -BR 2	30.17	22.67	26.42					
$T_{12} - BR 4$	30.42	23.13	26.78					
$T_{13} - BR 2 + B 100 + Zn 100$	31.81	24.28	28.04					
T_{14} - BR 4 + B 100 + Zn 100	32.27	24.82	28.54					
SEm (±)	0.345	0.351	0.348					
CD (5%)	1.00	1.02	1.01					

 Table 2: Influence of PGRs and micronutrients on cost of production, gross return, net return, and benefit cost ratio of pineapple.

Treatments (mg L ⁻¹)	CP (₹ in lakhs)		GR (₹ in lakhs)		NR (₹ in lakhs)			B/C ratio				
	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean	2021	2022	Mean
T ₁ : Control	4.33	2.59	3.46	6.77	5.08	5.92	2.43	2.48	2.46	1.56	1.96	1.76
T₂: B 100 + Zn 100	4.43	2.69	3.56	7.10	5.44	6.27	2.67	2.75	2.71	1.60	2.02	1.81
T ₃ : NAA 100	4.42	2.68	3.55	7.90	5.92	6.91	3.47	3.24	3.36	1.79	2.21	2.00
T ₄ : NAA 200	4.44	2.70	3.57	8.23	6.48	7.35	3.79	3.78	3.79	1.86	2.40	2.13
T_5 : NAA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100	4.44	2.70	3.57	8.62	6.80	7.71	4.18	4.09	4.13	1.94	2.51	2.23
T₆ : NAA 200 + B 100 + Zn 100	4.46	2.72	3.59	9.29	7.03	8.16	4.83	4.31	4.57	2.08	2.58	2.33
T ₇ : GA 50	4.63	2.89	3.76	7.69	5.80	6.74	3.06	2.91	2.98	1.66	2.01	1.83
T ₈ : GA 100	4.85	3.11	3.98	7.73	5.82	6.78	2.88	2.71	2.80	1.59	1.87	1.73
T ₉ : GA 50 + B 100 + Zn 100	4.65	2.91	3.78	8.28	6.54	7.41	3.63	3.63	3.63	1.78	2.25	2.01
T ₁₀ : GA 100 + B 100 + Zn 100	4.87	3.13	4.00	8.35	6.59	7.47	3.48	3.46	3.47	1.71	2.10	1.91
T ₁₁ : BR 2	4.44	2.70	3.57	6.84	5.14	5.99	2.40	2.44	2.42	1.54	1.90	1.72
T ₁₂ : BR 4	4.48	2.74	3.61	6.90	5.25	6.07	2.42	2.51	2.47	1.54	1.92	1.73
T_{13} : BR 2 + B 100 + Zn 100	4.46	2.72	3.59	7.21	5.51	6.36	2.75	2.78	2.77	1.62	2.02	1.82
T_{14} : BR 4 + B 100 + Zn 100	4.50	2.76	3.63	7.32	5.63	6.47	2.82	2.87	2.84	1.63	2.04	1.83
SEm (±)	-	-	-	0.078	0.080	0.079	0.078	0.080	0.079	0.017	0.029	0.023
CD (5%)	-	-	-	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.05	0.08	0.07

*GR – Gross return, CP – Cost of production, NR - Net return, 2021 – Main crop, 2022 – Ratoon crop; *Selling price – Rs. 25/kg

CONCLUSION

Based on the finding of the investigation it may be concluded that application with NAA 200 mg L⁻¹ along with boron 100 mg L⁻¹ and zinc 100 mg L⁻¹ at the flower initiation stage is beneficial for getting optimum sized fruits which results in higher fruit yield as well as higher net return with high benefit to cost ratio of pineapple. It is also observed that the application of brassinosteroid showed the lowest benefit-to-cost ratio as the price of brassinosteroid is much higher compared to other plant growth regulators and produced comparatively lesser yield which is not profitable. Hence the application of NAA along with boron and zinc is profitable in pineapple fruit when compared to other treatments.

FUTURE SCOPE

There is a need to evaluate the combination of different plant growth regulators on pineapple fruits. Synthetic growth regulators should also be examined in pineapple fruits. There is a need to explore other new-generation plant growth regulators such as jasmonic acid, salicylic acid, polyamines, etc on pineapple.

Acknowledgment. I express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Dr. Kundan Kishore and Central Horticultural Experiment Station (ICAR-IIHR), Bhubaneswar, Odisha for providing the necessary facilities to carry out the experimental research study.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, S. and Dikshit, S. N. (2008). Studies on the effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of sapota (*Achras sapota* L.) cv. Cricket Ball. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 42, 207-211.
- Amorim, A. V, Garruti, D. S., Lacerda, C. F., Moura, C. F. H. and Filho, E. G. (2013). Postharvest and sensory quality of pineapples grown under micronutrients doses and two types of mulching. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(19), 2240-2248.
- Anonymous (2020). Indiastat database-2019. Available fromhttp:// www. indiastat.com database. pdf. Accessed on 12th January, 2020.
- Baiea, M. H. M, El-Badawy, H. E. M. and ElGioushy, S. F. (2015). Effect of Potassium, Zinc and Boron on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Keitt Mango Trees. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical*, *Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 6(4), 800.
- Ghanta, P. K., Dhus, R. S. and Mitra, S. K. (1992). Response of papaya to foliar spray of Boron, Manganese and Copper. *Horticultural Journal*, 5(1), 43-48.
- Kumar, P. (2002). Managing micronutrient deficiency in ornamental crops. *Indian Horticult.*, 46(4), 30-31.
- Kumari, U. and Deb, P. (2018). Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc and Boron on the Physical Parameters of Pineapple cv. Mauritius. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 7, 397-402.
- Li, Y. H, Wu, Y. J, Wu, B, Zou M. H, Zhang, Z. and Sun, G. M. (2011). Exogenous gibberellic acid increases the fruit weight of 'Comte de Paris' pineapple by enlarging flesh cells without negative effects on fruit quality. Acta Physiol Plant, 33, 1715-1722.
- Li, Y. H. and Sun, G. M. (2010). Effect of gibberellic acid and N-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-N'-phenylurea treatments on fruit quality of pineapple. Newsletter of the pineapple Working Group. *International Society Horticultural Science, Hawaii*, 17, 18.
- Malik, R. P, Ahlawat, V. P. and Nain, S. (2000). Effect of foliar spray of urea and zinc sulphate on yield and fruit quality of Kinnow- a mandarin hybrid. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 29(1-2), 37-38.
- Mašev, N. and Kutáčcek, M. (1966). The effect of zinc on the biosynthesis of tryptophan, andol auxins and gibberellins in barley. *Biol. Plant*, 8, 142–151.
- Nkansah, G. O., Ofosu-Anim, J. and Mawuli, A. (2012). Gibberellic acid and Naphthalene acetic acid affect fruit retention, yield and quality of Keitt Mango in the Coastal Savanna Ecological Zone of Ghana. *American Journal of Plant Physiology*, 7(6), 243–251.
- Pal, R., Subba, P., Seletsu, S., Paul, P. K., Bhowmick, N. B., Mathew and Suresh, C. P. (2010). Induction of flowering in pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L.Merr) by NAA and Ethrel. Newsletter of the pineapple Working Group. *International Society Horticultural Science*. *Hawaii*, 17, 20.
- Pant, V. and Lavania, M. L. (1998). Effect of foliar spray of iron, zinc and boron on growth and yield of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). South Ind. Hort., 46(1&2), 5-8.
- Prajapati, R. D., Laua, H. N., Solanki, P. D. and Parekh, N. S. (2016). Effect of plant growth regulators on flowering,

fruiting, yield and quality parameters of custard apple (*Annona squmosa* L.). Ecology, *Environment and Conservation*, 22, 177–179.

- Ram R. A. and Bose T. K. (2000). Effect of foliar application of magnesium and micronutrients on growth, yield and fruit quality of mandarin orange, *Indian J. Hortic.*, 57(3), 215-220.
- Ranjan, R., Purohit, S. S. and Prasad, V. (2003). Plant Hormones: Action and Application. Agribios, India, 183-189.
- Rout, H. K. (2019). Deteriorating soil nutrients likely to affect crop yield in Odisha. The New Indian Express. https://www.newindianexpress.com/cities/bhubaneswa r/2019/oct/15/deteriorating-soil-nutrients-likely-toaffect-crop-yield-in-odisha-2047705.html
- Sarkar, A., Pongen, A., Sema, A. and Kanaujia, S. P. (2022). Influence of foliar application of nutrients and growth regulators on yield and quality in pineapple (*Ananas* comosus L.). Annals of Plant and Soil Research, 24(2), 300-304.
- Sharma, A., Yanthan, S. and Kumar, S. (2022). Socioeconomic and Marketing Status of Pineapple Growers in Dimapur District of Nagaland, India. *Eco. Env. & Cons.*, 28, S249-S255.
- Shinde, B. B., Ingle, H. V., Dhawale, D. U., Hajare, A. R., Dhobe, S. G. (2008). Effect of plant growth regulators on size, yield and quality of acid lime. *Journal of Soils* & Crops, 18(1), 117–120.
- Shireen, F, Nawaz, M. and Chen, C. (2018). Boron: Functions and Approaches to enhance its availability in plants for sustainable agriculture. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 19, 1856.
- Singh, M. I. and Chohan, G. S. (1984). Effect of various plant growth regulators on granulation in citrus Cv. Dancy Tangerine. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 41(3-4), 221–224.
- Srivastava, C. P, Singh, H. K, Vishwanath and BhanuPratap. (2009). Efficacy of foliar feeding of plant growth regulators along with urea on yield and quality of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. NA-7 fruits. *Annals of Horticulture*, 2(1), 77-79.
- Thorat U. K., Dheware R. M. and Jadhav, A. R. (2018). Influence of Growth Regulators on Yield and Economics of Custard Apple (Annona squamosa L.) Cv. Balanagar. International Journal of Economic Plants, 5(3), 149-150.
- Yadav M. K. and Solanki V. K. (2015). Use of micronutrients in tropical and sub-tropical fruit crops: A review. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 10(5), 416-422.
- Yadav S, Singh J. P, Gupta S. and Yadav J. S. (2021). A Study on Foliar Feeding of GA₃ and NAA on Fruit Drop, Retention, Yield and Quality of Ber Fruit (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. "Banarasi Karaka". *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 13(3), 608-612.
- Yong-hong Lin and Jen-hshuan Chen (2011). Effects of dolomite and borax on the quality of Tainung no. 13 pineapple. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43(1), 549-558.
- Zagade, P. M., Munde, G. R. and Shirsath, A. H. (2017). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and quality of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Cv. Sardar. *J. Pharm. Biol. Sci.*, 12, 56-58.

How to cite this article: Bijay Kumar Baidya, Kundan Kishore, Deepa Samant, S.N. Dash, R.K. Panda, Ankita Sahu and Kuldeep K. Shukla (2023). Yield Attributing Traits and Economic Efficacy of Pineapple as Influenced by Plant Growth Regulators and Micronutrients. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(1): 264-267.