



A Fixed Point Theorem in Complete Metric Space

Rajesh Shrivastava and Manavi Kohli

Government Science and Commerce College, Benazir, Bhopal, (MP)

(Received 11 July,, 2011, Accepted 12 September., 2011)

ABSTRACT : The aim of the present paper is to prove a fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces.

Keywords : Fixed point, Complete metric space.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1922, the polish mathematician, Banach, proved a theorem which established the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point. His result is known as Banach fixed point theorem or the Banach Contraction principle. This theorem provides a technique for solving a variety of applied problems in mathematical science and engineering. This theorem has been generalized and extended by many authors [1-4] in various ways.

In this paper we generalize some results of Fisher [5], Kannan [6] and Sessa [7].

Preliminaries:

Definition 1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space (X, d) is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$, denoted by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \text{ if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0.$$

Definition 2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space (X, d) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ for all $n, m > t$.

Definition 3. A metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Theorem : Let X be a complete metric space $x_0 \in X$ and for $r > 0$, $T: clsr(x_0, r)B \rightarrow X$ be a linear transformation. Suppose that for any $x, y \in clsrB(x_0, r)$. We have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)d(x, y)\}^{1/3} \quad \dots (1)$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ satisfies the condition

$$d(x_0, Tx_0) < (1 - \alpha)r \quad \dots (2)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof :

For $x_0 \in B(x_0, r)$, we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 = x_1$

Then from (2), we have $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, Tx_0) < (1 - \alpha)r$

Hence, $x_1 \in B(x_0, r)$

Again, if we choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $x_2 = Tx_1$

Then, $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, Tx_1) = d(Tx_0, Tx_1)$

From (1) we have,

$$\Rightarrow d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \alpha \{d(x_0, Tx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)d(x_0, x_1)\}^{1/3} \leq \alpha \{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, x_2)d(x_0, x_1)\}^{1/3}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_1, x_2) \leq \alpha \{[d(x_0, x_1)]^2 d(x_1, x_2)\}^{1/3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{d(x_1, x_2)\}^2 < \alpha^3 \{d(x_0, x_1)\}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_1, x_2) < \alpha^{3/2} d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(Tx_0, Tx_1) < \alpha^{3/2} (1 - \alpha)r$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 \in B(x_0, r)$$

Now,

$$d(x_0, x_2) \leq d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) < (1 - \alpha)r + \alpha^{3/2}(1 - \alpha)r < (1 - \alpha)r\{1 + \alpha^{3/2}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_0, x_2) < r$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 \in B(x_0, r)$$

Proceeding in the same manner, we get, $x_n \in B(x_0, r)$

$$\Rightarrow x_{n-1} = Tx_n$$

Proceeding inductively, we obtain x_n , such that

$$x_n \in B(x_0, r)$$

$$\text{Also, } x_n = Tx_{n+1}$$

Hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$$

Claim : x is a fixed point of T

On the contrary if we assume that $x \neq Tx$

$$\text{Then, } d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx)$$

From the continuity of T and using (1), we get $d(x, Tx) < 0$ which is a contradiction.

Hence, x is the fixed point of T .

II. REFERENCES

- [1] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points , *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **9**, 771-779, (1986).
- [2] G. Jungck, and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **29**(3), 227-238, (1998).
- [3] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications I: Fixed Point Theorems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1986).
- [4] R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan and D.O' Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, (2001).
- [5] B. Fisher, S. Sessa, Common fixed points of two pairs of weakly commuting mappings, *Univ.u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* **16**, 45-49, (1986).
- [6] R.Kannan , Some results on fixed points II, *Amer.Math. Monthly* **76**, 405-408, (1960).
- [7] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math. Debre.*, **32**: 149-153, (1982).