



Common Fixed Point Theorem in Hilbert Space Using Rational Inequality

Bijendra Singh*, G.P.S. Rathore, Priyanka Dubey* and Naval Singh*****

**School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, (MP)*

***Research Scholar, School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, (MP)*

****Sr. Scientist, K.N.K. Horticulture College, Mandsaur, (MP)*

****Govt. Science and Commerce College, Benazeer, Bhopal, (MP)*

(Received 05 February, 2013, Accepted 02 March, 2013)

ABSTRACT: Our aim of this paper to generalize the result due to Hema Yadav [9]. In this paper we will prove a common fixed point theorem using rational inequality in Hilbert space.

AMS 2000 Subject classification : Primary 47H10; secondary 54H25.

Keywords : Hilbert Space , Contraction mapping, common fixed point.

I. INTRODUCTION

In linear spaces there are two general iterations which have been successfully applied to fixed point problem of operators and also for obtaining solution of operator equations. These are Ishikawa scheme [2] and Mann iteration scheme [4]. The Ishikawa iteration scheme [2] was first used to establish the strong convergence for a pseudo contractive self mapping of a convex compact subset of a Hilbert Space. The Mann iteration scheme was introduced by Mann [4] and has been applied extensively to fixed point problems. In [5], [1], [6], [7], and [8] it has shown that for a mapping T satisfying certain conditions, if the sequence of Mann iterates converges, then it converges to a fixed point of T .

Let C be a non empty convex subset of a normed space E and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. The mann iteration process is defined by the sequence $\{x_n\}$ [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x \in C, \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - b_n)x_n + b_nTx_n, \quad n \in N \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

where N denote the set of all positive integer. Where $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$.

Liu [3] introduced the concept of Mann iteration process with errors by the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_1 &= x \in C, \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - b_n)x_n + b_nTx_n + u_n, \quad n \in N. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots(2)$$

where $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0,1]$ and $\{u_n\}$ satisfy $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \infty$. This surely contains (1).

Let X be a Banach space and C be a non- empty subset of X . Let $T_1, T_2: C \rightarrow C$ be two mappings. The iteration scheme called I - scheme is defined as follows:

$$x_0 \in C \quad \dots(3)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_{2n} &= \beta_{2n}T_1x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n}, \quad n \geq 0 \\ x_{2n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_{2n})x_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}T_2y_{2n}, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots (4)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_{2n+1} &= \beta_{2n+1}T_1x_{2n+1} + (1 - \beta_{2n+1})x_{2n+1}, \quad n \geq 0 \\ x_{2n+2} &= (1 - \alpha_{2n+1})x_{2n+1} + \alpha_{2n+1}T_2y_{2n+1}, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots (5)$$

In this paper we shall make the assumption that

- (i) $0 \leq \alpha_{2n} \leq \beta_{2n} \leq 1$, for all n ,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{2n} = \alpha_{2n} > 0$, and
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2n} = \beta_{2n} < 1$.

We know that Banach space is Hilbert if and only if its norm satisfies the parallelogram law i.e. for every $x, y \in X$ (Hilbert space).

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \quad \dots(6)$$

which implies

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2. \quad \dots (7)$$

We often use this inequality throughout the result.

Below we prove the result concerning the existence of common fixed point of pairs of mappings satisfying the contraction condition of the type.

$$\|T_x - T_y\|^2 \leq K \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & (\|y - T_y\|^2), \frac{1}{4}(\|x - T_y\|^2 + \|y - T_x\|^2), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(\|x - T_x\|^2 + \|y - T_y\|^2), \\ & \frac{\|y - T_y\|^2 [1 + \|x - T_x\|^2]}{1 + \|x - y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x - T_x\|^2 [1 + \|x - y\|^2]}{1 + \|y - T_y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x - y\|^2 [1 + \|x - T_x\|^2]}{1 + \|y - T_y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x - T_x\|^2 [1 + \|y - T_y\|^2]}{1 + \|x - y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{(1 + \|y - T_y\|^2) [1 + \|x - T_x\|^2]}{1 + \|x - y\|^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots(8)$$

Theorem: Let X be a Hilbert space and C be a closed convex subset of X . Let T_1 and T_2 be two sets of mapping satisfying

$$\|T_1x - T_2y\|^2 \leq K \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \|y - T_2y\|^2, \frac{1}{4}(\|x - T_2y\|^2 + \|y - T_1x\|^2), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(\|x - T_1x\|^2 + \|y - T_2y\|^2), \\ & \frac{\|y - T_2y\|^2 [1 + \|x - T_1x\|^2]}{1 + \|x - y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x - T_1x\|^2 [1 + \|x - y\|^2]}{1 + \|y - T_2y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x - y\|^2 [1 + \|x - T_1x\|^2]}{1 + \|y - T_2y\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x - T_1x\|^2 [1 + \|y - T_2y\|^2]}{1 + \|x - y\|^2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\left. \frac{(1 + \|y - T_2 y\|^2)[1 + \|x - T_1 x\|^2]}{1 + \|x - y\|^2} \right\} \dots (9)$$

Where, $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4}$. If there exist a point x_0 such that the I - scheme for point of T_1 and T_2 defined by (4) & (5), converges to a point p , then p is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

Proof: It follows that from (4) $x_{2n+1} - x_{2n} = \alpha_{2n}(T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n})$, since $x_{2n} \rightarrow p$, $\|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ since $\{\alpha_{2n}\}$ is bounded away from zero, $\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$. It also follows that $\|p - T_2 y_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$. Since T_1 and T_2 satisfies (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \leq K \max & \left\{ \|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2, \frac{1}{4} (\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + \|y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2), \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2} (\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + \|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2), \\ & \frac{\|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 [1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2]}{1 + \|x_{2n} - y_{2n}\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 [1 + \|x_{2n} - y_{2n}\|^2]}{1 + \|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - y_{2n}\|^2 [1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2]}{1 + \|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2}, \\ & \left. \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 [1 + \|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2]}{1 + \|x_{2n} - y_{2n}\|^2} \right\} \dots (10) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \|y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + x_{2n} - \beta_{2n} x_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_{2n} (T_1 x_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \\ &= \beta_{2n}^2 \|(T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}) + (T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n})\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\beta_{2n}^2 \|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\beta_{2n}^2 \|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2 \dots (11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n} + \beta_{2n} T_2 y_{2n} - \beta_{2n} T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|\beta_{2n} (T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}) + (1 - \beta_{2n}) (x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\beta_{2n}^2 \|(T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 + 2(1 - \beta_{2n}) \|(x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\|(T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 + 2\|(x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 \dots (12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 &= \|\beta_{2n} T_1 x_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n}) x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_{2n}) (x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n})\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1 - \beta_{2n})^2 \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \\
&= (1 - \beta_{2n})^2 \|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n} + (T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n})\|^2 \\
&\leq 2(1 - \beta_{2n})^2 \|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2(1 - \beta_{2n})^2 \|T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|(x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n})\|^2 + 2\|(T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n})\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From (11), (12) and (13), (10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 &\leq k \max \left\{ (2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{1}{4}(3\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{1}{2}(\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2)(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2)}{(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2)(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2)}{(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2)(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{(1 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \leq k (2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2)$$

$$\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \leq k (2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - x_{2n}\|^2) .$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$\|(x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n})\|^2 \leq (2\|x_{2n} - T_2 y_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_2 y_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|(p - T_1 x_{2n})\|^2 \leq (2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_1 y_{2n}\|^2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

If

x_{2n}, p satisfies eq. (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2 &\leq k \max \left\{ \|p - T_2 p\|^2, \frac{1}{4}(\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2 + \|p - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{1}{2}(\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + \|p - T_2 p\|^2), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\|p - T_2 p\|^2(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2}, \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 (1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2)}{1 + \|p - T_2 p\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - p\|^2 (1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{1 + \|p - T_2 p\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 (1 + \|p - T_2 p\|^2)}{1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2}, \\ & \frac{(1 + \|p - T_2 p\|^2)(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Using inequality (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2 &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & (2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2), \\ & \frac{1}{4}(2\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2 + \|p - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(2\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2), \\ & \frac{(2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2)(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2} \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 (1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2)}{1 + 2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - p\|^2 (1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{1 + 2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2}, \\ & \frac{\|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 (1 + 2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2)}{1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2}, \\ & \frac{(1 + 2\|p - x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2)(1 + \|x_{2n} - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2)}{1 + \|x_{2n} - p\|^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 p\| \rightarrow 0$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|p - T_2 p\|^2 &= \|p - T_1 x_{2n} + T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\|p - T_1 x_{2n}\|^2 + 2\|T_1 x_{2n} - T_2 p\|^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Showing that $p = T_2 p$. Similarly, we can prove that $p = T_1 p$. Thus p is the common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . This completes the proof.

Letting $T_1 = T_2 = T$ in above theorem, we obtain the following

Corollary: Let X be a Hilbert space and C be a closed convex subset of X . Let T be a self-mapping satisfying (8) where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4}$. If there exists a point x_0 such that the I -scheme for T defined by

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \beta_n T x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n, \quad n \geq 0 \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_{2n}) x_n + \alpha_n T y_n, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

converges to a point p , then p is the fixed point of T .

In the I -scheme, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ satisfy $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq 1$ for all n . $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \sum \alpha_n \beta_n = 0$.

Assuming that

- (i) $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1$, for all n ,
- (ii) $\lim \alpha_n = \alpha > 0$,
- (iii) $\lim \beta_n = \beta < 1$.

The proof is similar to above Theorem, hence we omit the details.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Dus an Dukic, Ljiljana R. Paunovic , and Stojan Radenovic , Convergence of iterates with errors of uniformly quasi-Lipschitzian mappings in cone metric spaces, *Kragujevac J. Math. Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. **35**, Number 3 (2011), Pp 399-410.
- [2]. Ishikawa, S. Fixed point by a new iteration method, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **44**(1) (1974), 147-150.
- [3]. Liu, L.S. Ishikawa and mann iterative process with errors for non-linear strongly accretive mapping in Banach space, , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **194**(1)(1995),114-125.
- [4]. Mann, W.R. Mean value methods in iteration *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4**(1953), 506-510.
- [5]. Mujahid Abbas, Miko Jovanovic , Stojan Radenovic , Aleksandra Sretenovic and Suzana Simic , Abstract metric spaces and approximating fixed points of a Pair of contractive type mappings, *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol.**13**(2) (2011),243-253.
- [6]. Rhoades, B.E., Fixed point theorem using matrices, *Trans. Amer. Soc.*, **196**(1974), 161-176.
- [7]. Rhoades, B.E., Extensions of some fixed point theorems of c iric, Maiti and Pal, *Math. seminar Notes*, Kobe university **6**(1978),41-46.
- [8]. Singh, K.L., Fixed point iteration using infinite matrices, in Applied Non-linear Analysis, *Proceedings of an International conference on Applied Non-linear Analysis, university of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas*, April 20-22,1978 (edited by V. Lakshikantham), Academic Press,Newyork,1979, 689-703.
- [9]. Yadav, Hema, Sayyed, S.A. and Badshah, V.H., A note on common fixed point theorem in Hilbert space, *Material Science Research India*, vol.**7** (2)(2010), 515-518.