



A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Separable Hilbert Space

V. K. Agrawal*, Kamal Wadhwa**, Sanjay Chaudhary** and Amit Kumar Diwakar***

*Govt. Home Science College, Hoshangabad, (Madhya Pradesh), INDIA.

**Govt. Narmada Mahavidyalaya, Hoshangabad, (Madhya Pradesh), INDIA.

***Research Scholar Barkatullah University, Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh), INDIA.

(Corresponding author: Amit Kumar Diwakar)

(Received 22 August, 2016 accepted 05 October, 2016)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: The object of this paper is to obtain a common fixed point theorem for four continuous random operators by considering a sequence of measurable functions satisfying certain contractive condition in separable Hilbert space.

Keywords: Hilbert Space, Common fixed point.

I. INTRODUCTION

The random fixed point theory is started by Prague school of Probability in [8]. In recent years this theory has attracted much attention of many Mathematicians some of them are [3, 4, 5, 6, and 10]. In this paper we find a new common fixed point theorem with rational inequality for two random operators defined on separable Hilbert spaces. For this we construct a sequence of measurable functions of random fixed point to the two random operators.

II. PRELIMINARY NOTES

Let H be a Hilbert space and C is a closed subset of H . Let Ω, Σ be measurable space.

Definition (a): A function $f: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$ is Said to be random operator, if

$F(\cdot, x): \Omega \rightarrow C$ is measurable for all $x \in C$.

Definition (b): A function $f: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$ is Said to be measurable, if

$f'(B \cap C) \in \Sigma$ for every Borel subset B of H.

Definition (c): A function $f: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$ is Said to be continuous, if

For fixed $t \in \Omega$, $f(t, \cdot): C \rightarrow C$ is Continuous.

Definition (d): A measurable function $g: \Omega \rightarrow C$ is Said to be random fixed point of the random operator $f: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$, is Continuous.

Condition 1.1. Two mappings $M, N: C \rightarrow C$, where C is a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space H , is said to satisfy Condition A if:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mx - Ny\|^2 \leq & \eta_1 (\|x - y\|^2 + \eta_2 (\|x - Mx\|^2 + \|y - Ny\|^2)) + \\ & \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|x - Ny\|^2 + \|y - Mx\|^2) \\ & + \eta_4 \left(\frac{\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - Ny\|^2 + \|x - Mx\|^2}{1 + \|x - y\| \|x - Ny\| \|x - Mx\|} \right) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(A)$$

Where

$$0 < \eta_1 + 2\eta_2 + 2\eta_3 + 2\eta_4 < 1, \quad \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4 > 0 \quad \dots(B)$$

It is well known that in a Hilbert Sapce the parallelogram law is satisfied , that is,

$$\forall x, y \in C, \quad \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2 \|x\|^2 + 2 \|y\|^2 \quad \dots(C)$$

We construct a sequence of function $\{d_n\}$ as

$$d_0: \Omega \rightarrow C \quad \dots(D)$$

is arbitrary measurable function For $\theta \in \Omega$ and $n=0,1,2,\dots$,

$$d_{2n+1}(\theta) = M(\theta, d_{2n}(\theta)), \quad d_{2n+2}(\theta) = N(\theta, d_{2n+1}(\theta)). \quad \dots(E)$$

III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove a common unique fixed point theorem for two random operators in Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 2.1. Let C be a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space H . Let M and N be two continuous random operators defined on C such that for

$\theta \in \Omega, M(\theta, \cdot), N(\theta, \cdot) : C \rightarrow C$ satisfy A. Then the sequence $\{d_n\}$ obtained in (D) and (E) converges to the unique common random fixed point of M and N .

Proof: For fixed $\theta \in \Omega, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|d_{2n+1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 = \|M(\theta, d_{2n}(\theta)) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2 \\
& \leq \eta_1 \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n}(\theta))\|^2) \\
& + \eta_4 \left(\frac{\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n}(\theta))\|^2}{1 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\| \|d_{2n}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\| \|d_{2n}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n}(\theta))\|} \right) \\
& = \eta_1 \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& + \eta_4 \left(\frac{\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2}{1 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\| \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\| \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|} \right) \\
& \leq \eta_1 \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& + \eta_4 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& = \eta_1 \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta) + d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& + \eta_4 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \leq \eta_1 \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& + \eta_3 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad - \frac{\eta_3}{2} \| (d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)) - (d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)) \|^2 \\
& + \eta_4 (\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

(by parallelogram law C)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + (\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Therefore:

$$\|d_{2n+1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 \leq \frac{(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4)}{1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3 - \eta_4} \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2. \tag{A.2}$$

For $\theta \in \Omega, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 = \|M(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta)) - N(\theta, d_{2n-2}(\theta))\|^2 \\
& \leq \eta_1 \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-2}(\theta))\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-2}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2) \\
& + \eta_4 \left(\frac{\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-2}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2}{1 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\| \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-2}(\theta))\| \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - M(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|} \right) \\
& \text{(By condition A)} \\
& = \eta_1 \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& + \eta_2 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\eta_4 \left(\frac{\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2}{1} \right) \\
& \leq \eta_1 \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& +\eta_2 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad +\eta_3 (\|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad - \frac{\eta_3}{2} (\|d_{2n-2}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\| - \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|)^2 \\
& +\eta_4 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad (\text{by parallelogram law}) \\
& \leq (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 \\
& \quad + (\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2. \tag{A.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4}{1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3 - \eta_4} \right) \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 \tag{A.4}$$

Equation (A.2) and (A.4) jointly imply that for all $\theta \in \Omega$ and $n=0,1,2,3,\dots$,

$$\|d_n(\theta) - d_{n+1}(\theta)\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4}{1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3 - \eta_4} \right) \|d_{n-1}(\theta) - d_n(\theta)\|^2 \tag{A.5}$$

Again from (B) it follows that:

$$0 < \left(\frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4}{1 - \eta_2 - \eta_3 - \eta_4} \right) < 1. \tag{A.6}$$

From (A.5) and (A.6) it follows that for $\theta \in \Omega$, $\{d_n(\theta)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence is convergent in the Hilbert space H .

For $\theta \in \Omega$, let

$$\{d_n(\theta)\} \rightarrow d(\theta) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{A.7}$$

Since C is closed, d is a function from C to C .

For $\theta \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|d(\theta) - N(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2 = \|d(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta) + (d_{2n}(\theta) - M(\theta, d(\theta)))\|^2 \\
& \leq 2 \|d(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + 2 \|d_{2n}(\theta) - M(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2, \\
& \quad (\text{by parallelogram law}) \\
& = 2 \|d(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + 2 \|N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta)) - M(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2 \\
& = 2 \|d(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + 2[\eta_1 \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d(\theta)\|^2 + \\
& \quad 2\eta_2 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2 + \|d(\theta) - M(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2) \\
& \quad + \eta_3 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - M(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2 + \|d(\theta) - N(\theta, d_{2n-1}(\theta))\|^2) \\
& \quad + \eta_4 \left(\frac{\|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|^2}{1 + \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n-1}(\theta)\| \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\| \|d_{2n}(\theta) - d_{2n+1}(\theta)\|} \right)] \\
& = 2 \|d(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + 2[\eta_1 \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d(\theta)\|^2 \\
& \quad + 2\eta_2 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d(\theta) - M(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2) \\
& \quad + \eta_3 (\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - M(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2 + \|d(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \eta_4 \left(\frac{\|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n-2}(\theta)\|^2 + \|d_{2n-1}(\theta) - d_{2n}(\theta)\|^2}{1} \right)]
\end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, $d_n \theta \rightarrow d(\theta)$

$$\|d(\theta) - N(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2 \leq (2\eta_2 + \eta_3 + 2\eta_4) \|d(\theta) - N(\theta, d(\theta))\|^2 \tag{A.9}$$

Since $0 < 2\eta_2 + \eta_3 + 2\eta_4 < 1$ (by B), we have for all $\theta \in \Omega$,

$$N(\theta, d(\theta)) = d(\theta) \tag{A.10}$$

In an exactly similar way we can prove that for all $\theta \in \Omega$,

$$M(\theta, d(\theta)) = d(\theta) \tag{A.11}$$

Again, if $A : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$ is a continuous random operator on a nonempty subset C of a separable Hilbert space H , then for any measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow C$,

the function $h(\theta) = A(\theta, f(\theta))$ is also measurable.

Therefore the sequence of measurable function $\{d_n\}$ converges to measurable function with:

$$M(\theta, h(\theta)) = d(\theta), N(\theta, d(\theta)) = d(\theta) \tag{A.12}$$

This Completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Beg, I. and Shahzad, N. (1994). Random approximations and random fixed point theorems, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, **7**, No. 2, 145-150.
- [2]. Bharucha-Reid. A. T., (1996). Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **82**, 611-645.
- [3]. Bharucha-Reid. A. T., (1972). Random Integral equations Academic Press, New York,.
- [4]. Choudhury, B.S. (1995). Convergence of a random iteration scheme to a random fixed point. *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, **8**, No. 2, 139-142.
- [5]. Choudhury, B.S. and Ray, M. (1999). Convergence of an iteration leading to a solution of a random operator equation, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, **12**, No. 2, 161-168.
- [6]. Choudhury, B.S and Upadhyay, A. (1999). An iteration leading to a random solutions and fixed points of operators, *Soochow J. Math.*, **25**, No.4, 395-400.
- [7]. Choudhury, B.S. (2002). A common unique fixed point theorem for two random operators in Hilbert spaces, *IJMMS*, **32**: 3, 177- 182
- [8]. Hans. P, (1957). Random fixed point theorems, Transactions of the first Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions, Random Process, pp. 105-125.
- [9]. Pagey .S. S and Malviya. Neeraj, (2010). A Common Unique Random Fixed Point Theorem for rational inequality in Hilbert Space, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol. **4**, no. 3, 133 – 141(2010).
- [10]. Regan, D.O, (1998). “Fixed points and random fixed points for weakly inward approximable maps”, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **126**(10), (1998), 3045-3053.