



Approximation of common fixed points of Hemi-contractive mappings in Banach Space

Akanksha Sharma, Kalpana Saxena* and Ramakant Bhardwaj**

*Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalya, Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh), India

**Department of Mathematics, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh), India

(Corresponding author: Akanksha Sharma)

(Received 11 April, 2016 Accepted 20 May, 2016)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: The main purpose of this paper is to study an iterative Mann-type schemes to find a common fixed point of a countable family of Hemi-contractive mapping and L-Lipschitzain mappings in Banach space. We study a Mann type iteration procedure then, we construct common fixed points of Hemi-contractive mappings in arbitrary Banach spaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a real Banach space and E* be its dual space. The normalized duality mapping: E → 2^{E*} defined by Jx = {f ∈ E* : ⟨x, y⟩ = ||x²|| = ||f²||} ; for all x ∈ E where ⟨.,.⟩ denotes the duality paring between E and E*.

Definition 1.1 A mapping T with domain D(T) and range R(T) in Banach space is called

(i) Pseudo contractive [2], if for all x, y ∈ D(T) , there exists j(x - y) ∈ J(x - y) such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

Equivalently, for all x, y ∈ D(T) and for all s > 0,

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|x - y + s[I - T]x - (I - T)y\| \tag{1.2}$$

(ii) λ - Strictly pseudo contractive (in the terminology of Browder and Petryshyn) [2] for all x, y ∈ D(T), there exists j(x - y) ∈ J(x - y) such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \lambda \|x - Tx - (y - Ty)\|^2 \tag{1.3}$$

(iii) Strongly pseudo-contractive if there exists λ ∈ (0,1) for all x, y ∈ D(T) ,there exists

j(x - y) ∈ J(x - y) such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \lambda \|x - y\|^2$$

(iv) L-lipschitzian if there exists L > 0 such that for all x, y ∈ D(T),

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L \|x - y\|$$

In 1974, Ishikawa [2] introduced an iteration method for finding a fixed point of a Lipschitz - pseudo contractive mapping as follows:

Theorem 1.2 [1]. Let C be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Hilbert space H, T: C → C be a Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping. For a fixed x₀ ∈ C, define a sequence {x_n} by.

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Ty_n; \quad y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n, \tag{1.1}$$

Where {α_n} and {β_n} are real sequences in [0, 1] satisfying the following conditions;

(i) lim_{n→∞} α_n = 0, (ii) ∑_{n=1}[∞] α_nβ_n = ∞, (iii) 0 ≤ α_n ≤ β_n < 1.

Then {x_n} converges strongly to a fixed point of T.

It is natural to ask a question of whether or not the simple Mann iteration defined by x₁ ∈ C and

x_{n+1} = α_n x_n + (1 - α_n)Tx_n can be used to obtain the same conclusion as theorem above. Recently, this question was resolved in the negative by Chidume and Matangadura [4]. They constructed an example of a Lipschitzian pseudo contractive mapping defined on a compact convex subset of the Hilbert space R² for which no Mann sequence converges

II. PRELIMINARIES

In the sequel we shall make use of the following lemmas

Lemma 2.1 (Xu, [4]) Let q > 1 and X be a real Banach space. Then the following are equivalent.

X is q-uniformly smooth and for all x, y ∈ X, j_p(x) ∈ J_p(x), the following inequalities holds:

$$\|x + y\|^q \geq \|x\|^q + q\langle y, jq(x + y) \rangle + \|y\|^q.$$

In the sequel we shall make use of the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2[5, Lemma2.1]. Let $\{\sigma_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following inequality:

$$\{\beta_{n+1}\} \leq (1 + \sigma_n) \beta_n, n \geq 0$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n$ exists.

Definition 2.4 [6]. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a family of mappings from a subset C of a Banach space E into E with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ We say that $\{T_n\}$ satisfies the AKTT-condition if for each bounded subset B of C,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{z \in B} \|T_{n+1}z - T_nz\| < \infty$$

Remark 2.5 [6, Lemma 3.2]. Suppose that $\{T_n\}$ satisfies the AKTT-condition. Then, for each $y \in C$, $T_n y$ converges strongly to a point in C. Moreover, let T be defined by;

$$Ty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n y \text{ for all } y \in C,$$

Then for each bounded subset B of C, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in B} \|T_z - T_n z\| = 0$

III. MAIN RESULTS

Motivated by [8], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space E. Let $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : C \rightarrow C$ be a family of Hemi contractive and L- Lipschitzian mappings such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$. define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_1 \in C$,

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

Where $\{x_n\} \subset [0,1]$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^p < \infty$ if $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies AKTT-condition, then

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|$ exists for all $p \in F$ and hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded;
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0$

Proof. (1) Let all $p \in F$. then $\|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \leq L \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \leq (\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq (1 + L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently,

$$\|x_n - T_n x_n\| \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| + \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_n x_n\| \leq (1 + L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1), we have, $\|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| + \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|$
 $\leq (1 + L) \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| \leq (1 + L)^2 \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|$

(3.3)

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = (1 - \alpha_n) \|T_n x_n - x_n\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n)(1 + L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \tag{3.4}$$

Since T_n is hemi contractive mapping, there exists $j(x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}) \in J(x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1})$ such that

$$\langle x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle \leq \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^2,$$

By Lemma 2.1, (3.1) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - -T_n x_{n+1}\|^q = \| (x_n - -T_n x_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n)(T_n x_n - x_n) \|^q \\
 & = \|x_n - -T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + q \alpha_n \langle T_n x_n - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - -T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle + \|(1 - \alpha_n)(T_n x_n - x_n)\|^q \\
 & = \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + q(1 - \alpha_n) \langle T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle + q \alpha_n \langle T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1}, -T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle + \\
 & q \alpha_n \langle x_{n+1} - x_n, j(x_{n+1}, T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle \|T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + q(1 - \alpha_n) \langle T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle + \\
 & q \alpha_n \langle T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1}, T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle q \alpha_n \langle x_{n+1} - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - -T_n x_{n+1}) \rangle + \|(1 - \alpha_n)(T_n x_n - x_n)\|^q \\
 & \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + q(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + 2 \alpha_n^q L(1 + L)^2 \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - 2(1 - \alpha_n) \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^q + \\
 & 2 \alpha_n^q (1 + L)^2 \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - 2(1 - \alpha_n) \|T_n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|^q - \\
 & \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^2 + 2 \alpha_n^2 (1 + L)^2 \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + ((1 - \alpha_n)L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + 2 \alpha_n^q L(1 + L)^3 \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + ((1 - \alpha_n)L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^2 \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \leq (1 + 2 \alpha_n^q (1 + L)^3) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + ((1 - \alpha_n)L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & \text{From Lemma 2.2 and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty, \text{ we get that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \text{ exists and hence } \{x_n\} \text{ is bounded.} \\
 & (2) \text{ We first show that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| = 0. \text{ Suppose that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| = \delta > 0. \text{ There} \\
 & \text{exists } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \text{ for all } n \geq N \text{ since } \{x_n\} \text{ is bounded, put } M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \\
 & \text{from (3.5),} \\
 & \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - 2(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + 2 \alpha_n^q (1 + L)^3 \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + \\
 & ((1 - \alpha_n)L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - (1 - \alpha_n) \lambda \frac{\delta^2}{2} + 2 \alpha_n^q (1 + L)^3 M^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \frac{\delta^2}{2} \text{ for all } n \geq N
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha_n \frac{\delta^2}{2} \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + 2 \alpha_n^q (1 + L)^3 M^2 + ((1 - \alpha_n)L) \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & \text{For any } m \geq N \text{ we have,} \\
 & \frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{n=N}^m \alpha_n \leq \sum_{n=N}^m (\|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q) + 2(1 + L)^2 M^2 \sum_{n=N}^m \alpha_n^2 \\
 & + \sum_{n=N}^m (\|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q - \|T_{n+1} x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q) = \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & - \|x_{m+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q + 2(1 + L)^2 M^2 \sum_{n=N}^m \alpha_n^2 + \|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\| \|x_{m+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\|^q \\
 & \leq \|x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^2 + 2(1 + L)^2 M^2 \sum_{n=N}^m \alpha_n^2 \|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n+1}\|^2 \sum_{n=N}^m (1 - \alpha_n^2) \\
 & \text{Because } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty \text{ we have } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty \text{ which is a contradiction. Hence} \\
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| = 0 \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded,

$$\|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| + \|T_n x_{n+1} - T_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| + \sup_{z \in \{x_n\}} \|T_z - T_{n+1} z\|$$

Using (3.6) and AKTT-condition of $\{T_n\}$; we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \|x_{n+1} - T_n x_{n+1}\| = 0$$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] F.E. Browder, W.V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **20** (1967) 197–228.
 [2] S. Ishikawa, Fixed points by a new iteration method, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974) 147–150. (3.8).

- [3] C.E. Chidume, S.A. Mutangadura, An example of the Mann iteration method for Lipschitz pseudo contractions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **129** (8) (2001) 2359–2363.
- [4] H.K. Xu, R.G. Ori, An implicit iteration process for nonexpansive mappings, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **22** (2001) 767-773.
- [5] Q. Liu, Iterative sequences for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **259** (1) (2001) 1–7.
- [6] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal.* **67** (8) (2007) 2350–2360.
- [7] C.E. Chidume, M. Abbas, Bashir Ali, Convergence of the Mann iteration algorithm for a class of pseudocontractive mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **194** (1) (2007) 1–6.
- [8] R.E. Bruck Jr., Properties of fixed-point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **179** (1973) 251–262.