



Fixed Point Theorems for Six Weakly Compatible Mappings in D^* - Metric Spaces for Integral type Mappings

Pravin B. Prajapati*, Ramakant Bhardwaj** and P.R. S. Choudhary***

*S.P.B. Patel Engineering College, Linch

The Research Scholar of Sai nath University, Ranchi (Jharkhand)

**TIT Group of Institutions, Bhopal, (Madhya Pradesh), India

***Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science Model College Jabalpur, (Madhya Pradesh), India

(Corresponding author: Pravin B. Prajapati, pravinprajapati86@gmail.com)

(Received 11 April, 2016 Accepted 20 May, 2016)

(Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: In the present paper, we give some new definitions of D^* - metric spaces and we prove a common fixed point theorem for six mappings under the condition of weakly compatible mappings in complete D^* - metric spaces. We get some improved versions of several fixed point theorems in complete D^* - metric spaces.

AMS: 54H25, 54E40, 54E35.

Keywords: D – metric, contractive mappings; complete D^* - metric space; common fixed point theorems.

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1922, the Polish mathematician, Banach, proved a theorem which ensures, under appropriate conditions, the existences and uniqueness of a fixed point. His result is called Banach’s Fixed point Theorem or the Banach Contraction principle. This theorems provides a technique for solving a variety of problems of applied nature in mathematical science and engineering. Many authors have extended, generalized and improved Banach’s Fixed point Theorem in Different ways. In [17], Jungck introduced the notion of compatible mappings which are more general than commuting and weakly commuting mappings. This concept has been useful for obtaining more comprehensive fixed point theorems. Dhage [7] introduced the concept of generalized metric or D – metric spaces and claimed that D – metric convergence defines a Hausdorff topology and that D – metric is sequentially continuous in all the three variables. Many authors have taken these claims for granted and used them in proving fixed point theorems in D – metric spaces. Rhoades[17] generalized Dhage’s contractive condition by increasing the number of factors and proved the existence of unique fixed point of a self-maps in D – metric space. Recently, motivated by the concept of compatibility for metric space, Singh and Sharma[23] introduced the concept of D – compatibility of maps in D –metric space and proved some fixed point theorems using a contractive condition. Unfortunately, almost all theorems in D –metric spaces are not valid [14,15,16]. In this paper, we introduce D^* - metric which is a probable modification of the definition of D – metric introduced by Dhage[7] and prove some basic properties in D^* - metric spaces.

In what follows (X, D^*) will denotes D^* - metric space.

Definition1.1. Let X be a non- empty set. A generalized metric or D^* - metric on X is a function $D^*: X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies the following conditions for each $x,y,z ,a \in X$.

- (1) $D^*(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
- (2) $D^*(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (3) $D^*(x, y, z) = D^*(p\{x, y, z\})$, where p is a permutation function,
- (4) $D^*(x, y, z) \leq D^*(x, y, a) + D^*(a, z, z)$.

The pair (X, D^*) is called a generalized metric space.

Immediate examples of such a function are the following :

- (a) $D^*(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$,
- (b) $D^*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$.

Here, d is the ordinary metric on X .

Definition1.2. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* - metric space and $A \subset X$.

- (1) If for every $x \in A$ there exist $r > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}(x,r) \subset A$, then subset A is called open subset of X .
- (2) Subset A of X is said to be D^* - bounded if there exists $r > 0$ such that $D^*(x, y, y) < r$ for all $x,y \in A$.
- (3) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $D^*(x_n, x_n, x) = D^*(x, x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (4) Sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for each $n,m \geq n_0$. The D^* - metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition1.3. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* - metric space. D^* is said to be continuous function on $X^3 \times (0,\infty)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z)$.

whenever a sequence $\{ (x_n, y_n, z_n) \}$ in $X^3 \times (0,\infty)$ converges to a point $(x,y,z) \in X^3 \times (0,\infty)$ i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z.$$

Definition1.4. Let A and S be mappings from a D^* - metric space (X, D^*) into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is $Ax = Sx$ implies that $ASx = SAX$.

Definition1.5. The pair (A,S) satisfies the property (E.A) [1], if there exists a sequence $\{ x_n \}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Ax_n, u, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_n, u, u) = 0 \text{ for some } u \in X.$$

Definition1.6. The pairs (A,S) and (B,T) of a D^* - metric space (X, D^*) satisfy a common property (E.A) if there exists two sequence $\{ x_n \}$ and $\{ y_n \}$ such that for some $u \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Ax_n, u, u) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_n, u, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(By_n, u, u) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Ty_n, u, u) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem2.1. Let S and T be self – mappings of a complete D^* - metric space (X, D^*) satisfying the following conditions :

$$\int_0^{D^*(Tx, TSy, Sz)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x,y,z)} \phi(s) ds \right) \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where } L(x,y,z) &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x, Sy, z), D^*(x, Sy, Tx), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(Tx, x, x), D^*(Tx, Sz, Sz) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x, Ty, z) + D^*(x, Sy, Sx)}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx, Sy, Ty) + D^*(Sx, Sy, Ty)}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \delta D^*(y, z, z) \end{aligned}$$

For all $x,y \in X$, Let Φ be the set of all increasing and continuous function $\Phi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ such that $\Phi(s) < s$ for every $s \in (0,\infty)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$. Also $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0,1]$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof : Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Then there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that

$$Tx_0 = x_1 \text{ and } Sx_1 = x_2 .$$

Inductively, construct sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$Tx_{2n} = x_{2n+1} \text{ and } Sx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}, \text{ for } n = 0,1,2,\dots$$

Now, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $d_m = D^*(x_m, x_m, x_{m+1})$.

Replacing $x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}$ by x, y, z respectively in (2.1.1), then we have

$$\int_0^{D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \phi(s) ds = \int_0^{D^*(Tx_{2n}, TSx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n+1})} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1})} \phi(s) ds \right) \quad (2.1.2)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}), D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) + D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + D^*(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})}{2} \right] + \delta D^*(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n})}{2} \right] + \delta D^*(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) &= \alpha \max \{ d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n+1} \} + \beta \left[\frac{d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+1}}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+1}}{2} \right] + \delta d_{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $d_{2n+1} > d_{2n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by inequality (2.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds &\leq \phi \left(\alpha \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds \right) \\ &< \alpha \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds \\ &\quad + \delta \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Hence $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$

Now, replacing x, y, z by $x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}$ respectively in (2.1.1), we obtain

$$\int_0^{D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})} \phi(s) ds = \int_0^{D^*(Tx_{2n}, TSx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1})} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})} \phi(s) ds \right)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}), D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) + D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + D^*(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \delta D^*(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n})}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) &= \alpha \max \{ d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n} \} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{d_{2n} + d_{2n}}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{d_{2n} + d_{2n}}{2} \right] \\ &= \alpha \max \{ d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n} \} + \beta d_{2n} + \gamma d_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $d_{2n} \leq d_{2n-1}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $d_{2n} > d_{2n-1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by inequality (2.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds &\leq \phi\left(\alpha \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds\right) \\ &\leq \alpha \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^{d_{2n}} \phi(s) ds \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \int_0^{d_{2n+1}} \phi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Hence $d_{2n} \leq d_{2n-1}$.

Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $d_n \leq d_{n-1}$. Thus sequence $\{d_n\}$ is lower bounded and decreasing sequence, hence it is lead to 0. It follows

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{D^*(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \phi(s) ds = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{2.1.3}$$

Now, we prove that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in X. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each integer k, there exist integers $2m(k)$ and $2n(k)$ with $m(k) > n(k) \geq k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) &\geq \epsilon \text{ and} \\ D^*(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)-1}) &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.4}$$

From (2.1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq D^*(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq D^*(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)-1}) + D^*(x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq \epsilon + d_{2m(k)-1} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.1.3), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) = \epsilon \tag{2.1.5}$$

Similarly, using (2.3) and (2.5), we can show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)-1}) = \epsilon \tag{2.1.6}$$

Replacing x, y, z by $x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}$ in (2.1.1), we have

$$\int_0^{D^*(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)})} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi\left(\int_0^{L(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)})} \phi(s) ds\right)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} &L(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}) \\ &= \alpha \max\left\{D^*(x_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}), D^*(x_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2n(k)+1}, Tx_{2m(k)}),\right. \\ &\quad \left.D^*(Tx_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}), D^*(Tx_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2m(k)})\right\} \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2m(k)}, Tx_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}) + D^*(x_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2n(k)+1}, Sx_{2m(k)})}{2}\right] \\ &+ \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2n(k)+1}, Tx_{2n(k)+1}) + D^*(Sx_{2m(k)}, Sx_{2n(k)+1}, Tx_{2n(k)+1})}{2}\right] \\ &+ \delta D^*(x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \\ &= \alpha \max\left\{D^*(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)}), D^*(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1}),\right\} \\ &\quad \left.D^*(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}), D^*(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+1})\right\} \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)}) + D^*(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2n(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1})}{2}\right] \\ &+ \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2n(k)+2}, x_{2n(k)+2}) + D^*(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2n(k)+2}, x_{2n(k)+2})}{2}\right] \\ &+ \delta D^*(x_{2n(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.1.3), (2.1.5) and (2.1.6), we obtain

$$\int_0^\epsilon \phi(s) ds \leq \phi\left(\alpha \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s) ds + \beta \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s) ds + \delta \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s) ds\right)$$

$$< (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s) ds$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

This establishes the fact that $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

$$D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1}) \leq D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2m}, x_{2m}) + D^*(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1})$$

Making $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2m+1}) = \mathbf{0}$. Similarly,

We get

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2m}, x_{2m}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and due to the completeness of X , $\{x_n\}$ converges to some x in X . That is

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} = x$$

Now we show that $Sx = x$. From the inequality (2.1.1), we get

$$\int_0^{D^*(Tx_{2n}, TSx_{2n+1}, Sx)} \phi(s) ds = \int_0^{D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, Sx)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x)} \phi(s) ds \right)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x) &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, x), D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx, Sx) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, x) + D^*(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + D^*(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \delta D^*(x_{2n+1}, x, x) \\ &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x), D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(x_{2n+1}, Sx, Sx) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x) + D^*(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2}) + D^*(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \delta D^*(x_{2n+1}, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

On making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^{D^*(x, x, Sx)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\alpha \int_0^{D^*(x, x, Sx)} \phi(s) ds \right) < \alpha \int_0^{D^*(x, x, Sx)} \phi(s) ds,$$

Which is a contradiction. Therefore, it follows that $Sx = x$. Next we prove that $Tx = x$. For this, replacing x, y, z by x_{2n}, x, x in inequality (2.1.1), we have

$$\int_0^{D^*(Tx_{2n}, TSx, Sx)} \phi(s) ds = \int_0^{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx, x)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x_{2n}, x, x)} \phi(s) ds \right)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_{2n}, x, x) &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, Sx, x), D^*(x_{2n}, Sx, Tx_{2n}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx, Sx) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, Tx, x) + D^*(x_{2n}, Sx, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Sx, Tx) + D^*(Sx_{2n}, Sx, Tx)}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \delta D^*(x, x, x) \\ &= \alpha \max \left\{ D^*(x_{2n}, x, x), D^*(x_{2n}, x, Tx_{2n}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. D^*(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n}, x_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n}, x, x) \right\} \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(x_{2n}, Tx, x) + D^*(x_{2n}, x, x)}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Tx, x, Tx) + D^*(x, x, Tx)}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \delta D^*(x, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_0^{D^*(x, Tx, x)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\alpha \int_0^{D^*(x, x, Tx)} \phi(s) ds + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^{D^*(x, x, Tx)} \phi(s) ds + \gamma \int_0^{D^*(x, x, Tx)} \phi(s) ds \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \gamma \right) \int_0^{D^*(x,x,Tx)} \phi(s) ds$$

Which is a contradiction. So it follows that $Tx = x$. Hence $Tx = Sx = x$, that is x is a common fixed point of T, S . The uniqueness of x follows from the inequality (2.1.1).

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* - metric space and A, B, C, R, S and T be self- mappings of X s atisfying the following conditions:

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq R(X) \text{ and } C(X) \subseteq S(X)$$

$$\int_0^{D^*(Ax,By,Cz)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x,y,z)} \phi(s) ds \right) \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$\text{Where } L(x,y,z) = \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} D^*(Sx, Ty, Rz), D^*(Ax, Ty, Rz), \\ D^*(Sx, By, Rz), D^*(Sx, Ty, Cz) \end{matrix} \right\} + \beta \left[\frac{D^*(Ty,By,Rz) + D^*(Sx,Ax,Rz)}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Cz,Rz,Sx) + D^*(Cz,By,Sx)}{2} \right] + \delta D^*(Ax, By, Cz)$$

For all $x,y \in X$, Let Φ be the set of all increasing and continuous function $\phi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ such that $\phi(s) \leq s$ for every $s \in (0, \infty)$, $\phi(0) = 0$. Also $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$. Suppose that two of the pairs (A, S) , (C, R) and (B, T) satisfy the common property (E.A); pairs (A, S) , (C, R) and (B, T) are weakly compatible, and one of the $R(X)$, $T(X)$ and $S(X)$ is a closed subset of X . Then A, B, C, R, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Suppose that (A, S) , and (B, T) satisfy a common property (E.A). Then there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that for some $u \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Ax_n, u, u) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_n, u, u) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(By_n, u, u) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Ty_n, u, u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

As $B(X) \subseteq R(X)$, there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in X such that $By_n = Rz_n$.

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rz_n = u$. Now we prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n = u$. Replacing x_n, y_n, z_n by x, y, z respectively in (2.2.1), we obtain

$$\int_0^{D^*(Ax_n,By_n,Cz_n)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(x_n,y_n,z_n)} \phi(s) ds \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where } L(x_n, y_n, z_n) &= \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} D^*(Sx_n, Ty_n, Rz_n), D^*(Ax_n, Ty_n, Rz_n), \\ D^*(Sx_n, By_n, Rz_n), D^*(Sx_n, Ty_n, Cz_n) \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(Ty_n,By_n,Rz_n) + D^*(Sx_n,Ax_n,Rz_n)}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Cz_n,Rz_n,Sx_n) + D^*(Cz_n,By_n,Sx_n)}{2} \right] \\ &+ \delta D^*(Ax_n, By_n, Cz_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(x_n, y_n, z_n) &= \alpha \max \left\{ 0, 0, 0, D^*(u, u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n) \right\} \\ &+ \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n, u, u) + D^*(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n, u, u)}{2} \right] + \delta D^*(u, u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n) \\ &= (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) D^*(u, u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n) \end{aligned}$$

On making $n \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{D^*(u,u,\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n)} \phi(s) ds &\leq \phi \left((\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \int_0^{D^*(u,u,\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n)} \phi(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \int_0^{D^*(u,u,\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n)} \phi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Cz_n = u$. Assume that $S(X)$ is a closed subset of X .. Then there exists $v \in X$ such that $Sv = u$.

If $u \neq Av$, then using (2.2.1) we obtain

$$\int_0^{D^*(Av,By_n,Cz_n)} \phi(s) ds \leq \phi \left(\int_0^{L(v,y_n,z_n)} \phi(s) ds \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where } L(v, y_n, z_n) &= \alpha \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} D^*(Sv, Ty_n, Rz_n), D^*(Av, Ty_n, Rz_n), \\ D^*(Sv, By_n, Rz_n), D^*(Sv, Ty_n, Cz_n) \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(Ty_n,By_n,Rz_n) + D^*(Sv,Av,Rz_n)}{2} \right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Cz_n,Rz_n,Sv) + D^*(Cz_n,By_n,Sv)}{2} \right] + \delta D^*(Av, By_n, Cz_n). \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

Hence

$$\int_0^{D^*(Av,u,u)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left(\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \delta\right) \int_0^{D^*(Av,u,u)} \phi(s)ds\right) < \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \delta\right) \int_0^{D^*(Av,u,u)} \phi(s)ds,$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Therefore $Av = Sv = u$. Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists $w \in X$ such that $Av = Tw = u$. If $u \neq Bw$, using (2.2.1) we obtain

$$\int_0^{D^*(Av,Bw,Cz_n)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left(\int_0^{L(v,w,z_n)} \phi(s)ds\right)$$

Where $L(v, w, z_n) = \alpha \max\left\{D^*(Sv, Tw, Rz_n), D^*(Av, Tw, Rz_n), D^*(Sv, Bw, Rz_n), D^*(Sv, Tw, Cz_n)\right\}$
 $+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(Tw, Bw, Rz_n) + D^*(Sv, Av, Rz_n)}{2}\right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Cz_n, Rz_n, Sv) + D^*(Cz_n, Bw, Sv)}{2}\right]$
 $+ \delta D^*(Av, Bw, Cz_n).$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

Hence

$$\int_0^{D^*(u,Bw,u)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left(\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta\right) \int_0^{D^*(u,Bw,u)} \phi(s)ds\right) < \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \delta\right) \int_0^{D^*(u,Bw,u)} \phi(s)ds,$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Therefore, $Bw = u$. Since $B(X) \subseteq R(X)$, there exists $e \in X$ such that $Re = Bw = u$. If $e \neq Re$, using (2.2.1) we obtain

$$\int_0^{D^*(Av,Bw,Ce)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left(\int_0^{L(v,w,e)} \phi(s)ds\right)$$

Where $L(v, w, e) = \alpha \max\left\{D^*(Sv, Tw, Re), D^*(Av, Tw, Re), D^*(Sv, Bw, Re), D^*(Sv, Tw, Ce)\right\}$
 $+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(Tw, Bw, Re) + D^*(Sv, Av, Re)}{2}\right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Ce, Re, Sv) + D^*(Ce, Bw, Sv)}{2}\right]$
 $+ \delta D^*(Av, Bw, Ce)$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

Hence

$$\int_0^{D^*(u,u,Ce)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left((\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \int_0^{D^*(u,u,Ce)} \phi(s)ds\right) < (\alpha + \gamma + \delta) \int_0^{D^*(u,u,Ce)} \phi(s)ds,$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Hence $Ce = u$. That is,

$$Av = Sv = Bw = Tw = Re = Ce = u.$$

By weak compatibility of the pairs (A,S), (B,T), and (R,C), we get $Au = Su$, $Bu = Tu$ and $Ru = Cu$. If $u \neq Au$, then using (2.2.1), we have

$$\int_0^{D^*(Au,Bw,Ce)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left(\int_0^{L(u,w,e)} \phi(s)ds\right)$$

Where $L(u, w, e) = \alpha \max\left\{D^*(Su, Tw, Re), D^*(Au, Tw, Re), D^*(Su, Bw, Re), D^*(Su, Tw, Ce)\right\}$
 $+ \beta \left[\frac{D^*(Tw, Bw, Re) + D^*(Su, Au, Re)}{2}\right] + \gamma \left[\frac{D^*(Ce, Re, Su) + D^*(Ce, Bw, Su)}{2}\right]$
 $+ \delta D^*(Au, Bw, Ce)$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

Hence

$$\int_0^{D^*(Au,u,u)} \phi(s)ds \leq \phi\left(\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \delta\right) \int_0^{D^*(Au,u,u)} \phi(s)ds\right) < \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2} + \delta\right) \int_0^{D^*(Au,u,u)} \phi(s)ds,$$

Which is a contradiction. (as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$.)

Hence $Au = Su = u$. Similarly, we can prove that $Bu = Tu = u$ and $Ru = Cu = u$. Thus u is a common fixed point of A, B, C, R, S and T . The uniqueness of u follows from inequality (2.1.1).

REFERENCES

- [1]. B.C. Dhage, Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **84**(1992)pp.329-336.
- [2]. B.E. Rhoades, A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **19**(1996),pp.145-153.
- [3]. B.E. Rhoades, K. Tiwary and G.N. Singh, A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl.Math.***26**(5) (1995), pp.403-409.
- [4]. B. Singh and R.K. Sharma, Common fixed points via compatible maps in D – metric spaces, *Rad. Mat.* **11**(2002), pp.145-153.
- [5]. D. Mihet, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* **144**(2004), pp.431-439.
- [6]. G. Jungck, Commuting maps and fixed points, *Amer Math Monthly* **83**(1976) pp.261-263.
- [7]. G. Jungck and Rhoades B.E, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29**(1998) pp. 227-238.
- [8]. I. Altun, H.A. Hancer and D. Turkoglu, A fixed point theorem for multi-maps satisfying an implicit relation on metrically convex metric spaces, *Math. Communications* **11**(2006), pp. 17-23.
- [9]. J. Jachymski, Common fixed point theorems for some families of maps, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **55**(1994), pp.925-937.
- [10]. K. Tas, [1]. M. Telci and B. Fisher, Common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings, *Internat. J.Math.Math.Sci.***19**(3)(1996), pp.451-456.
- [11]. M. Aamri and D.EI Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **270**(2002) pp. 181- 188.
- [12]. M. Imdad, S. Kumar and M.S. Khan, Remarks on some fixed point theorems satisfying implicit relation, *Rad.Math.***11**(2002), pp. 135- 143.
- [13]. N.A. Assad and S. Sessa, Common fixed points for nonself – maps on compacta, *SEA Bull. Math.* **16**(1992), pp. 1-5.
- [14]. N. Chandra, S.N. Mishra, S.L. Singh and B.E. Rhoades, Coincidences and fixed points of nonexpansive type multi-valued and single – valued maps, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **26**(1995), pp. 393-401.
- [15]. R.O. Davies and S. Sessa, A common fixed point theorem of Gregus type for compatible mappings, *Facta Univ.Ser.Math.Inform.***7**(1992), pp. 51-58.
- [16]. S.M. Kang, Y.J. Cho and G. Jungck, Common fixed points of compatible mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **13**(1990), pp. 61-66.
- [17]. S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R Rao and N. Srinivasa Rao, On the topology of D – metric spaces and the generation of D – metric spaces from metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **51**(2004), pp.2719 – 2740.
- [18]. S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R Rao and N. Srinivasa Rao On the concepts of balls in a D – metric space, *Internat.J.Math.Math.Sci.***1**(2005), pp.133-141.
- [19]. S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R Rao and N. Srinivasa Rao, On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D – metric spaces, *Internat.J.Math.Math.Sci.***12**(2005), pp.1969-1988.
- [20]. S. Sessa and Y.J. Cho, Compatible mappings and a common fixed point theorem of Chang type, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **43**(1993), pp. 289-296.
- [21]. S. Sessa, B.E. Rhoades and M.S. Khan, On common fixed points of compatible mappings, *Internat. J.Math.Math.Sci.***11**(1988), pp.375-392.
- [22]. S. Sharma and B. Desphande, On compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation in common fixed point consideration, *Tamkang J.Math.***33**(2002), pp.245-252.
- [23]. Shaban Sedghi, M.S. Khan and Nabi Shobe “ Fixed point theorems for Six weakly Compatible Mappings in D^* - metric spaces’ *J.Appl.Math. & Informatics* Vol. **27**(2009) pp. 351-363.
- [24]. V. Popa, A general coincidence theorem for compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstratio Math.***33**(2000),pp. 159-164.
- [25]. W. Liu, J. Wu and Z. Li, Common fixed points of single- valued and multi- valued maps, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **9**(2005)pp.3045-3055.
- [26]. Y.J. Cho, P.P. Murthy and G. Jungck, A common fixed point theorem of Meir and Keeler type, *Internat.J.Math.Sci.***16**(1993), pp.669-674.