



## Some results on fixed point theorem in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces

**Manvi Kohli, Rajesh Shrivastava and Manoj Sharma\***

*Govt. Science and Commerce Benazir College, Bhopal (MP) INDIA*

*\*Sagar Inst. of Research and Tech., Bhopal (MP) INDIA*

(Received 25 Nov., 2009, Accepted 10 Dec., 2009)

**ABSTRACT :** In this paper we have proved fixed point theorem for continuous contraction mappings in dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces. Also we obtain a common fixed point theorem for a pair of mappings in Dislocated Metric Spaces.

**Keywords :** Dislocated quasi matrices fixed point.

### INTRODUCTION

Banach [1992] proved Fixed Point Theorem for Contraction Mappings in Complete Matrix Space. It is well known as a Banach Fixed Point Theorem. Dass and Gupta [1] generalized Banach's Contraction Principle in Metric Space. Also Rhoads [1977] introduced a partial ordering for various Definitions Contractive Mappings. This objective of the note is to prove some fixed point theorem for continuous contraction mapping defined by Dass and Gupta [1] and Rhoades [4] in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces.

### PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 1 [3] :** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a function satisfying following conditions.

- (i)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$  implies  $y = x$
- (ii)  $d(x, y) < d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in X$

Then  $d$  is called Dislocated Quasi Metric Space on  $X$ . If  $d$  satisfies  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  then it is called dislocated metric space.

**Definition 2 [3] :** A Sequence  $[X_n]$  is  $dq$  Metric Space (Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces)  $(X, d)$  is called Cauchy Sequence if for given  $\epsilon > 0, \exists a n_0 \in N$  such that  $\forall m, n > n_0$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \text{ or } d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$

$$\text{i.e., } \min \{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \epsilon$$

**Definition 3 [3] :** A Sequence  $[X_n]$  dislocated Quasi Convergence to  $x$  if

$$1t n \rightarrow \infty d(x_n, x) = 1t n \rightarrow \infty d(x, x_n) = 0$$

In this case  $x$  is called a  $dq$  limit of  $[x_n]$  we write  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

**Definition 4 [3] :** A  $dq$  Metric Space  $(X, d)$  is called complete if every Cauchy Sequence in it is a  $dq$  convergent.

**Definition 5 [3] :** Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, d)$  be  $dq$  Metric Spaces and Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is continuous to  $x_0 \in X$ , if for each sequence  $[x_n]$  which is  $d_1 - q$  convergent to  $x_0$  in  $X$ , the sequence  $[f(x_n)]$  is  $d_2 - q$  convergent to  $f(x_0)$  in  $Y$ .

**Definition 6 [3] :** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $dq$  Metric Space. A map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called contraction if there exists  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha d(x, y) \forall x, y \in X$$

**Theorem 1 :** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $dq$  Metric and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous contracting mapping. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

### MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 1 :** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $dq$  Metric Space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous mapping satisfying the following condition.

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha \frac{d(y, Ty)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y) + \gamma d(y, Ty)$$

$$\forall x, y \in X, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof :** Let  $[X_n]$  be a sequence in  $X$  defined as follows. Let  $x_0 \in X, T(x_0) = x_1, T(x_1) = x_2, T(x_2) = x_3 \dots T(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ . Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &< \alpha \frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)[1 + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]} \\ &\quad + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \gamma d(x_n, Tx_n) \quad \dots(i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< \alpha \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]}{[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]} \\ &\quad + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ \Rightarrow (1 - \alpha - \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha - \gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\text{Let } \delta = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha - \gamma} \text{ with } 0 < \delta < 1$$

Then  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \delta d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$

On further decomposing we get

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) < \delta d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$

and finally we can write

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \delta^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).$$

On continuing this process  $n$  times

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \delta^2 d(x_0, x_1)$$

Since  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\delta^n \rightarrow 0$ .

Hence  $[X_n]$  is a  $dq$  sequence in the complete dislocated Quasi Metric Space  $X$ .

Thus  $[X_n]$  dislocated Quasi sequence converges to come  $t_0$ . Since  $T$  is continuous we have

$$T(t_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(X_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = t_0$$

Thus  $T(t_0) = t_0$

Thus  $T$  has a fixed point.

### Uniqueness

Let  $x$  be a fixed point of  $T$ . Then by given condition we have

$$d(x, x) = d(Tx, x) < (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) d(x, x)$$

Which gives  $d(x, x) = 0$ , Since  $0 < (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) < 1$  and  $d(x, x) > 0$ .

Thus  $d(x, Tx) = 0$  if  $x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$  be fixed points of  $T$ , i.e., is  $Tx = x$ ;  $Ty = y$ .

Then by condition 3.1  $d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty) < \beta d(x, y)$  which gives  $d(x, y) = 0$ , Since  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$ .

Similarly  $d(y, x) = 0$  and hence  $x = y$ . Thus fixed point of  $T$  is unique.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B.K. Dass, S. Gupta, An extension of Banach Contraction principles through rational expression, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **6**: 1455-1458(1975).
- [2] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunkhe, The results on Fixed points in dislocated quasi Metric space, *Applied Mathematical Science*. **2**(59): 2941-2948(2008).
- [3] F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, M.A. Ahmed, A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi metric spaces. *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, **31**(1A): 111-14(2005).
- [4] B.E. Rohades, A comparison of various definition of contractive mappings, *Transfer, Amer. Soc.* **226**: 257-290(1977).