## D\*s-Compatibility in fuzzy metric spaces

S.S. Thakur, S.S. Rana and Umesh Pandey

Department of Mathematics Govt. Engineering College Jabalpur (MP) INDIA

(Received 6 Dec., 2009, Accepted 25 Jan., 2010)

ABSTRACT : In this paper we establish some common fixed theorems for four mappings on fuzzy metric space using the concept of  $D^*r$ -compatibility.

Keywords : Fuzzy metric space, D\*s -compatibility, Common fixed points

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 54H25, 47H10

## **INTRODUCTION**

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [13] in 1965. Since then it was developed extensively by many authors and used in various field. Especially [5, 4, 8] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces in different ways. In [5,6], George and Veeramani modified the concept of fuzzy metric space, which introduced by Kramosil and Michalic [10]. They also obtained the Housdorff topology for this kind of fuzzy metric spaces and showed that every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

Singh and Chauhan [1] introduced the concept of compatibility in fuzzy metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces in sence of George and P.Veeramani continuous *t*-norm, \* defined by  $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$ .

Sahu and Diwan [11] introduced the concept of  $D^*s$ compatibility and proved some common fixed-point theorems
in metric spaces.

Recently Cho [3] prove the following theorem :

Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions :

(i)  $A(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ .

- (*ii*) S and T are continuous.
- (*iii*) The pairs [A, S] and [B, T] are compatible,
- (iv)  $D(Ax, By, qt) \ge M(Sx, Ty, t)^* M(Ax, Sx, t)^* M(By, Ty, t)^* M(Ax, Ty, t)$  there exist  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0,

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Now we extend this result using the concept of  $D^*$ -compatibility.

## 2-Preliminaries

In this section we give some definitions and lemmas :

**Definition 2.1 :** [12] A binary operation  $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous *t*-norm if ([0, 1], ) is an abelian topological monoid with 1 such that  $a * b \le c * d$ , When ever  $a \le c$ ,  $b \le d$ , for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.2 :** [5] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) called cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

 $M(x_n, y_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ 

**Definition 2.3 :** [1] A pair of self mappings (A, S) of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) is said to be compatible if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \to 1$ , for all t > 0 when ever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $Sx_n \to p$  and  $Ax_n \to p$  for some p in X as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 2.4 :** [12] A and S be two self-mappings in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) in to itself.

The pair (A, S) is said to be

- (a) D<sup>\*</sup><sub>A</sub> compatible at a point z ∈ X, if Au = Su = z for some u ∈ X.
   M(S<sup>2</sup>u, Su, t) ≥ M(A<sup>2</sup>u, Au, t).
- (b)  $D_S^*$  compatible at a point  $z \in X$ , if Av = Sv = z for some  $v \in X$ .  $M(A^2v, Av, t) \ge M(S^2v, Sv, t)$

**Definition 2.5 :** [12] If the pair (A, S) is  $D_A^*$ -compatible

and  $D_{S}^{*}$  – compatible, then (A, S) is D\*-compatible. OR

(A, S) is D\*-compatible at a point  $z \in X$ , if Au = Su = z for some  $u \in X$ .

 $M(S^2u, Su, t) = M(A^2u, Au, t).$ 

**Lemma 2.1 :** [7] Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space. Then for all

 $x, y \in X, M(x, y, t)$  is non decreasing.

**Lemma 2.2**: [3] Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $r * r \ge r$ , for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ . If there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Such that  $M(x, y, qt) \ge M(x, y, t)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0, then x = y.

**Lemma 2.3 :** [9] The only *t*-norm \* satisfied  $r * r \ge r$ , for all  $r \in [0, 1]$  is the minimum *t*-norm that is  $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ .

Now we prove some other lemmas, which pays a wider role in our main theorem :

**Lemma 2.4 :** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions :

- (a) The pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  are  $D_{A}^{*}$  compatible and  $D_{B}^{*}$ -compatible at point  $z \in X$ , respectively.
- (b)  $D(Ax, By, qt) \ge M(Sx, Ty, t)^* M(Ax, Sx, t)^* M(By, Ty, t)^* M(Ax, Ty, t)$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . If there exist  $u, v \in X$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and t > 0, such that Au = Su = Bv = Tv = z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

**Proof :** Since the pair  $\{A, S\}$  is  $D_A^*$ -compatible at a point z and Au = Su = z,

Then  $M(S^2u, Su, t) \ge M(A^2u, Au, t)$ We assert that Az = z. If not, then by (b), we get M(Az, z, qt) = M(Az, Bv, qt)= M(AAu, Bv, qt) $\geq M(SAu, Tv, t) * M(AAu, SAu, t) *$  $M(Bv, Tv, t)^* M(AAu, Tv, t)$  $\geq M(SSu, Su, t) * M(AAu, SAu, t) *$  $M(Tv, Tv, t)^* M(AAu, Au, t)$  $\geq M(AAu, Au, t) * M(AAu, SSu, t) *$ M(AAu, Au, t) $\geq M(AAu, Au, t) * M(AAu, z, t) *$ M(SSu, z, t) $\geq M(Az, z, t) * M(Az, z, t) * M(Az, z, t)$  $\geq M(Az, z, t)$ and hence Az = Sz = z.

Similarly we can show that Bz = Tz = z.

In order to prove uniqueness let z and w are two distinct common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Then using (b), we get

$$M(z, w, qt) = M(Az, Bw, qt)$$

$$\geq M(Sz, Tw, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Bw, Tw, t) 
* M(Az, Tw, t) 
= M(z, w, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(w, w, t) 
* M(z, w, t) 
= M(z, w, t) * 1 * 1 * M(z, w, t) 
\geq M(z, w, t)$$

Which shows contradiction. Hence z = w.

Therefore z is the common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

**Lemma 2.5 :** Let A, B, S and T be four self mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) in to it self satisfying the conditions (b) and

(c)  $A(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ .

Then we have the following :

(1) for any arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$ , there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that

(d) 
$$y_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$$
 and  
 $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

(2)  $\{y_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence in X.

**Proof : (1)** By (c), since  $A(X) \subset T(X)$ , for any arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$ , there exist  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $y_1 = Tx_1 = Ax_0$ . Since  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ , for this there exists  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $y_2 = Sx_2 = Bx_1$  and so on. Inductively, we can define sequences

 $\{x_n\} \text{and } \{y_n\} \text{ in } X \text{ given by } (2.5).$   $(2) \text{ Now from } (b) \text{ and } (d), \text{ we have } \\
 M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, qt) = M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, qt). \\
\geq M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t)^* M(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, t)^* \\
 M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t)^* M(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t). \\
= M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)^* M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t)^* \\
 M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)^* M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t). \\
\geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)^* M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\
\text{From Lemma } (2.1) \text{ and } (2.3), \text{ we have } \\
 M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, qt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t). \\
\text{Similarly, we have also } M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, qt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, t). \\
\text{Thus we have } M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, qt) \geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \text{ for } n \\
= 1, 2, ..., \\
 M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/q) \geq M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/q^2) \geq M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/q^2) \leq M(y_n, y_n, y_n) \\$ 

 $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \cong M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t, q) \cong M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t, q) =$  $\dots \ge M(y_1, y_2, t/q^n) \to 1.$ 

As  $n \to \infty$ , and hence  $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ , for any t > 0.

For each  $a^{i} > 0$  and t > 0 we can choose  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > 1 - a^{i}$ 

For all  $n > n_{0}$ .

For  $m, n \in N$ . we suppose  $m \ge n$ . Then we have that  $M(y_n, y_m, t) \ge M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t/m - n) * M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t/m - n) * ... * <math>M(y_{m-1}, y_m, t/m - n)$ . >  $(1-a) * (1-a) * ... * (1-a) \ge (1-a)$ 

 $(1 \quad u) \quad (1 \quad u) \quad \dots \quad (1 \quad u) = (1 \quad u)$ 

and hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence in X.

3- Main result :

**Theorem 3.1 :** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space and A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the conditions (b) and (c).

Suppose that S(X) is complete. Then there exist a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  as defined in (d) and  $u, v, z \in X$  such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = z \in S(X) \text{ and } Au = Su = Bv = Tv = z.$ 

Further if condition (a) is satisfied, then z is the unique common fixed point of mappings A, B, S and T.

**Proof :** By virtue of Lemma (2.5), we observe that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  defined by (d) is a cauchy sequence in X. Since S(X) is complete and  $(Sx_{2n})$  is cauchy. It converges to a point z = Su for some  $u \in X$ . Hence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to z. Consequently, the subsequences  $\{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n+1}\}, \{Sx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  also converges to z.

That is,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_{2n+1}$ Using (b), we get 
$$\begin{split} &M(Au, Bx_{2n+1}, qt) \geq M(Su, Tx_{2n+1}, t)^* \ M(Au, Su, t)^* \ M(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, t) \ ^* M(Au, Tx_{2n+1}, t). \end{split}$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

 $M(Au, z, qt) \ge M(Su, z, t) * M(Au, Su, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Au, z, t).$ 

= M(z, z, t) \* M(Au, z, t) \* M(z, z, t) \* M(Au, z, t) M(Au, z, t) M(Au, z, t) M(Au, z, t).

This implies Au = z. Since Au = z and  $A(X) \subset T(X)$ , there exists  $v \in X$  such that z = Tv. It follows easily from (b) that Bv = z. Hence

Au = Su = Bv = Tv = z.

Now the mappings A, B, S and T satisfy condition (a), hence appealing to lemma (2.4), we conclude that z is the unique common fixed point of mappings A, B, S, and T.

## REFERENCES

- Bijendra Singh and M. S. Chauhan : Common fixed point of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and systems, 115: 471-475(2000).
- [2] S.H. Cho. On common fixed points in fuzzy metric space, preprint.

- [3] S.H. Cho. On common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math & Computing 20(1-2): 523-533(2006).
- [4] Deng Zi-ke. : Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces, J. Mathanal. Appl. 86: 74-95(1982).
- [5] A. George and P. Veeramani : On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and Systems 64: 395-399(1994).
- [6] A. George and P. Veeramani : On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric space. Fuzzy sets and systems 90: 365-368(1997).
- [7] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and system 27: 385-389(1988).
- [8] O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala : On fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and systems, 12: 215-229(1984).
- [9] E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar and E. Pap. Triangular Norms Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [10] O. Kramosil and J. Michalec : Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. Kybernetica 11: 326-334(1975).
- [11] D. R. Sahu and S. D. Diwan, D<sup>\*</sup><sub>S</sub>- compatibility and common fixed points Internat. J. Math. Sci., 6(2): 285-291(2007).
- [12] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Stastical metric spaces. Pacific J. Math. 10: 314-334(1960).
- [13] L.A. Zadeh : Fuzzy sets, Inform and control, 8: 338-353(1965).

36